



#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Pleasant Township Hardin County 12554 Barbara Dr. Kenton, Ohio 43326

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Pleasant Township, Hardin County (the Township) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

#### Cash and Investments

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We compared the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2010 balances in the prior year audited statements. The balances agreed with the exception of the Private Purpose Trust Fund. The audited balance of the Private Purpose Trust Fund at December 31, 2010 was \$2,086 and the January 1, 2011 balance in the Fund Ledger Report was \$2,034. The failure to present audited fund balances within the accounting records inhibits the Trustees' ability to monitor financial activity and may result in inappropriate spending. The Township should consider adjusting the balance of this fund so that it agrees to the audited balance.
  - We also agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2010 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed however we noted that the fund balance at December 31, 2012 for the Permanent Fund was \$50.16. This fund had a \$0 fund balance at December 31, 2011. During 2012, an interest receipt in the amount of \$50.16 was receipted into the Permanent Fund instead of the Private Purpose Trust Fund. The Township did not have an established Permanent Fund. Recording interest receipts in the wrong fund inhibits the monitoring of financial activity and could result in the illegal expenditure of the interest receipts.

## Cash and Investments (Continued)

**Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.131** requires, in part, that the investment earnings earned on the principal of a nonexpendable trust fund shall be credited to that fund. During 2012 interest in the amount of \$50.16 earned on the principal of the Private Purpose Trust Fund was recorded in the Permanent Fund.

The Township has made the necessary adjustment to the accounting records to record this interest receipt in the correct fund.

A periodic review of the accounting records should be performed to help assure interest receipts are recorded in the correct funds.

- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balances with the Township's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also compared the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation. The confirmed investment balances were \$822,555.93 and the amounts on the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation were \$822,525.93. Understated investment balances impacts the monitoring of financial activity and the determination of available resources. Any difference between the investment balances reported by the bank and the carrying value on the books should be reported as a reconciling item on the bank reconciliation.
- 5. We selected all reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January and February bank statements. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
- 6. We selected all reconciling credits (such as deposits in transit) from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced the one credit of \$1.00, which was the result of a bank error, to the subsequent February bank statement. We found no exceptions.
- 7. We tested investments held at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### **Property Taxes and Intergovernmental**

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2012 and one from 2011:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2012 and 2011. We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.

# **Property Taxes and Intergovernmental (Continued)**

- 3. We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2012 and all from 2011. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Expenditure History by Vendor reports from 2012 and five from 2011.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### Debt

- 1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010.
- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011.

## **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Ledger and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Ledger to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll ledger. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare	January 31, 2013	November 5, 2012; December 10, 2012;	\$688.36	\$688.36
		December 18, 2012		
State income taxes	January 31, 2013	December 17, 2012	\$ 164.74	\$ 164.74
School District income tax	January 31, 2013	December 17, 2012	209.33	209.33
City of Kenton income tax	January 31, 2013	December 17, 2012	9.00	9.00
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2013	December 17, 2012	\$1,094.59	\$1,094.59

## **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
  - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

### Compliance - Budgetary

- 1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Road & Bridge and Fire funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The amounts on the *Certificate* agreed to the amounts recorded in the accounting system, except for the Fire Fund in 2011. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the Fire Fund of \$49,297 for 2011. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$48,947. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes. The Fiscal Officer and Trustees should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree.
- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2012 and 2011 to determine whether, for the General, Road & Bridge and Fire funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2012 and 2011 for the following funds: General, Road & Bridge and Fire. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road & Bridge and Fire funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General, Road & Bridge and Fire funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.

## Compliance - Budgetary (Continued)

- 7. We scanned the 2012 and 2011 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of inter-fund transfers exceeding \$500 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 to determine if the township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

May 22, 2013





# **PLEASANT TOWNSHIP**

## **HARDIN COUNTY**

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED JUNE 25, 2013