





INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Milan-Berlin Township Public Library Erie County 19 East Church Street P.O. Box 1550 Milan, Ohio 44846-1550

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Milan-Berlin Township Public Library, Erie County, Ohio (the Library), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' Government Auditing Standards. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2010 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2011 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also compared the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation and noted investment balances per the bank reconciliation and accounting system were \$48,231 higher than the amounts confirmed with the financial institutions because the Fiscal Officer reported certain accounts at fair market value rather than on a cost basis. The Library's accounting records have been adjusted to correct this overstatement. The Clerk-Treasurer should update the carrying value of investments to accurately reflect the investments' cost basis acquisition price.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:

- a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
- b. We traced the amounts and dates to the Payment Register Report, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
- 6. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2011 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.
- 7. We tested investments held at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the Erie County Auditor Local Government Confirmations (the Confirmations) from 2012 and two from 2011. We also selected two LLGS receipts from the Huron County Auditor Local Government Confirmations (the Confirmations) from 2012 and two from 2011:

- a. We compared the amounts from the Confirmations to the amounts recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per County, per month for 2012 and 2011. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the *Statement*) from the Erie County Auditor for 2012 and one from 2011. We also selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement* from the Huron County Auditor for 2012 and one from 2011:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts per County for 2012 and 2011. We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2012 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2011 recorded in the receipt binders and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the audit period, where applicable. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

- 1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010.
- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Register-Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register-Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account code to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files, or minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes and Medicare (and society security for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2013	January 30, 2013	\$3,200	\$3,200
State income taxes	January 15, 2013	January 2, 2013	685	685
Village of Milan income tax	January 31, 2013	January 2, 2013	225	225
City of Norwalk income tax	January 31, 2013	January 2, 2013	195	195
School District income tax	January 15, 2013	January 2, 2013	55	55
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2013	January 2, 2013	6,428	6,428

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We scanned the Payment Register Report for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and determined that the proceeds from the levy passed under Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.23, were used for the purpose stated in the resolution.

Compliance - Budgetary

- We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2012 and 2011 for the following funds: General and Harley Brownell Gibbs Trust. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report.
- Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General and Harley Brownell Gibbs Trust funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Library, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

June 13, 2013





MILAN-BERLIN TOWNSHIP PUBLIC LIBRARY

ERIE COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED JULY 9, 2013