



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

CLEARWATER COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title P	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Appendix A: Income and Expenditure Report Adjustments – 2011	6

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Dave Yost · Auditor of State

Independent Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Halina Schroeder, Audit Chief Division of Fiscal Administration, Audit Office Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities 30 E. Broad Street, 13th Floor Columbus OH 43215

Dear Ms. Schroeder:

As permitted by Ohio Revised Code Section 5123.05 and as required by the *Application for a §1915(c) Home and Community Based Services Waiver*, Appendix I-2(c), the Auditor of State's Office performed the procedures enumerated below, to which the Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities (DODD) agreed. The purpose is to assist you in evaluating whether the Clearwater –Council of Government (Clearwater COG or the COG) prepared its *Income and Expenditure Report* (Cost Report) and *County Summary Workbooks*¹ for the year ended December 31, 2011 in accordance with DODD's Guide to Preparing Income and Expenditure Reports for COGs and County Boards of Developmental Disabilities (Cost Report Guides) and to assist you in evaluating whether reported receipts and disbursements complied with 2 CFR 225 (OMB Circular A-87 *Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Government*'s management is responsible for preparing these reports. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of DODD. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the purpose.

Revenue Testing

1. DODD requested us to compare the COG's receipts with those reported on *Schedule C Income Report* of the *County Summary Workbooks* and report variances exceeding two percent of total receipts on *Schedule C Income Report* or greater than \$1,000.

We compared amounts paid to the COG per the 2011 Annual Subsidy Amount and Reconciliation Final reports (DODD confirmations) to Schedule C, Income Report of the County Summary Workbooks.

We found no differences exceeding two percent or greater than \$1,000.

2. We scanned Clearwater COG's trial balance and general ledger reports to see if any transfers were recorded on Clearwater COG's *Income and Expenditure Report* of the *County Summary Workbooks*.

¹ Clearwater COG recorded receipts and disbursements on behalf of the County Boards of Developmental Disabilities (County Boards). Clearwater COG prepared *County Summary Workbooks* to distribute these receipts and disbursements to each of the following County Boards: Ottawa, Erie, Huron, Seneca, Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion.

We found transfers included on *Schedule A, Summary of Expenditures-By Program* of the *Income* and *Expenditure Report* which were adjusted in Appendix A.

Payroll Testing

1. DODD asked us to determine if employee salaries on the COG's payroll register and trial balance were within two percent of payroll costs reported on the COG cost report and the *County Summary Workbooks*.

We compared the total payroll costs per the COG's payroll register and trial balance with payroll costs reported on the COG cost report and the *County Summary Workbooks*.

We found no differences exceeding two percent.

2. We scanned the COG's payroll journal for 2011 and compared classification of employees to entries on the COG cost report and *County Summary Workbooks* to determine if salary and benefit costs were reported in accordance with the Cost Report Guides.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A.

3. We selected a haphazard sample of two percent of total employees and determined whether they had their salary properly allocated to the appropriate cost categories cost category on the COG cost report or the County Summary Workbooks.

Differences identified as a result of applying these procedures are listed in Appendix A.

Trial Balance Analysis and Non-Payroll Expenditures Testing

1. We compared the COG's total assets to total liabilities plus equity on the trial balance report.

We found no differences or computational errors.

2. DODD asked us to compare the COG's disbursements on the trial balance report and general ledger reports to *Schedule A, Summary of Service Costs-By Program* and Worksheets 2 through 5 of the COG cost report and Schedule A and Worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks*, and report variances exceeding \$100 for total service contracts, other expenses and COG expenses on any Worksheet.

We compared all service contracts, other expenses and the COG expenses on *Schedule A*, *Summary of Service Costs-By Program* and Worksheets 2 through 5 of the COG cost report and Schedule A and Worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks* to disbursements on the COG's trial balance report and general ledger reports.

We found transfers that were properly not recorded on the COG cost report or *County Summary Workbooks* and we found no other differences.

3. DODD asked us to determine whether the COG's disbursements on the general ledger reports were properly classified within two percent of total service contracts, other expenses and COG expenses for Worksheets 2 through 5 of the COG cost report and Schedule A and Worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks* and if these Worksheets included disbursements over \$100 which are non-federal reimbursable under 2 CFR Appendix B.

We scanned the COG's general ledger report for service contracts, other expenses and COG expense rows on Worksheets 2 through 5 of the COG cost report and Schedule A and Worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks* and reviewed documentation to identify disbursements not classified according to the Cost Report Guides or costs which are non-federal reimbursable under 2 CFR 225 Appendix B.

We found no differences exceeding two percent of total service contracts, other expenses and COG expense or any disbursement over \$100 which are non-federal reimbursable under 2 CFR 225 Appendix B on any Worksheet.

4. We haphazardly selected a sample of 60 non-payroll disbursements from the general ledger report that were classified as total service contracts, other expenses or COG expenses on Worksheets 2 through 5 of the COG cost report and Schedule A and Worksheets 2 through 10 of the *County Summary Workbooks*.

We determined if supporting documentation was maintained as required by 2 CFR 225 (OMB Circular A-87, Appendix A, (C)(1)(j)) and the disbursement was properly classified according to the Cost Report Guides.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A for misclassified and non-federal reimbursable costs.

5. We scanned the COG's general ledger report for items purchased during 2011 that met the COG's capitalization criteria and traced them to inclusion on the COG's fixed asset listing.

We found no unrecorded purchases meeting the capitalization criteria.

Property, Depreciation, and Asset Verification Testing

1. DODD asked us to compare the COG's procedures regarding capitalization of fixed assets with the Cost Report Guides for preparing *Worksheet 1, Capital Costs* and 2 CFR 225 (OMB Circular A-87, Appendix B, 15(a)(2).

