

FAIRFIELD COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY

FAIRFIELD COUNTY

**JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014
AGREED UPON PROCEDURES**



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Executive Committee
Fairfield County Republican Party
163 East Fifth Avenue
Lancaster, OH 43130

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Fairfield County Republican Party, Fairfield County, prepared by Julian & Grube, Inc., for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Fairfield County Republican Party is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

April 7, 2015

This page intentionally left blank.



Julian & Grube, Inc.

Serving Ohio Local Governments

333 County Line Rd. West, Westerville, OH 43082 Phone: 614.846.1899 Fax: 614.846.2799

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Secretary of State of Ohio
Republican Executive Committee
Fairfield County
111 S. Broad Street
Suite 209
Lancaster, OH 43130

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Republican Executive Committee (the Committee) agreed, solely to assist the Committee in evaluating its compliance with certain requirements included in Ohio Rev. Code Sections 3517.1012, 3517.13(X)(1), 2(b), (3)(a), and (4), 3517.17, and 3517.18, for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Committee is responsible for complying with these requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Committee. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Cash Receipts

1. We inquired of the Committee whether they deposited all gifts from corporations, labor organizations and all gifts restricted to operations into the same fund into which they deposit amounts received from the State Tax Commissioner. Management informed us they received no such gifts.
2. We did not foot each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* Ohio Rev. Code 3517.17 requires (Deposit Form 31-CC), as it was not filed for 2014. We footed each *Statement of Contributions Received* (Form 31-A), which was filed for 2014. We noted no computational errors.

Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.17 requires a political party to deposit into its restricted fund all public moneys received from the Ohio Political Party Fund. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 3517.10 (C) (6) (b) indicates the secretary of state shall prescribe the form for all statements required to be filed under this section. As prescribed by the Ohio Secretary of State, the Committee is required to use the *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* (Deposit Form 31-CC revised 3/05) to report all receipts from the Ohio Political Party Fund.

The Committee used an outdated *Statement of Contributions Received* (Form 31-A) to report receipts from the Ohio Political Party. The Committee should use the latest *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* form (Form 31-CC) to report receipts from the Ohio Political Party.

3. We did not compare bank deposits reflected in 2014 restricted fund bank statements to total deposits recorded in Deposit Form 31-CC, as it was not filed for 2014. We compared each *Statement of Contributions Received* (Form 31-A), which was filed for 2014. The bank deposit amounts agreed to the deposits recorded in the Form 31-A.
4. We scanned the Committee's 2014 bank statements and noted they reflected four quarterly payments received from the State Tax Commissioner pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code 3517.17(A). The Deposit Forms 31-A reported the sum of these four payments without exception.

Cash Receipts – (Continued)

5. We scanned other recorded 2014 receipts for evidence that a corporation or labor organization may have exceeded the \$10,000 annual gift limit Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(3)(a) imposes. We found no evidence that any corporation or labor organization exceeded this limit.

Cash Reconciliation

1. We recomputed the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2014 reconciliation for the bank account used for receipts and disbursements restricted pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.1012(A). We found no computational errors.
2. We agreed the bank balance on the reconciliation to the bank statement balance as of December 31, 2014. The balances agreed.
3. There were no reconciling items on the December 31, 2014 cash reconciliations.

Cash Disbursements

1. We did not foot each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements* Ohio Rev. Code 3517.17 requires as it was not filed for 2014. We footed each *Statement of Expenditures* (Form 31-B), which was filed for 2014. We noted no computational errors.
2. Per Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(1), we did not scan Disbursement Form 31-M, which was not filed for 2014. We scanned *Statement of Expenditures* (Form 31-B), which was filed for 2014, and inquired of management whether they transferred any cash from the restricted fund to any other political party account into which contributions may be made or from which contributions or expenditures may be made. We found no evidence of prohibited transfers.
3. We did not compare the amounts on checks or other disbursements reflected in 2014 restricted fund bank statements to disbursement amounts reported on Disbursement Form 31-M, which was not filed for 2014. We compared the amounts on checks or other disbursements reflected in 2014 restricted bank statements to disbursements amounts reported on *Statement of Expenditures* (Form 31-B), which was filed for 2014. We found no discrepancies.
4. For each disbursement on Disbursement Form 31-M filed for 2014, we did not trace the payee and amount to payee invoices and to the payee's name on cancelled checks as it was not filed for 2014. We traced the payee's name on the canceled check to the *Statement of Expenditures* (Form 31-B), which was filed for 2014. The payee and amount recorded on the Disbursement Form agreed to the payee and amount on the cancelled check.
5. We scanned the payee for each 2014 disbursement for evidence that it might represent a contribution or campaign-related disbursement, both of which Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(2)(b) prohibit. We found no evidence that any restricted fund disbursements represented contributions or campaign-related disbursements.
6. We compared the signature on the 2014 checks to the list date 2014 of authorized signatories the Committee provided to us. The signatory on all checks we selected was an approved signatory. We compared the endorsement to the payee listed on the check without exception.
7. We did not scan each 2014 restricted fund disbursement recorded on Form 31-M for evidence that it represented a transfer from the restricted fund to any other state or county political party, which Ohio Rev. Code 3517 (X)(4) prohibits, as it was not filed for 2014. We scanned each 2014 restricted fund disbursement recorded on *Statement of Expenditures* Form 31-B, which was filed for 2014, for evidence that it represented a transfer from the restricted fund to any other state or county political party, which Ohio Rev. Code 3517 (X)(4) prohibits. We found no evidence of any transfers.

Cash Disbursements – (Continued)

8. We did not compare the purpose of each disbursement listed on 2014 Disbursement Form 31-M to the purpose listed on the vendor invoice and to the purposes Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18 permits as it was not filed for 2014. We compared the purpose of each disbursement listed on the 2014 *Statement of Expenditures* Forms 31-B, which was filed for 2014, to the purpose listed on the vendor invoice and to the purposes Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18 permits. We found no instances where the purpose described on the invoice violated the restrictions of Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18.

We were not engaged to, and did not examine each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* and *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements* filed for 2014, the objective of which would have been to opine on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that we would have reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Secretary of State of Ohio and for the Fairfield County Republican Executive Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Julian & Grube, Inc.
March 20, 2015

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

FAIRFIELD COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY

FAIRFIELD COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
APRIL 21, 2015**