



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



TRIMBLE TOWNSHIP  
ATHENS COUNTY  
DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Trimble Township  
Athens County  
22800 Fox Hunter Road  
Glouster, Ohio 45732

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Trimble Township, Athens County, Ohio (the Township), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found one exception. The General Fund balance at December 31, 2014 in the prior year audited statements was \$17,170. The January 1, 2015 beginning General Fund balance recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances Report was \$17,412. The difference was due to a 2014 check voided in 2015. We also agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report to the December 31, 2015 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2016 and 2015 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2016 bank account balances with the Township's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation:

### **Cash and Investments (Continued)**

- a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 to determine they:
- c. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions
  - d. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code § 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### **Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2016 and one from 2015:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Export Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Receipt Export Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2016 and 2015. We noted the Receipts Export Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2016 and five from 2015. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2016 and five from 2015.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Export Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Debt**

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2014.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Export Report and Payment Export Report for evidence of debt issued during 2016 or 2015 or debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015. We noted neither new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015.

### **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2016 and one payroll check for five employees from 2015 from the Wage Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

- b. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found that the fund posted for the Trustees did not agree to approved certifications of time.
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel file and minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
- a. Name
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
  - c. Department(s) and fund(s) to which the check should be charged
  - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
  - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding
  - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2016 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2016. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes & Medicare	01/31/2017	12/31/2016	\$1,404	\$1,404
State income taxes	01/15/2017	12/31/2016	\$281	\$281
OPERS retirement	01/30/2017	12/31/2016	\$2,592	\$2,592

4. For the pay periods ended March 31, 2016 and April 30, 2015, we compared the Fiscal Officer's and Boards' salary for time or services performed to supporting certifications the Revised Code requires. We recomputed the allocation of the Fiscal Officer's and Boards' salaries to the General, Gasoline Tax, Cemetery, and Road and Bridge Funds per the Wage Detail Report. Allocations for the Trustees were not in line with signed certifications on file. We expanded our review to all of 2015 and 2016 since the error appeared consistent throughout the period. This resulted in an accumulated fund balance adjustment to decrease the December 31, 2016 General Fund balance by \$5,510 and the Road and Bridge Fund by \$14,577 and to increase the Motor Vehicle License Tax Fund by \$1,321, the Gasoline Tax Fund by \$16,167 and the Cemetery Fund by \$2,599. These fund balance adjustments, with which the Township management agrees, have been posted to the accounting system.
5. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Wage Detail Report:
- a. Accumulated leave records
  - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
  - c. The Township's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

### **Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

6. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 to determine if township employees and/or trustees were reimbursed for out-of-pocket insurance premiums. We noted no such reimbursements.

### **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Export Report for the year ended December 31, 2016 and ten from the year ended 2015 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Export Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions. .

### **Compliance – Budgetary**

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Road and Bridge, and Cemetery Funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The amounts agreed.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2016 and 2015 to determine whether, for the General, Road and Bridge, and Cemetery Funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2016 and 2015 for the following funds: General, Road and Bridge, and Cemetery Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
4. Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road and Bridge, and Cemetery Funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for the General, Road and Bridge, and Cemetery Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Ledger Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2016 and 2015. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.



### **Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)**

7. We scanned the 2016 and 2015 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which § 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Fund Status Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.10(l) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Export Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 to determine if the Township proceeded by force account (i.e., used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code § 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

### **Other Compliance**

Ohio Rev. Code § 117.38 requires townships to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed the Hinkle System to verify the Township filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State  
Columbus, Ohio

February 22, 2017

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

TRIMBLE TOWNSHIP

ATHENS COUNTY

## CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED  
MARCH 9, 2017