



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

TWIN VALLEY BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE TWIN VALLEY COMMUNITY SUPPORT NETWORK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
Independent Accountants' Report	1
Appendix A: Actual Uniform Cost Report Adjustments – 2011	6

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Dalon K. Myricks, Assistant Deputy Director & CFO Ohio Department of Mental Health 30 East Broad Street, 11th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3430

Dear Mr. Myricks:

As required by Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19 the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) performed the procedures enumerated below, codified in Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19.1, Appendix A, Part G to which the Ohio Department of Mental Health (ODMH) also agreed. These procedures are designed to assist you in evaluating whether Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare: Twin Valley Community Support Network (hereafter referred to as Twin Valley CSN) prepared its Actual Uniform Cost Report (AUCR) for the periods July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011 in accordance with the Uniform Cost Reporting Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19 and to assist you in evaluating whether expenditure transactions complied with 2 CFR Part 225 (OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments*), and other compliance requirements described in the procedures below. Twin Valley CSN's management is responsible for preparing these reports. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of ODMH. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Throughout this report we identified and documented any variance greater than plus or minus two percent as material and obtained management's explanation of the variance(s) for inclusion in this agreed-upon procedures report. Our procedures and findings are as follows:

I. Mathematical Accuracy Testing

1.) We compared total disbursements on the Ohio Administrative Knowledge System (OAKS) FIN103 Expenditures by Department ID and Account report and allocated administrative disbursements from the HCM113 Civil Service Payroll Costs by CSN/Inpatient, Employee and Dept ID CF Detail report to total disbursements reported on Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare CSN's AUCR during State Fiscal Year (SFY) ending June 30, 2011.

We did find that Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19(B) and OMB Circular A-87, Appendix A, Part (C)(1)(g) require each CSN to prepare its AUCR in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted (GAAP) in the United States of America; however, the AUCR reviewed for the CSN was prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. This is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. For example, the AUCR includes CSN personnel costs and allocated administrative hospital personnel costs from the pay periods 6/19/2010 through 6/18/2011.

We found no differences.

2a.) We compared the sum of the totals reported on the AUCR for personnel and non-personnel costs with the amount reported on the AUCR for the total costs of each service.

We found no differences.

2b.) We compared the sum of the reported service total costs and allocation of administrative overhead from the AUCR with the value reported on the AUCR for total costs of each service.

We found no differences.

2c.) We compared the result of total costs divided by the number of units from the AUCR with the cost per unit reported on the AUCR for each service.

We found no differences.

2d.) We compared the difference of the value reported for total costs less unallowable costs from the AUCR with the value reported for total allowable costs on the AUCR for each service.

We found no differences.

2e.) We compared the result of reported allowable costs divided by the number of units served from the AUCR with the allowable cost per unit reported on the AUCR for each service.

We found no differences.

2f.) We compared the sum of the amount reported in each column, from the number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) assigned to the total allowable costs from the AUCR, with the total mental health services reported on the AUCR under each corresponding column.

We found no differences.

2g.) We compared the sum of the values reported under total mental health services, the values reported in the total agency service total and administrative overhead from the AUCR with the agency total costs reported on the AUCR under each corresponding column.

We found no differences.

II. Personnel Costs

1.) We compared total salaries, wages, and fringe benefits on the HCM113 Civil Service Payroll Costs by CSN/Inpatient, Employee and Dept ID CF Detail report to total personnel costs reported on the Twin Valley CSN's SFY 2011 AUCR.

We found no differences exceeding two percent.

2.) From the personnel costs reported on the AUCRs, we selected the yearly personnel costs for 10 employees for SFY 2011. We performed the following procedures on these samples by inspecting the supporting documentation (e.g., HCM113 Civil Service Payroll Costs Report, job descriptions, etc.).

2a.) We determined if supporting documentation for personnel costs was maintained as required by 2 CFR 225 (OMB Circular A-87, Appendix A, (C) (1)(J)) and properly classified as allowable or unallowable as defined in sections (1) and (2) of paragraph (H) of Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19.

We found no differences exceeding two percent.

2b.) For any unallowable personnel disbursements we reviewed the AUCR to determine if they were included in unallowable costs.

We found no unallowable personnel disbursements.

2c.) For any unallowable personnel disbursements we determined if they were allocated on the Budgeted Uniform Cost Report (BUCR) using the same cost methodology as they were allocated on the AUCR (e.g., number of FTEs).

We found no unallowable personnel disbursements.

2d.) We reviewed supporting documentation to determine if personnel costs were properly allocated as direct service or support service costs and to the appropriate service(s) (e.g., pharmacological management) in accordance with the Uniform Cost Reporting Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19.

We found no differences.

III. Non-Personnel Costs

1.) From the non-personnel costs reported on the AUCR, we haphazardly selected 40 non-personnel disbursements in SFY 2011. We performed the following procedures on each selected disbursement:

1a.) We determined if each disbursement was properly classified as allowable or unallowable as defined in sections (1) and (2) of paragraph (H) of Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19 and 2 CFR Part 225, Appendix A, (C)(1)(j) and Appendix B.

We found no differences.

1b.) We determined if the allocation method(s) used for the tested non-personnel disbursements complied with the procedures outlined in the Uniform Cost Reporting Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19.

We found \$52,530 in cost savings days that were allocated to CSN programs for unpaid employee furlough days in which there was not adequate supporting documentation to demonstrate a benefit to the CSN's programs; therefore, the cost was unallowable under 2 CFR Part 225, Appendix A, Section (C)(3)(a) and (C)(1)(j). We also noted \$9,115 in audit fees incorrectly classified as unallowable cost. We reported these differences in Appendix A.

