JEROME TOWNSHIP UNION COUNTY

AGREED UPON PROCEDURES

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012-2011



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

JEROME TOWNSHIP UNION COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures......1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Dave Yost · Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Jerome Township Union County 9777 Industrial Parkway Plain City, Ohio 43064

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Jerome Township, Union County, Ohio (the Township) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Journal to the December 31, 2010 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Journal. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balances with the Township's financial institutions. We found no exceptions.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
- 6. We selected five reconciling credits (such as deposits in transit) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each credit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We agreed the credit amounts to the Receipt Journal. Each credit was recorded as a December receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.

Cash and Investments (Continued)

- 7. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2012 and 2011 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.
- 8. We tested investments held at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2012 and one from 2011:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- We scanned the Receipt Journal to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2012 and 2011. We noted the Receipts Journal included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2012 and five from 2011. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Appropriation History Report from 2012 and five from 2011.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 4. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Jerome Village Community Authority to the Township during 2012 with the Authority. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 5. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Millcreek Township to the Township during 2012 and 2011 for the fire contract services with Millcreek Township. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

- 1. The prior audit disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010.
- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Journal and Appropriation Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of loan activity for 2012 and 2011 and reviewed the related debt amortization schedule. We noted that no principal or interest payments were due during the audit period.
- 4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the General fund per the Receipt Journal. The amounts agreed.
- 5. For new debt issued during 2012, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Township must use the proceeds to purchase land. We scanned the Appropriation Ledger and noted the Township spent the loan proceeds on a land purchase in August of 2012.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Paychex Payroll Journal and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Paychex Payroll Journal to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files, minute record or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare	January 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	\$9,805	\$9,805
State income taxes	January 15, 2013	December 31, 2012	\$1,813	\$1,813
Local income tax	January 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	\$535	\$535
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	\$4,192	\$4,192
OP&F retirement	January 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	\$8,407	\$8,407

 For the pay periods ended November 14, 2012 and May 18, 2011, we compared documentation and the recomputation supporting the allocation of the Boards' salaries to the General and Road & Bridge Fund per the Appropriation Ledger. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

- 4. For the pay periods described in the preceding step, we traced Boards' salary for time or services performed to time or activity sheets. We found no exceptions.
- 5. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Paychex Payroll Journal:
 - a. Accumulated leave records
 - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
 - c. The Township's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Vendor Payment Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Vendor Payment Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

- We compared the total estimated receipts from the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Receipt Account Status Report for the General, Road & Bridge and Fire District funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The amounts agreed.
- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2012 and 2011 to determine whether, for the General, Road & Bridge, and Fire District funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2012 and 2011 for the following funds: General, Road & Bridge, and Fire District Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road & Bridge, and Fire District funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.

Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General, Road & Bridge, and Fire District funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Journal for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. The Township established the Ambulance & EMS fund during 2011 to segregate emergency medical service receipts and disbursements, in compliance with Section 5705.09.
- 6. We scanned the 2012 and 2011 Revenue Account Status and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$25,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 -- .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 7. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

- 1. We inquired of management and scanned the Vendor Payment Report for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for procurements requiring competitive bidding under the following statutes:
 - a. Materials, machinery and tools used in constructing, maintaining and repairing roads and culverts, where costs exceeded \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21)
 - b. Construction and erection of a memorial building or monument costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 511.12)
 - c. Equipment for fire protection and communication costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 505.37 to 505.42)
 - d. Street lighting systems or improvement costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Section 515.01 & 515.07)
 - e. Building modification costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) to achieve energy savings (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.264)
 - f. Private sewage collection tile costs exceeding \$25,000 (\$50,000 effective September 29, 2011) (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 521.02 to 521.05)
 - g. Fire apparatus, mechanical resuscitators, other fire equipment, appliances, materials, fire hydrants, buildings, or fire-alarm communications equipment or service costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.37(A))
 - h. Maintenance and repair of roads exceeding \$45,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01)
 - i. Construction or reconstruction of a township road exceeding \$15,000/per mile (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01)

We identified no purchases subject to the aforementioned bidding requirements.

We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Ledger for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 to determine if the township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, Board of Trustees, and others within the Township, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

ine Yost

Dave Yost Auditor of State

March 18, 2013



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

JEROME TOWNSHIP

UNION COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED APRIL 16, 2013

> 88 East Broad Street, Fourth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.ohioauditor.gov