



# MID EAST OHIO REGIONAL COUNCIL KNOX COUNTY

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Title	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Appendix A: Income and Expenditure Report Adjustments – 2013	7

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### **Independent Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures**

Halina Schroeder, Audit Chief Division of Fiscal Administration, Audit Office Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities 30 E. Broad Street, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

#### Dear Ms. Schroeder:

As permitted by Ohio Revised Code § 5123.05 and as required by the *Application for a §1915(c) Home and Community Based Services Waiver*, Appendix I-2(c), the Auditor of State's Office has performed the procedures enumerated below, to which the Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities (DODD) agreed. The purpose is to assist you in evaluating whether the Mid East Ohio Regional Council (COG) prepared its *Income and Expenditure Report* (Cost Report) and *County Summary Workbooks¹* for the year ended December 31, 2013 in accordance with DODD's Guide to Preparing Income and Expenditure Reports for COGs and County Boards of Developmental Disabilities (Cost Report Guides) and to assist you in evaluating whether reported receipts and disbursements complied with 2 CFR 225 (OMB Circular A-87 *Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments*), and other compliance requirements described in the procedures below. The Council of Government's management is responsible for preparing these reports. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of DODD. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

#### **Revenue Testing**

1. DODD requested that we compare the COG's receipts with those reported on Section II, Department of DD of Schedule C Income Report on the County Summary Workbooks and report any variances.

We compared COG receipts on Section II, of *Schedule C, Income Report* on each of the *County Summary Workbooks* to receipts on the COG's General Ledger report.

We found no differences.

The COG recorded receipts and disbursements on behalf of the county boards of developmental disabilities (County Boards). The COG prepared *County Summary Workbooks* to distribute these receipts and disbursements to each of the following County Boards: Belmont, Carroll, Coshocton, Fairfield, Guernsey, Harrison, Hocking, Holmes, Jefferson, Knox, Licking, Monroe, Morgan, Muskingum, Noble, Perry, Tuscarawas, and Washington.

#### Trial Balance Analysis and Non-Payroll Expenditures Testing

 DODD asked us to compare the COG's disbursements on the trial balance and general ledger reports to Schedule A, Summary of Service Costs-By Program and worksheets 2 through 5 of the Cost Report and Schedule A and worksheets 2 through 10 of the County Summary Workbooks, and report variances exceeding \$100 for total service contracts, other expenses and COG expenses on any worksheet.

We compared all service contracts, other expenses and COG expenses on *Schedule A, Summary of Service Costs-By Program* and worksheets 2 through 5 of the Cost Report and *Schedule A* and worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks* to disbursements on the COG's Trial Balance and General Ledger reports and Cost Report Work paper reports.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A.

2. DODD asked us to determine whether the COG's disbursements on the trial balance report and general ledger reports were properly classified within two percent of total service contracts, other expenses and COG expenses for worksheets 2 through 5 of the Cost Report, and Schedule A and worksheets 2 through 10 of the County Summary Workbooks, if these worksheets included variances over \$100 which are nonfederal reimbursable under 2 CFR Appendix B.

We scanned the COG's Trial Balance and General Ledger reports for service contracts, other expenses and COG expenses for worksheets 2 through 5 of the Cost Report, and *Schedule A* and worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks* and reviewed documentation to identify disbursements not classified according to the Cost Report Guides or costs which are nonfederal reimbursable under 2 CFR 225 Appendix B.

We also scanned for any program costs without corresponding statistics that are non-federal reimbursable because they do not demonstrate that the COG or one of the member County Board's programs received a measurable benefit as required under Appendix A, section (C) (3)(a) and the Cost Report Guides.

We found misclassified and non-federal reimbursable costs as reported in Appendix A. We found no program costs without corresponding statistics. We also noted statistics that should be reported by Muskingum County in its 2013 Cost Report.

3. DODD asked us to haphazardly select a sample of 60 non-payroll disbursements from the general ledger report that were classified as total service costs, other expenses or COG expenses on worksheets 2 through 5 of the Cost Report and *Schedule A* and worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks*.

