





INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Fletcher Miami County P.O. Box 119 71 N Walnut St. Fletcher, Ohio 45326

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Village Council and Mayor, and the management of Village of Fletcher (the Village) have agreed, solely to assist the Council and Mayor in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management, the Mayor, and / or the Council are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We observed the December 31, 2015 bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

- 6. We selected each (one) reconciling credit (such as deposits in transit) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation:
 - We traced each credit to the subsequent February bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We agreed the credit amounts to the Receipts Register. Each credit was recorded as a December receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Receipts

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2015 and one from 2014:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2015 and 2014. We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2015 and five from 2014. We also selected five receipts from Miami County's Vendor Expense Report from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed; except for 1 homestead and rollback receipt in 2015 and 1 homestead and rollback receipt in 2014, which were posted at net and should have been posted at the gross amount.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Water Operating

- 1. We haphazardly selected 10 Water Operating Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2015 and 10 Water Operating Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2014 recorded in the Receipt Register Report and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount per the Receipt Register Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Utility Billing Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Utility Billing Journal for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
 - Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We read the Utility Billing Journal Aged Trial Balance.
 - a. We noted this report listed \$6,391 and \$5,909 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

- b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, \$4,907 and \$3,643 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.
- 3. We read the Utility Billing Adjustment Journal.
 - a. We noted this report listed a total of \$280 and \$807 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
 - b. We selected five non-cash adjustments from 2015 and five non-cash adjustments from 2014, and noted that Village Council approved each adjustment.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following bonds outstanding as of December 31, 2013. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2014 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2013:	
General Obligation bonds	\$162,000	

- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of bond debt activity for 2015 and 2014 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedule to the Water Operating fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found one exception. The payment was due 6/1/14 but not paid until 6/5/14.
- 4. We agreed the amount of loan proceeds from the loan documents to amounts recorded in the Water Operating Fund and Main Street Reconstruction Fund per the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- 5. For new debt issued during 2015 and 2014, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Village must use the proceeds for the Main Street reconstruction and the water main repairs. We scanned the Payment Register Detail Report and noted the Village purchased services from V & S Bowman in December 2015 for repairs on the water main. We also noted the Village recorded on behalf payments to Tom's Construction as part of the Main Street Reconstruction project.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Earning Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary).
 We found no exceptions.
 - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

2. We scanned the remittances of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2015 to the 2016 Payment Register. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2016	January 30, 2016	\$1,324.53	\$4,915.62
State income taxes	February 1, 2016	January 31, 2016	\$134.19	\$533.08
School Income Tax	February 1, 2016	January 31, 2016	\$182.74	\$692.22
OPERS retirement	February 1, 2016	January 22, 2016	\$650.26	\$1,311.08

As noted above, the Village withheld amounts quarterly throughout the year, but did not remit any payments until year end. This could/did result in the payment of penalties and interest. The Village should ensure it remits payments timely.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended 2014 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found three instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not test all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the Certificate of the Total Amount From All Sources Available For Expenditures and Balances required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Street Maintenance Construction & Repair, and State Highway funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts on the Certificate agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system, except for the General Fund in 2015. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General fund of \$35,303 for 2015. However, the final Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources reflected \$30,763. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the Amended

Official Certificate of Estimated Resources to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Council may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and to monitor spending.

- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2015 and 2014 to determine whether, for the General, Street Maintenance Construction & Repair, and State Highway funds, Village Council appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2015 and 2014 for the following funds: General, Street Maintenance Construction & Repair, and Water Operating. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report except for the Water Operating Fund in 2015. The Appropriation Status Report reported \$146,238; however, Village Council approved appropriations of \$121,738 by resolution. The Fiscal Officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report to the amounts approved by Village Council. If the amounts do not agree, Village Council may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and to monitor spending.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Maintenance Construction & Repair and water operating funds for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General, Street Maintenance Construction & Repair, and Street Lighting fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2015 and 2014. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. The Village established the Main Street Reconstruction fund during 2014 to segregate Ohio Public Works Commission's grant and loan receipts and disbursements, in compliance with Section 5705.09.
- 7. We scanned the 2015 and 2014 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$500 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.
- 9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

1. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an engineer, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

Other Compliance

- 1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Village filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The financial information for 2014 was filed timely, but 2015 was filed on 3/2/16 which was not within the allotted timeframe.
- We inquired of the fiscal officer and/or scanned the Fiscal Integrity Act Portal (http://www.ohioauditor.gov/fiscalintegrity/default.html) to determine whether the fiscal officer obtained the training required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 507.12 and 733.81. The Fiscal Officer has not completed any of the required training.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Village, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

August 4, 2016



VILLAGE OF FLETCHER

MIAMI COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED AUGUST 25, 2016