BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION STEUBENVILLE, OHIO

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION TOGETHER WITH AUDITOR'S REPORT AS OF JUNE 30, 2011



Executive Committee Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission 124 North 4th Street Steubenville, Ohio 43952

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditor's Report* of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission, Jefferson County, prepared by S.R. Snodgrass, A. C., for the audit period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

April 4, 2012



Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2011

Independent Auditor's Report	Page <u>Number</u> 3-4
Management's Discussion & Analysis	5-7
Budget Comparison	8
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Government-wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Activities Fund Financial Statements: Balance Sheet – General Fund Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of General Fund to the Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – General Funds	9 10 11 12 13
Reconciliation of the Statements of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance of General Fund to the Statement of Activities	14
Notes to the Financial Statements	15-24
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	25-27
Note to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	28
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	29-30
Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program And On Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	31-32
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	33
Status of Prior Audit Findings	34
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: Audited Rates	35
Indirect and Fringe Comparison	36
Schedule of Agency Management Expenditures – Indirect Costs	37
Schedule of Fringe Benefits	38
Schedule of Contract Revenues and Expenditures	39-40
Note to Schedule of Contract Revenues and Expenditures	41





INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Executive Committee of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the government-wide activities and each major fund of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission ("BHJ"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, which collectively comprise the BHJ's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the government-wide activities and each major fund of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission, as of June 30, 2011, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 27, 2012, on our consideration of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Management's Discussion and Analysis and Budget Comparison on pages 5 through 8, are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Audited Rates, Indirect and Fringe Comparisons, Schedule of Agency Management Expenditures – Indirect Costs, Schedule of Fringe Benefits and Schedule of Contract Revenue and Expenditures on pages 35 through 40 are additional supplementary information. None of these supplementary schedules are a required part of the basic financial statements. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the BHJ's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards included on pages 25 through 27 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U. S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations,* and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the BHJ. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Wheeling, West Virginia

5. R. Snodyass, A.C.

January 27, 2012

BROOKE-HANCOCK JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

The Purpose of the Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) provides an overview of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's (BHJ) financial performance and provides an introduction to the financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2011. This audit is a one-year snap shot of BHJ's financial health. Through a multiple year comparison, this MD&A provides a complementary and fuller financial picture.

For the purpose of doing business in West Virginia, the Agency contracts under the name of the Brooke-Hancock Regional Planning and Development Council (BH). Audit report information is a comprehensive picture of the entire agency.

According to the U. S. Federal Accounting Standards Board (*Statement of Recommendations: Accounting Standard #15. April 1999*, the federal mandate for a MD&A is:

"Each general purpose federal financial report (GPFFR) should include a section devoted to management's discussion and analysis (MD&A). It should address the reporting entity's performance measures, financial statements, systems and controls, compliance with laws and regulations, and actions taken or planned to address problems. The discussion and analysis of these subjects may be based partly on information contained in reports other than the GPFFR. MD&A also should address significant events, conditions, trends and contingencies that may affect future operations."

Performance

BHJ continues to sustain a strong and fiscally accountable agency. Over the last 3 fiscal years, we have been fortunate to maintain and expand our program and revenue stream while the fringe/indirect rate structure has remained steady.

BHJ was chartered in 1968. Our purpose, challenges, and partnerships continue to evolve. We understand the need to respond to regional demands and prepare a regional vision within the reality of today's dollars. Our support dollars do not run in perpetuity. They fluctuate according to mandates and events. Approximately 86 percent of BHJ's revenue is generated through federal and state grants. In 2011, 60 percent of funds were allocated to transportation, while 20 percent were distributed to environmental protection programs.

Financial Statement and System Control Statement

- BHJ's financial statement is prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).
 Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses when incurred. Capital assets are capitalized and are depreciated over their useful lives.
- The net assets statement presents information on all BHJ assets and liabilities, with the
 difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in
 net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of BHJ is
 improving and deteriorating.

BROOKE-HANCOCK JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (CONTINUED) YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

- Statements of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances are operational measures. They provide a guideline to determine whether BHJ successfully recovered all of its cost through federal, state and local government and contracts, members' per capita fees, and other contributions and revenues.
- Statements of Cash Flow as illustrated by tables for Statement of Activities, Balance Sheet, and Fund Balances document cash receipts, cash disbursements, and net changes in cash resulting from operating activities, and capital and related financing activities.