We haphazardly selected a sample of 60 non-payroll disbursements from the COG's General Ledger report that were classified as total service contracts, other expenses or COG expenses on worksheets 2 through 5 of the Cost Report and *Schedule A* and worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks*. We determined if supporting documentation was maintained as required by 2 CFR 225 (OMB Circular A-87, Appendix A, (C)(1)(j)) and the disbursement was properly classified according to the Cost Report Guides.

We found misclassified and non-federal reimbursable costs as reported in Appendix A.

4. DODD asked us to scan the COG's disbursement reports for items purchased during 2013 that met the COG's capitalization criteria and trace them to inclusion on the COG's fixed asset listing.

#### Trial Balance Analysis and Non-Payroll Expenditures Testing (Continued)

We scanned the COG's General Ledger report for items purchased during 2013 that met the COG's capitalization criteria and traced them to inclusion on the COG's Tax Asset Detail report.

We found no unrecorded purchases meeting the capitalization criteria.

### **Payroll Testing**

1. DODD asked us to determine if employee salaries on the COG's payroll reports were within two percent of payroll costs reported on the Cost Report and the *County Summary Workbooks*.

We compared the total payroll costs per the COG's Payroll Journal report and Trial Balance reports with payroll costs reported on the Cost Report and the *County Summary Workbooks*.

We found no differences exceeding two percent.

2. We scanned the COG's Payroll Journal report and Payroll Testing worksheet and compared classification of employees to entries on the Cost Report and *County Summary Workbooks* to determine if salary and benefit costs were reported in accordance with the Cost Report Guides.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A.

#### Property, Depreciation, and Asset Verification Testing

1. DODD asked us to compare the COG's procedures regarding capitalization of fixed assets with the Cost Report Guides for preparing *Worksheet 1, Capital Costs* and 2 CFR 225 (OMB Circular A-87, Appendix B, 15(a)(2).

We found no inconsistencies as a result of applying this procedure.

2. DODD asked us to compare the COG's depreciation schedule to *Worksheet 1, Capital Costs* of the Cost Report and report any variances exceeding \$100.

We compared all depreciation entries on Worksheet 1, Capital Costs to the COG's Tax Asset Detail report.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A.

3. We scanned the COG's Tax Asset Detail for 2013 for depreciation taken on the same asset more than once, assets that have been fully depreciated, or depreciation taken on assets during the period of acquisition which were not in compliance with the Cost Report Guides.

We found no differences.

4. We compared the COG's final 2012 Tax Asset Detail to the COG's 2013 Tax Asset Detail for changes in the depreciation amounts for assets purchased prior to the period under review which were not in compliance with the Cost Report Guides.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A.

#### **Property, Depreciation, and Asset Verification Testing (Continued)**

5. DODD asked that we haphazardly select the lesser of five of the COG's fixed assets or five percent of items which meet the COG's capitalization policy and are being depreciated in their first year in 2013 to determine if their useful lives agreed to the estimated useful lives prescribed in the 2008 AHA Asset Guides. DODD also asked us to re-compute the first year's depreciation for these assets, based on their cost, acquisition date and useful life to determine compliance with the Cost Report Guides.

We selected the only fixed asset being depreciated in its first year in 2013 to determine if the useful life agreed to the estimated useful life prescribed in the 2008 AHA Asset Guides. We also re-computed the first year's depreciation for this asset, based on the cost, acquisition date and useful life to determine compliance with the Cost Report Guides.

We found no differences.

6. DODD asked us to haphazardly select the lesser of five percent or five disposed assets in 2013 from the COG's list of disposed assets and determine if the assets were removed from the COG's fixed asset ledger. DODD also asked us to recalculate depreciation and any gain or loss applicable to 2013 for the disposed items based on the undepreciated basis and any proceeds received from the disposal to determine compliance with the Cost Report Guide and CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 1.

We selected all four disposed assets in 2013 from the COG's list of disposed assets and determined the assets were noted as being disposed on the COG's fixed asset ledger. We also recalculated depreciation and any gain or loss applicable to 2013 for the disposed items based on the undepreciated basis and any proceeds received from the disposal of the assets to determine compliance with the Cost Report Guide and CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 1.

