STARK REGIONAL COMMUNITY CORRECTION CENTER

STARK COUNTY, OHIO

AGREED UPON PROCEDURES

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015





Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Facility Governing Board Stark Regional Community Correction Center 4433 Lesh Street NE Louisville, Ohio 44641

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Stark Regional Community Correction Center, Stark County, prepared by Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc., for the period July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Stark Regional Community Correction Center is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Jare Yost

Dave Yost Auditor of State

February 1, 2017

This page intentionally left blank.

STARK REGIONAL COMMUNITY CORRECTION CENTER STARK COUNTY

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>

<u>Page</u>

1

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

This page intentionally left blank.

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Facility Governing Board Stark Regional Community Correction Center Stark County 4433 Lesh Street NE Louisville, Ohio 44641

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Facility Governing Board and the management of Stark Regional Community Correction Center (the Center) and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash (State Appropriations and Grants Funds)

- 1. Stark County is custodian for the Center's deposits, and therefore the County's deposit and investment pool holds the Center's assets. We compared the Center's fund balances reported on its June 30, 2016 Quickbooks Balance Sheet and the Excel worksheet for its Capital fund to the balances reported in Stark County's accounting records. The amounts agreed.
- 2. We agreed the July 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Quickbooks Balance Sheet and the Excel worksheet for its Capital fund to the June 30, 2014 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the July 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Quickbooks Balance Sheet and the Excel worksheet for its Capital fund to the June 30, 2015 balances in the Balance Sheet. We found no exceptions.

Cash (Offender Funds)

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the July 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the bank reconciliation to the June 30, 2014 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the July 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the bank reconciliation to the June 30, 2015 balances in the bank reconciliation. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the June 30, 2016 and 2015 fund cash balances reported in the Balance Sheet. The amounts agreed.

- 4. We confirmed the June 30, 2016 bank account balance with the Center's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the June 30, 2016 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the June 30, 2016 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent July bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to June 30. We noted no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected all receipts from the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction confirmation from 2016 and all receipts from 2015.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the General Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

- 1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of June 30, 2014.
- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the General Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2016 or 2015 or debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2016 and one payroll check for five employees from 2015 from the Payroll Register and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files and minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Stark Regional Community Correction Center Stark County Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 3

2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended June 30, 2016 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2016. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	July 31, 2016	June 29, 2016	\$9,854.53	\$9,854.53
State income taxes	July 15, 2016	June 29, 2016	\$1,618.43	\$1,618.43
OPERS retirement	June 30, 2016	June 22, 2016	\$36,853.47	\$36.853.47
Akron City income tax	July 31, 2016	June 29, 2016	\$21.83	\$21.83
Canton income tax	July 31, 2016	June 29, 2016	\$1,505.70	\$1,505.70
North Canton income tax	July 31, 2016	June 29, 2016	\$24.96	\$24.96

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the General Ledger for the year ended June 30, 2016 and ten from the year ended June 30, 2015 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the General Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires entities to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Center filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. The financial information was filed on time for 2016 and on October 8, 2015 for 2015 which was not within the allotted timeframe.

Stark Regional Community Correction Center Stark County Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 4

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Center's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, the Auditor of State, and others within the Center, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Charles Having Association

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc. January 17, 2017



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

STARK REGIONAL COMMUNITY CORRECTION CENTER

STARK COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED FEBRUARY 14, 2017

> 88 East Broad Street, Fourth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.ohioauditor.gov