Financial Highlights FY 10 to FY 11 Comparisons

- Total net year 2011 assets (i.e., total assets minus total liabilities) were comparable to year 2010
- Over the last 5 years, the audit year 2011 ranked as the third largest accumulated Net Asset year. The low Net Asset year was 2007.
- Revenue in 2011 increased \$146,212 over the previous year. The change was largely due
 to a \$137,000 increase in the regional Brownfield Program. Program funds in this area will
 be exhausted in 2012. A continuation of this competitive grant program has been
 requested.
- Expenses in 2011, driven by the above revenue generation, increased 21 percent.
- When compared to 2010, Indirect Costs in 2011 declined 15 percent, while fringe costs declined 4 percent. The largest indirect category, that is "personnel cost", declined 12 percent during this period.
- A major accounting change for 2008 was the incorporation of "agency management" expenditures, or overhead administrative costs into BHJ's general programs. This change was suggested by the Ohio Department of Transportation Auditor to better illustrate program impact.

Long Term Debt

Long-term debt at June 30, 2011, was \$-0-. Under Ohio Revised Code, BHJ does not have the authority to incur debt; however, BHJ may enter into capital leases.

Contacting BHJ

This financial report is designed to provide members, grantors, federal and state oversight agencies, and the citizens of the Upper Ohio Valley and Northern Panhandle with a general overview of BHJ's finances and to show accountability for monies received. Additional financial information may be obtained by contacting the BHJ Finance Manager (124 North 4th Street, Steubenville, Ohio 43952).

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (CONTINUED) YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Total Ne Assets	et Assets	\$ 82,984	\$121,264	\$ 94,310	\$107,798	\$ 105,392
Added	Cash bank/on hand Accounts receivable Grants receivable Property/equipment Prepaids	\$100,284 9,135 74,722 26,536	\$103,014 9,135 69,559 23,722	\$ 45,089 18,270 88,427 29,490	\$ 84,037 19,312 139,715 27,738	\$ 72,477 19,312 107,165 16,810 8,391
	Total Assets	<u>\$210,677</u>	<u>\$205,430</u>	<u>\$181,276</u>	<u>\$270,802</u>	<u>\$ 224,155</u>
Liabilities						
	Accounts payable Lease payable Accrued/withheld	\$ 34,079 5,084	\$ 26,260 1,495	\$ 17,530 13,698	\$ 50,439 10,978	\$ 45,514 8,084
	benefits	9,459	1,093	15,455	26,554	8,239
	Accrued payroll Accrued/withheld taxes	11,313 3,548	12,873 1,979	14,526 6,609	17,996 8,670	5,285 913
	Due to grantors Deferred revenue	36,911 <u>27,300</u>	21,577 18,889	14,022 <u>5,126</u>	14,022 <u>34,345</u>	15,621 <u>35,107</u>
	Total Liabilities	<u>\$127,694</u>	<u>\$ 84,166</u>	<u>\$ 86,966</u>	<u>\$163,004</u>	<u>\$ 118,763</u>
Revenue	•					
	Fed. grants/projects State financial assistance Per capita dues Other Total Revenues	\$403,437 102,222 103,650 97,615 \$706,924	\$528,155 75,262 104,280 57,958 \$765,655	\$406,781 119,100 104,121 20,686 \$650,688	\$485,093 176,063 105,847 3,320 \$770,323	\$ 644,725 165,110 106,612 88 \$ 916,535
Expendit	tures					
Едропин	Trans. Planning Economic development Transit studies Comm. Development Environmental Protection Homeland Security	\$290,140 82,867 72,059 92,515 133,269 13,401	\$340,569 - 109,472 103,153 174,180	\$406,335 65,696 58,645 105,336 41,634	\$450,707 107,498 53,388 98,694 46,548	\$ 503,951 90,724 46,335 94,215 183,716
	Total Expenditures	<u>\$684,251</u>	<u>\$727,374</u>	<u>\$677,646</u>	<u>\$756,835</u>	<u>\$ 918,941</u>

Source: BHJ Annual Audits.