1c.) For any unallowable non-personnel disbursements we determined if they were allocated on the Budgeted Uniform Cost Report (BUCR) using the same cost methodology as they were allocated on the AUCR (e.g., number of FTEs).

We found no differences.

IV. Administrative Overhead Costs

1.) From the administrative overhead costs reported on the AUCR, we haphazardly selected non-personnel disbursements for SFY 2011.

The SFY 2011 AUCR for Twin Valley CSN did not include non-personnel administrative disbursements; however, it included personnel costs. Therefore, we compared the costs reported for 13 administrative cost centers reported on *Schedule, A-4 Attach* and *Schedule A-4, Admin Overhead* of the SFY 2011 AUCR to the costs reported on HCM113CSPCD (Civil Service Payroll Costs by CSN/Inpatient and Employee and Dept. ID) report.

We found no differences.

1a.) We determined if each disbursement was properly classified as allowable or unallowable as defined in sections (1) and (2) of paragraph (H) of Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19 and 2 CFR Part 225, Appendix A, (C)(1)(j) and Appendix B.

We found no differences.

1b.) We determined if the allocation method(s) used for the tested administrative overhead disbursements were allocated using one of the allowable methods described in the Uniform Cost Reporting Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19.

We found no unallowable allocation methods.

1c.) For any unallowable administrative overhead costs we determined if they were allocated on the BUCR using the same cost methodology as they were allocated on the AUCR (e.g., number of FTEs).

We found no unallowable administrative overhead costs.

V. Units of Service

1.) We compared the number of units on the AUCR with the Twin Valley units of service cross tab report to determine if units were reported in compliance with the Uniform Cost Reporting Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19.

The CSN reported 2,175 units for forensic monitoring service on the AUCR by taking the total amount of the grant divided by the unit rate. The CSN did not track the number of units of forensic monitoring service provided. However, they could provide a forensic monitoring report of the number of consumers served. We reported these statistics in Appendix A.

2.) From and by each service with costs reported on the AUCR, we haphazardly selected 43 units of service for SFY 2011. We performed the following procedures on the selected units:

2a.) We determined if supporting documentation for the units of service was maintained as required by the Uniform Cost Reporting Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5122-26-19 and met the service documentation requirements of Ohio Admin. Code § 5101:3-27-02 and units of service conventions in Ohio Admin. Code § 5101:3-27-05.

- Date of service;
- Duration of the service contact;
- Unit of service convention (e.g., one hour of mental health assessment is one unit).

We found no missing documentation or instances of incomplete documentation which would result in a recoverable finding.

Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare Twin Valley Community Support Network Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 5

VI. BUCR to AUCR Comparison

1.) We compared each cost category on the BUCR against the AUCR and determined if the same cost methodology was used (e.g., number of FTEs).

We did not identify any differences in *Schedule A-1, Uniform Cost Report, Schedule A-2, Personnel Service Costs, Schedule A-3, Non Personnel Costs, and Schedule A-4, Administrative Overhead Cost. Schedule A-4 Attach* for the BUCR, which supports Schedule A-4, was unavailable for SFY 2011.

We received a response from officials to the exceptions noted above under Units of Service (procedure 2a). We did not audit the response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the AUCR(s). Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the managements of the CSN, ODMH, the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services, and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sincerely,

Dave Yost Auditor of State

December 18, 2012

cc: John Eardley, Director of Fiscal Services, Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare Ed Desmond, CSN Director, Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare

Appendix A Twin Valley Community Support Network For the AUCR Reporting Period from 7/01/2010 to 6/30/2011

2010 Worksheet/ Schedule	Reported Original Amount on AUCR		Adjustment (s) Required		Final Adjusted Amount		Explanation of Adjustment
Washington CMHS CSN AUCR Schedule A-1				-			i
Pharmacological Mgt. (Medication/Somatic.)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$	752	\$ \$	1	\$	4,332	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
BH Counseling and Therapy (Ind.) (Ind. Counseling)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$	188	\$ \$		\$	1,083	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
BH Counseling and Therapy (Gp.) (Gp. Counseling)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs		94	\$ \$		\$	542	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
Community Psychiatric Supportive Treatment (Ind.) (Ind. CSP)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$	4,322	\$ \$		\$	24,911	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
Community Psychiatric Supportive Treatment (Gp.) (Gp. CSP)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$	94	\$ \$		\$	542	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
Home Based (Other MH Serv.)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$	1,879	\$ \$	10,831 (1,879)	\$	10,831	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
Forensic Monitor (Other MH Serv.) Column 2- Number of Units		2175	\$	2,066		109	To correct number of units to number of individuals served
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$	564	\$ \$		\$	3,249	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
Jail Support Medication Somatic (Other MH Serv.)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$	752	\$ \$		\$	4,332	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees
ACT Team (Peer Support, Outreach, etc.)							
Column 10-Un-Allowable Costs	\$ \$	470 9,115	\$	(470)	\$ \$	2,708 52,530	To reclassify Cost Savings Days to Un-Allowable Cost To reclassify Audit Fees



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

TWIN VALLEY BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE: TWIN VALLEY COMMUNITY SUPPORT NETWORK

FRANKLIN COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED JANUARY 10, 2013

> 88 East Broad Street, Fourth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.ohioauditor.gov