



Dave Yost • Auditor of State





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Maple Grove Union Cemetery  
Portage County  
6698 N. Chestnut Street  
Ravenna, Ohio 44266

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Maple Grove Union Cemetery (the Cemetery) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The Cemetery processes its financial transactions with the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN). *Government Auditing Standards* considers this service to impair the independence of the Auditor of State to provide attest services to the Cemetery because the Auditor of State designed, developed, implemented, and as requested, operates UAN. However, *Government Auditing Standards* permits the Auditor of State to perform this engagement, because Ohio Revised Code § 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to provide UAN services, and Ohio Revised Code § 117.11(A) mandates the Auditor of State to perform attest services for Ohio governments.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2010 beginning balance recorded in the December 31, 2009 balances *in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers*. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2011 and 2010 cash balances reported in Cash Summary of Funds Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2011 bank account balances with the Cemetery's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation without exception.

### **Cash and Investments – (Continued)**

5. We selected five reconciling debits (outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### **Intergovernmental Receipts**

1. We agreed amounts paid from Ravenna Township during 2011 and 2010, as documented on the Township's Payment Ledgers to the Cemetery Receipt Register Report. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account codes. These receipts were improperly posted as miscellaneous revenue, instead of intergovernmental revenue.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed amounts paid from the City of Ravenna during 2011 and 2010, as documented on the City's confirmation to the Cemetery Receipt Register Report. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account codes. These receipts were improperly posted as miscellaneous revenue, instead of intergovernmental revenue.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Sales of Lots and/or Charges for Services**

We haphazardly selected 10 cash receipts for sales of lots from the year ended December 31, 2011 and 10 cash receipts for sales of lots from the year ended 2010 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper account code, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

**Debt**

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2009.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2010 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2010 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2010 or 2011.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2011 and one payroll check for five employees from 2010 from the Detailed Payroll Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Detail Payroll Report to supporting documentation (timecard or legislatively-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the account code to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2011 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2011. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes & Medicare	January 31, 2012	12/31/11	2,054.48	2,054.48
State income taxes	January 15, 2012	12/30/11	396.25	396.25
Local income tax	January 31, 2012	12/30/11	317.40	317.40
OPERS retirement	January 31, 2012	12/30/11	3,858.85	3,858.85

**Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2011 and ten from the year ended 2010 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a proper account code. We found no exceptions.

**Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 for projects requiring the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. We identified no projects subject to the aforementioned prevailing wage requirements.

**Officials' Response** – We did not receive a response from Officials to the exception reported above.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Cemetery's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Government, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping "Y" and "O".

**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

August 3, 2012



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

MAPLE GROVE UNION CEMETERY

PORTAGE COUNTY

## CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED  
SEPTEMBER 25, 2012