We found no inconsistencies between the COG's capitalization procedures and the guidelines listed above.

2. DODD asked us to compare the COG's depreciation schedule to *Worksheet 1, Capital Costs* of the COG cost report and report any variances exceeding \$100.

We compared all depreciation entries on *Worksheet 1, Capital Costs* of the COG Cost Report to the COG's Depreciation Schedule.

We found no differences exceeding \$100.

3. We scanned the COG's Depreciation Schedule for 2011 for depreciation taken on the same asset more than once, assets that have been fully depreciated, or depreciation taken on assets during the period of acquisition which were not in compliance with the Cost Report Guides.

We found no differences.

4. We compared the COG's final 2010 Depreciation Schedule to the COG's 2011 Depreciation Schedules for changes in the depreciation amounts for assets purchased prior to the period under review which were not in compliance with the Cost Report Guides.

Clearwater Council of Government Independent Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 4

We found no differences.

5. We haphazardly selected 5 of the COG's fixed assets or five percent of items which meet the COG's capitalization policy and are being depreciated in their first year in 2011 to determine if their useful lives agreed to the estimated useful lives prescribed in the 2008 AHA Asset Guides. We also recomputed the first year's depreciation for these assets, based on their cost, acquisition date and useful life to determine compliance with the Cost Report Guides.

We found no differences.

6. DODD asked us to haphazardly select the lesser of five percent or 5 disposed assets in 2011 from the COG's list of disposed assets and determined if the asset was removed from the COG's fixed asset ledger. DODD also asked us to recalculate depreciation and any gain or loss applicable to 2011 for the disposed items based on its undepreciated basis and any proceeds received from the disposal or sale of the asset to determine compliance with the Cost Report Guide and CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 1.

We did not perform this procedure because the County Board stated that no capital assets were disposed of in 2011.

Medicaid Administrative Claiming Testing

1. DODD asked us to contact its Office of Audits to report differences between the MAC salary and benefits versus the County Board's payroll records exceeding one percent.

We compared salaries and benefits entered on the Individual MAC Costs by Code and MAC Random Moment Time Summary (RMTS) reports to the COG's quarterly payroll records.

We found no differences exceeding one percent.

2. We compared the MAC Random Moment Time Summary (RMTS) reports to Lines 1-2 of *Worksheet 4, Medicaid Administrative Claiming* of the COG cost report.

We found no differences.

3. We compared Ancillary Costs on the Roll up Report for Ohio Department of Job and Family Services to Lines 6-10 of the *MAC Reconciliation Worksheet*.

We found differences as reported in Appendix A.

4. We selected 13 RMTS observed moments completed by employees of the COG from the DODD RMTS Participant Moments Question and Answer report for the third quarter of 2011 in which they documented their time spent on administering Medicaid-funded programs. We determined if supporting documentation of the COG employees' activity for each observed moment was maintained and the observed moment was properly classified in accordance with DODD's Guide to Medicaid Administrative Claiming (MAC) using the Random Moment Time Studies (RMTS) Methodology for 2011.

We found no differences.

We did not receive a response from officials to the exceptions noted above.

Clearwater Council of Government Independent Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 5

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the COG's Cost Report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the managements of the COG, DODD, the Ohio Department of Medicaid, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sincerely,

ive Yort

Dave Yost Auditor of State

August 19, 2013

cc: Nancy Richards, Executive Director, Clearwater –Council of Government Amy Lash, Director of Fiscal Operations, Clearwater –Council of Government Dr. Dee Zeffiro-Krenisky, Board President, Clearwater –Council of Government Superintendents of all Member County Boards of Developmental Disabilities Business Managers of all Member County Boards of Developmental Disabilities

Appendix A Clearwater Council of Government 2011 Income and Expenditure Report and County Summary Workbook Adjustments

Cost Report Location	Reported Amount	Correction		Corrected Amount	Explanation of Correction
Clearwater Council of Government Income and Expenditure Report					
Schedule A					
16. Match Paid to DODD on Behalf of all County Boards	\$ 304,083	\$	(40,765)	\$ 263,318	To remove a transfer
Worksheet 2					
1. Salaries	\$ 83,754	\$	(20,845)	\$ 62,909	To reclassify athletic league salary
4. Other Expenses	\$ 190,735	\$	(33,936)		To reclassify MAC Fees
		\$	(36,512)	120,287	To remove a transfers
Worksheet 4					
4. Other Expenses (B) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$ -	\$	33,936	\$ 33,936	To reclassify MAC Fees
MAC Reconciliation Worksheet Lines (6-10) Other Cost Column A-Reimbursement Requested Through Calendar Year	\$ -	\$	20,709	\$ 20,709	To correct MAC Ancillary costs for 2011
Crawford County Worksheet 10 27. COG Expense (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$ 20,043	\$	8,017	\$ 28,060	To reclassify athletic league salary
Marion County Worksheet 10 27. COG Expense (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$ 31,518	\$	3,207	\$ 34,725	To reclassify athletic league salary
Morrow County Worksheet 10 27. COG Expense (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$ -	\$	3,207	\$ 3,207	To reclassify athletic league salary
Seneca County Worksheet 10 27. COG Expense (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$ 3,550	\$	3,207	\$ 6,757	To reclassify athletic league salary
Wyandot County Worksheet 10 27. COG Expense (O) Non-Federal Reimbursable	\$ 14,446	\$	3,207	\$ 17,653	To reclassify athletic league salary



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

CLEARWATER COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENT

OTTAWA COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED OCTOBER 8, 2013

> 88 East Broad Street, Fourth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.ohioauditor.gov