We found no differences.

#### **Medicaid Administrative Claiming Testing**

 DODD asked us to contact its Random Moment Time Study (RMTS) Coordinator to report differences between the MAC salary and benefits versus the County Board's payroll records exceeding one percent.

We compared salaries and benefits entered on the MAC RMTS reports to the COG's Payroll Journal report.

We found no differences exceeding one percent.

2. DODD asked us to compare the MAC RMTS reports to Lines 1-2 of *Worksheet 4, Medicaid Administrative Claiming.* 

We compared the MAC RMTS reports to Lines 1-2 of Worksheet 4, Medicaid Administrative Claiming.

We found no differences.

3. DODD asked us to compare Ancillary Costs on the Roll up Report for Ohio Department of Medicaid to Lines 6-10 of the MAC Reconciliation Worksheet.

### **Medicaid Administrative Claiming Testing (Continued)**

We compared Ancillary Costs on the Roll up Report for Ohio Department of Medicaid to Lines 6-10 of the *MAC Reconciliation Worksheet*.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A.

4. We selected 20 RMTS observed moments completed by employees of the COG from the DODD RMTS Participant Moments Question and Answer report for the first quarter of 2013 in which they documented their time spent on administering Medicaid-funded programs. We determined if supporting documentation of the COG employees' activity for each observed moment was maintained and the observed moment was properly classified in accordance with DODD's Guide to Medicaid Administrative Claiming (MAC) using the Random Moment Time Studies (RMTS) Methodology for 2013.

We found no differences.

We did not receive a response from officials to the exceptions noted above.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the COG's Cost Report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the managements of the COG, DODD, the Ohio Department of Medicaid, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

**Dave Yost** Auditor of State

April 24, 2015

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Appendix A Mid East Ohio Regional Council 2013 Income and Expenditure Report and County Summary Workbook Adjustments

Cost Report Location		Reported Amount		Correction		Corrected Amount	Explanation of Correction
Mid East Ohio Regional Council							
Worksheet 1 3. Buildings/Improvements	\$	-	\$ \$	13,857 8,431		22,288	To agree to compiled report To correct building depreciation
4. Fixtures	\$	17,096		(17,096)		-	To agree to compiled report
5. Moveable Equipment	\$	1,166		3,239		4,405	To agree to compiled report
Worksheet 2							
1. Salaries	\$	112,680	\$	(3,837)	\$	108,843	To reclassify additional benefits allocated to county boards workbook summaries
4. Other Expenses	\$	129,381	\$	1,962 (605)			To agree to compiled report To reclassify payeeship costs
			\$	9,360 (162)		139,936	To agree to compiled report To reclassify costs for Trophies Unlimited
Worksheet 4							
4. Other Expenses (B) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$	28,875	\$	170			To reclassify cost of meals for meeting
			\$	162	\$	29,207	To reclassify costs for Trophies Unlimited
Worksheet 5			_	(			_
Service Contracts	\$	331,133	\$	(36,998) 605		294,740	To agree to compiled report To reclassify payeeship costs
MAC Reconciliation Worksheet							
Lines (6-10) Other Cost Column A-Reimbursement Requested Through Calendar Year	\$	26,754	\$	19,571	\$	46,325	To record ancillary costs
Belmont County							
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	27,291	\$	(2,944)	\$	24,347	To reclassify nursing costs
Carroll County							
Schedule A	¢	210	Ф	10	¢	226	To allocate DAWS coloring
<ol> <li>Room and Board/Cost to Live</li> <li>Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community Residential</li> </ol>	\$ \$	218 2,470	- :	18 204		236 2,674	To allocate PAWS salaries To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 5	•	00	Φ	0	Φ	0.5	To allocate DAMC calcuing
15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential Worksheet 8	\$	23	Ф	2	Ф	25	To allocate PAWS salaries
25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	214	\$	17	\$	231	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	12,481	\$	(1,347)	\$	11,134	To reclassify nursing costs
Worksheet 10 27. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	817	\$	67	\$	884	To allocate PAWS salaries
Coshocton County							
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	56,590	\$	(2,089)	\$	54,501	To reclassify nursing costs
Fairfield County							
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	117,683	\$	(5,667)	\$	112,016	To reclassify nursing costs

