



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Paulding County Agricultural Society
Paulding County
P.O. Box 222
Paulding, Ohio 45879-0222

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors, (the Board) and the management of Paulding County Agricultural Society, Paulding County, Ohio (the Society), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2010 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2010 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the December 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2011 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balance reported in the Annual Financial Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2012 bank account balances with the Society's financial institution. We found no exceptions. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December and January bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

6. We selected the reconciling credit which was a deposit in transit from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced the credit to the subsequent December bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We agreed the credit amount to the Receipt Ledger. The credit was recorded as a November receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

We selected all the receipts from the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2012 and 2011. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Vendor Expense Report from 2012 and five from 2011.

- a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account codes. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Junior Livestock Auction

We selected three livestock auction sales. For each sale, we:

1. Agreed the sale amount recorded in the cash receipts book to:
 - a. The auction bid records.
 - b. Bank deposit from the sale
 - c. The seller's invoice
 - d. The amount on the check written to the seller.

We found no exceptions.

2. Also agreed the auction bid records to:
 - a. The buyer's invoice amount
 - b. Bank deposit for the purchase

We found no exceptions.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the receipt ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of November 30, 2010.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. From the Expenditure report, we re-footed checks recorded as disbursements for *equipment and grounds maintenance* for 2012. We found no exceptions.
2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expenditure Report for the year ended November 30, 2012 and ten from the year ended November 30, 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expenditure Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

July 1, 2013

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

PAULDING COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY

PAULDING COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JULY 23, 2013**