





# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Clermont County Park District Clermont County 2228 US Highway 50 Batavia, Ohio 45103

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Park Commissioners and the management of the Clermont County Park District (the Park District) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash

- As permitted by the Ohio Revised Code, the Clermont County Treasurer is custodian for the Park District's deposits. The County's deposit and investment pool holds the Park District's assets. We therefore confirmed the Park District's account balance with the Clermont County Auditor. The amounts agreed.
- We agreed the January 1, 2013 beginning fund balances recorded in the County's MUNIS Account Trial Balance Report to the December 31, 2012 fund balances documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the County's Account Trial Balance Report to the December 31, 2013 balances in the County's Account Trial Balance Report. We found no exceptions.

### Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2014 and one from 2013:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the MUNIS Account Trial Balance Report using the account inquiry function. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper fund as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.

- 2. We scanned the Account Trial Balance report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2014 and 2013. We noted the MUNIS Account Trial Balance Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Ohio Public Works Commission to the Park District during 2014 and 2013 with the Commission. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Over-The-Counter Cash Fees**

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2014 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2013 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the MUNIS Account Trial Balance Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the audit period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### Debt

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we noted the following bonds outstanding as of December 31, 2012. These amounts agreed to the Park District's January 1, 2013 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

| Issue                                     | Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2012: |
|---|--|
| 2004 Special Obligation Improvement Bonds | \$345,300                                      |

- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the MUNIS Account Trial Balance Report and Invoice List Report for evidence of debt issued during 2014 or 2013 or debt payment activity during 2014 or 2013. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3. We noted the Park District refunded the 2004 Special Obligation Improvement Bonds in 2013.
- 3. We obtained a summary of bonded debt activity for 2014 and 2013 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedule to debt service fund payments reported in the MUNIS Account Trial Balance Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Park District made the payments. We found no exceptions.
- 4. For bonds refunded during 2013, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Park District refunded the entire amount of the outstanding Series 2004 bond with the proceeds of the 2013 Series bond. We agreed the amount of refunded debt from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Official Proceedings. The amounts agreed.

# **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2014 and one payroll check for five employees from 2013 from the Payroll Detail Check History Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Detail Check History Report to supporting documentation (timecard, or legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
  - a. Name
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
  - c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged
  - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
  - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding
  - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

- 3. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Payroll Detail Check History Report:
  - a. Accumulated leave records
  - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
  - c. The Park District's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

#### **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the MUNIS Invoice List Report for the year ended December 31, 2014 and ten from the year ended 2013 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Invoice List Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.

- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

## Compliance – Budgetary

- 1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Certificate of the Total Amount From All Sources Available For Expenditures and Balances*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the MUNIS YTD Budget Report for the General and Williamsburg/Batavia Trail funds for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The amounts agreed.
- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2014 and 2013 to determine whether, for the General and Williamsburg/Batavia Trail funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the MUNIS YTD Budget Report for 2014 and 2013 for the following funds: General and Williamsburg/Batavia Trail funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the YTD Budget Report.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General and Williamsburg/Batavia Trail funds for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 for the General and Williamsburg/Batavia Trail funds, as recorded in the MUNIS YTD Budget Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. We scanned the 2014 and 2013 MUNIS YTD Budget Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$1,000.00 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 7. We inquired of management and scanned the MUNIS YTD Budget Report to determine whether the Park District elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Park District did not establish these reserves.
- 8. We scanned the MUNIS Account Trial Balance Report for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 for negative cash fund balances. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Park District's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance and others within the Park District, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

**Dave Yost** Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

July 29, 2015





### **CLERMONT COUNTY PARK DISTRICT**

## **CLERMONT COUNTY**

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED AUGUST 13, 2015