Cost Report Location		Reported Amount		Correction		Corrected Amount	<b>Explanation of Correction</b>
Guernsey County		, unount				741104111	
Schedule A	Φ	44.544	Φ	0	Φ	44.540	To allocate DAMC calcuing
1. Room and Board/Cost to Live	\$	14,511		8 183		14,519	To allocate PAWS salaries
5. Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community	\$	2,210	Ъ	183	Ф	2,393	To allocate PAWS salaries
Residential  Worksheet 5							
15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$	110,199	\$	1	\$	110,200	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 8	Ψ	110,100	Ψ		Ψ	110,200	To dilocate 1744 o salaries
25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	622	\$	41	\$	663	To allocate PAWS salaries
25. Direct Services (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$	-	\$	10		10	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 9	*		*		*		
26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support	\$	37,807	\$	(2,070)	\$	35,737	To reclassify nursing costs
Admin				,		•	, ,
Norksheet 10							
27. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	858	\$	57	\$	915	To allocate PAWS salaries
7. Direct Services (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$	-	\$	14	\$	14	To allocate PAWS salaries
Harrison County							
Vorksheet 9							
26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support	\$	4,327	\$	(467)	\$	3,860	To reclassify nursing costs
Admin							
Hocking County							
Schedule A							
. Room and Board/Cost to Live	\$	17,993	\$	10,000			To reclassify room and board cost
			\$	20,000	\$	47,993	To reclassify room and board cost
. Respite (L) Community Residential	\$	1,156		(1,156)	\$	-	To agree to compiled report
Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community	\$	-	\$	1,156			To agree to compiled report
Residential			\$	95	\$	1,251	To allocate PAWS salaries
Vorksheet 5						,	
4. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$	7,849		(7,849)	\$	-	To reclassify to line 15
5. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$	108,249		7,849			To reclassify line 14 costs
			\$	(10,000)			To reclassify room and board cost
			\$	(5,491)			To remove payments to
			Φ.	(00.000)			developmental centers
			\$	(20,000)			To reclassify room and board costs
			\$	(46,342)			To remove payments to developmental centers
			Φ	40	Φ	24.002	•
Manhahaat O			\$	18	<b>\$</b>	34,283	To allocate PAWS salaries
Vorksheet 8	\$	346	¢	29	¢	375	To allocate PAWS salaries
25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	Ф	340	Φ	29	Φ	3/3	TO allocate PAVVS Salaries
Norksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support	\$	32,815	Ф	(1,532)	Ф	31,283	To reclassify nursing costs
Admin	φ	32,013	φ	(1,552)	φ	31,203	To reclassify fluisling costs
Vorksheet 10							
27. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	553		45	\$	598	To allocate PAWS salaries
7. Bireot Gervices (E) I domity Based Gervices	Ψ	000		40	Ψ	000	To anocate 17,000 Salaries
Holmes County							
Schedule A							
Adaptive and Assistive Equipment	\$	17		1		18	To allocate PAWS salaries
<ol> <li>Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community</li> </ol>	\$	902	\$	74	\$	976	To allocate PAWS salaries
Residential							
Vorksheet 2							
Indirect Costs (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	* \$	10,248	\$	786	\$	11,034	To reclassify additional allocated
Markabara E							benefits
Vorksheet 5	φ	7 445	φ	^	φ	7 440	To allocate DANAS astarias
5. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$	7,445	Ф	3	Ф	7,448	To allocate PAWS salaries
Vorksheet 8	φ	252	ф	20	Ф	200	To allocate DAMC calcuing
25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	353	Ф	29	Ф	382	To allocate PAWS salaries
Vorksheet 9	æ	15 117	æ	(4.220)	¢	14.000	To reclassify pursing costs
26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support	\$	15,417	Ф	(1,328)	Ф	14,089	To reclassify nursing costs
Admin							
Vorksheet 10	æ	E00		40	<b>c</b>	COF	To allocate DAMS aclarica
7. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	586		49	Ф	635	To allocate PAWS salaries

