



Dave Yost • Auditor of State





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

L.U.C. Regional Planning Commission  
Logan County  
9676 Foundry Road  
P.O. Box 219  
East Liberty, Ohio 43319

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Commissioners and the management of the L.U.C. Regional Planning Commission (the Commission) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash

1. Union County is custodian for the Commission's deposits, and therefore the County's deposit and investment pool holds the Commission's assets. We confirmed the Commission's fund balances reported on its December 31, 2015 Account Summary Trial Balance to the balances reported in Union County's accounting records. The amounts agreed.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Account Summary Trial Balance to the December 31, 2013 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Account Summary Trial Balance to the December 31, 2014 balances in the Account Summary Trial Balance. We found no exceptions.

### Fees Charged To Subdivisions

1. We haphazardly selected two receipts of the fee charged to a participating subdivision from the year ended December 31, 2015 and two receipts of the fee charged to a participating subdivision from the year ended 2014 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:
  - a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Revenue History Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
  - c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Fees Charged To Subdivisions (Continued)**

2. We obtained a list of the participating political subdivisions for 2015 and 2014. We scanned the Revenue History Report to determine whether it included the proper number of receipts for *Fees Charged to Subdivisions* for 2015 and 2014. We noted that there were 58 participating political subdivisions for 2015 and 58 such receipts posted. For 2014 we noted that there were 58 participating political subdivisions and 58 such receipts posted.

### **Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We confirmed the amounts paid from Logan County to the Commission during 2014 and 2015 with the County. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We confirmed the amounts paid from Union County to the Commission during 2014 and 2015 with the County. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
3. We confirmed the amounts paid from Champaign County to the Commission during 2014 and 2015 with the County. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2015 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2014 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Journal Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Debt**

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Monthly Revenue Report and the Monthly Expense Report for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. We noted no new debt issuances or any debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for four employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Input Worksheet Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Input Worksheet Report to supporting documentation (timecard or legislatively-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
  
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
  - a. Name
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
  - c. Department(s) and fund(s) to which the check should be charged
  - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
  - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding
  - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether remittances were timely charged by the fiscal agent (Union County), and if the amounts charged agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding, period of 2015. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2016	January 15, 2016	\$741	\$741
State income taxes	January 15, 2016	January 15, 2016	\$160	\$160
Local income tax	January 31, 2016	January 15, 2016	\$109	\$109
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2016	January 15, 2016	\$750	\$750

4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Labor Distribution Report:
  - a. Accumulated leave records
  - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
  - c. The Commission's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

### **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expense Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended 2014 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expense Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

### **Other Compliance**

Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires entities to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Commission filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Commission's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Commission, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

June 14, 2016



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**LUC REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

**LOGAN COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
JUNE 30, 2016**