Cost Report Location		Reported Amount		Correction		Corrected Amount	Explanation of Correction
Jefferson County							
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	19,359	\$	(2,089)	\$	17,270	To reclassify nursing costs
Knox County							
Worksheet 5 14. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$	1,983	Ф	(1,983)	Ф	-	To reclassify to line 15
15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential  Worksheet 9	\$	-	\$	1,983		1,983	To reclassify line 14 costs
26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	61,530	\$	3,045			To agree to compiled report
			\$	(2,956)	\$	61,619	To reclassify nursing costs
Licking County							
Worksheet 5 15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential Worksheet 7-B	\$	226,274	\$	1,390	\$	227,664	To reclassify direct costs
18. Nursing Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	42,865	\$	4,210	\$		To agree to compiled report
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·	,	\$	3,520			To reclassify nursing costs
			\$	27,136	\$	77,731	To reclassify nursing costs
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	154,491	\$	(7,463)	\$	147,028	To reclassify nursing costs
Monroe County Schedule A							
<ol> <li>Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community Residential</li> </ol>	\$	201	\$	16	\$	217	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 5 15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$	7,455	\$	2		7,457	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 8 25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	110	\$	9	\$	119	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support	\$	24,883	\$	(676)	\$	24,207	To reclassify nursing costs
Admin Worksheet 10							
27. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	152	\$	13	\$	165	To allocate PAWS salaries
Morgan County Worksheet 5							
15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$	45,479	\$	(2,802)			To remove developmental centers costs
			\$	(165)			To reclassify psychology costs
			\$	(1,350)			To reclassify SSA costs
			\$	(15,000)			To reclassify community employmen costs
			\$	(4,018)			To reclassify indirect costs
			\$	(15,000)			To reclassify community employmen costs
			\$ \$	(4,078) (1,290)	\$	1,776	To reclassify administrative costs To reclassify SSA costs
			Ψ	(1,200)	Ψ	1,770	To rediadally Gent docto
Worksheet 7-D 20. Psychology (L) Community Residential	\$		\$	165	¢	165	To reclassify psychology costs
Worksheet 8	Φ	-	Φ	100	φ	100	To reclassify psychology costs
25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services Worksheet 9	\$	158	\$	13	\$	171	To allocate PAWS salaries
26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	5,880	\$	(635)			To reclassify nursing costs
			\$	1,350			To reclassify SSA costs
Worksheet 10			\$	1,290		7,885	To reclassify SSA costs
27. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	419		35	\$	454	To allocate PAWS salaries
27. Direct Services (G) Community Employment	\$	4	\$	15,000	Φ.	00.007	To reclassify community employmen costs
			\$	15,000	\$	30,004	To reclassify community employmen costs
			^				

Cost Report Location		Reported Amount		Correction		Corrected Amount	Explanation of Correction
Muskingum County							
Schedule A  1. Room and Board/Cost to Live  5. Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community Residential	\$ \$	21,073 2,426		11 201		21,084 2,627	To allocate PAWS salaries To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 2 10. Indirect Costs (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	* \$	12,127	\$ \$	886 5,070	\$	18,083	To reclassify additional benefits allocated To reclassify advance to outside company
Worksheet 5 14. Direct Services (L) Community Residential 15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$ \$	325 116,746	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	(325) 325 (5,070) (2,126) (4,251)		-	To reclassify to line 15 To reclassify line 14 costs To remove an advance of funds To removed developmental centers costs To remove transfers
			\$	2	\$	105,626	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 7-B 18. Nursing Services (C) School Age 18. Nursing Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$ \$	35,547 -	\$ \$ \$	(35,547) 35,547 3,491		39,038	To agree to compiled report To agree to compiled report To reclassify nursing costs
Worksheet 8 25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	388	\$	32 1,950		2,370	To allocate PAWS salaries To reclassify transportation costs
24. Direct Services (F) Enclave	\$	12	\$	1	\$	13	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	120,140	\$	(2,418)	\$	117,722	To reclassify nursing costs
Worksheet 10 27. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	640	\$	53 2,301	\$	2,994	To allocate PAWS salaries To reclassify facility based services
27. Direct Services (F) Enclave	\$	20		1		21	To allocate PAWS salaries
Noble County							
Worksheet 9 26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	5,769	\$	(623)	\$	5,146	To reclassify nursing costs
Perry County							
Schedule A  1. Room and Board/Cost to Live  3. Adaptive and Assistive Equipment	\$ \$	2,479 19		1	*	2,481 20	To allocate PAWS salaries To allocate PAWS salaries
5. Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community Residential	\$	2,816	\$	233	\$	3,049	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 5 14. Direct Services (L) Community Residential 15. Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$ \$	4,501 226,349	\$ \$ \$ \$	(4,501) 4,501 (70,000) (8,000)		-	To reclassify to line 15 To reclassify line 14 costs To remove an advance of funds To remove an advance of funds
Workshoot 7 P			\$	, ,	\$	152,852	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 7-B 18. Nursing Services (C) School Age 18. Nursing Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$ \$	26,137	\$ \$ \$	(26,137) 26,137 2,567		- 28,704	To agree to compiled report To agree to compiled report To reclassify nursing costs
Worksheet 8 25. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	372		31		403	To allocate PAWS salaries
Worksheet 9  26. Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$	40,581		(2,370)		38,211	To reclassify nursing costs
Worksheet 10 27. Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$	570	\$	47	\$	617	To allocate PAWS salaries

Appendix A (Page 5)
Mid East Ohio Regional Council
2013 Income and Expenditure Report and County Summary Workbook Adjustments

	Cost Report Location	Reported Amount		Correction		Corrected Amount	<b>Explanation of Correction</b>
	Tuscarawas County						
Scl	nedule A						
1.	Room and Board/Cost to Live	\$ 64,574	\$	7	\$	64,581	To allocate PAWS salaries
2.	Environmental Accessibility Adaptations and/or Modifications and Supplies	\$ -	\$	4	\$	4	To allocate PAWS salaries
3.	Adaptive and Assistive Equipment	\$ 142	\$	12	\$	154	To allocate PAWS salaries
5.	Homemaker/Personal Care (L) Community Residential	\$ 3,038	\$	251	\$	3,289	To allocate PAWS salaries
Wo	rksheet 5						
14.	Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$ 5,018	\$	(5,018)	\$	-	To reclassify to line 15
15.	Direct Services (L) Community Residential	\$ 188,221	\$	5,018			To reclassify line 14 costs
	•		\$	45,000			To agree to compiled report
			\$	(5,977)			To remove developmental centers costs
			\$	(800)			To reclassify psychology costs
			\$	(48,547)			To remove developmental centers costs
			\$	1	\$	182,916	To allocate PAWS salaries
Wo	rksheet 7-D		·		Ċ	•	
20.	Psychology (L) Community Residential	\$ -	\$	800	\$	800	To reclassify psychology costs
Wo	rksheet 8						,,,,
25.	Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$ 720	\$	60	\$	780	To allocate PAWS salaries
	Direct Services (F) Enclave	\$ 22	\$	2	\$	24	To allocate PAWS salaries
Wo	rksheet 9						
26.	Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$ 66,186	\$	(3,124)	\$	63,062	To reclassify nursing costs
Wo	rksheet 10						
27.	Direct Services (E) Facility Based Services	\$ 1,016	\$	84	\$	1.100	To allocate PAWS salaries
	Direct Services (F) Enclave	\$ 31		3		34	To allocate PAWS salaries
	Washington County						
	rksheet 9						
26.	Service & Support Admin (N) Service & Support Admin	\$ 65,798	\$	(3,082)	\$	62,716	To reclassify nursing costs

<sup>\*</sup> Original Cost Report balances have been adjusted to reflect allocations as a result of the above adjustments to Worksheet 2 of the Cost Report. Other county summary workbooks have been affected by this same allocation; however, those adjustments are not shown here due to this being a function of how costs flow down from Schedule B.





### MID EAST OHIO REGIONAL COUNCIL

#### **KNOX COUNTY**

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

**CERTIFIED JUNE 9, 2015**