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION BUDGET COMPARISON FOR THE YEAR-ENDING JUNE 30, 2011

			Difference
	Budget	Actual	(Over Bdgt.)
Wagaa naid far tima warkad	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2011	<u>Under Bdgt.</u>
Wages paid for time worked: Direct labor	\$ 209,097	\$ 189,060	\$ 20,037
Indirect labor	79,422	96,268	(16,846)
TOTAL LABOR - BASE FOR FRINGE ALLOCATION	\$ 288,519	\$ 285,328	<u>\$ 3,191</u>
Fringe Benefits			
Holiday	\$ 15,851	\$ 14,451	\$ 1,400
Sick leave	5,274	11,415	(6,141)
Vacation Other leave	23,870	22,357 3 <u>94</u>	1,513 (394)
Subtotal Fringe Benefit Wages	44,995	48,617	(3,622)
Other Fringe Benefits			
PERS	52,129	53,665	(1,536)
Hospitalization	94,260	77,445	16,815
Medicare	4,836	4,670	166
Workers' Compensation	1,715	1,242	473
Employment services Vision	500 3,000	520	(20) 3,000
Dental	3,000		3,000
Life	1,260	_	<u>1,260</u>
Subtotal Other Fringe Benefits	160,700	137,542	<u>23,158</u>
TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS	\$ 205,695	<u>\$ 186,159</u>	<u>\$ 19,536</u>
Indirect Costs			
Salaries – indirect only	\$ 79,422	\$ 96,268	\$ (16,846)
Fringe benefits for indirect salaries	56,623	62,808	(6,185)
Advertising	500	557 42.036	(57)
Finance consulting/audit Depreciation	1,500 4,000	12,936 7,157	(11,436) (3,157)
Equipment expense	1,000	130	870
Furniture and fixture	-	33	(33)
Insurance/bonds	3,000	3,126	(126)
Legal service	400	1,200	(1,200)
Memberships and subscriptions Miscellaneous	400 1,500	265 302	135 1,198
Photocopy and printing	200	(2,125)	2,325
Postage	4,000	3,253	747
Publications and printing	300	1,106	(806)
Rent	33,000	33,898	(898)
Software/technical support	1,000 8,000	(1,326) 7,105	2,326 895
Supplies Telephone	5,000 5,000	7,105 3,433	1,567
Travel	<u>700</u>	297	403
TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS	<u>\$ 200,145</u>	<u>\$ 230,423</u>	<u>\$ (30,279)</u>

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2011

	Government-wide Activities
ASS	ETS
CURRENT ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Grants and contributions receivable Prepaids Total current assets	\$ 72,477 19,312 107,165 8,391 207,345
CAPITAL ASSETS Property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	16,810
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 224,155</u>
LIABII	LITIES
Accounts payable Accrued and withheld employee benefits Accrued payroll Accrued and withheld payroll taxes Due to grantors Deferred revenue Capital lease obligation – current Total current liabilities	\$ 45,514 8,239 5,285 913 15,621 35,107 3,082 113,761
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES Capital lease obligation – long-term	5,002
Total liabilities	118,763
NET ASSETS Investments in capital assets, net of related debt Unrestricted Total net assets	8,726 <u>96,666</u> 105,392
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	<u>\$ 224,155</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

FUNCTIONS/PROGRAMS	Direct Expenses	Indirect Expenses	Operating Grants and Contributions	Government Wide <u>Activities</u>
Primary Government-wide: Transportation planning Transit studies and capital planning Community development projects Environmental protection projects Economic development projects	\$ 371,011 30,794 57,595 158,283 70,833	\$ 132,940 15,541 36,620 25,433 19,891	\$ 427,957 38,161 65,436 178,368 63,433	\$ (75,994) (8,174) (28,779) (5,348) (27,291)
Total Primary Government-Wide General Government-wide Revenues: Per Capita revenues West Virginia Development Office Other revenues Total General Government-Wide Reven	<u>\$ 688,516</u> nues	<u>\$ 230,425</u>	<u>\$ 773,355</u>	(145,586) 106,612 36,480 88 143,180
Changes In net assets				(2,406)
NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR				107,798
NET ASSETS, ENDING				<u>\$ 105,392</u>

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION GENERAL FUND BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2011

	General <u>Fund</u>
CURRENT ASSETS	
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Grants receivable Prepaids	\$ 72,477 19,312 107,165 8,391
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	<u>\$ 207,345</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	
LIABILITIES Accounts payable Accrued and withheld employee benefits Accrued payroll Accrued and withheld payroll taxes Due to grantors Deferred revenue Total liabilities	45,514 8,239 5,285 913 15,621 35,107 110,679
FUND BALANCE Fund balance – unrestricted	96,666
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 207,345

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION RECONCILITATION OF GENERAL FUND BALANCE TO NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE AGENCY JUNE 30, 2011

General Fund Balance	\$ 96,666
Amounts reported for government-wide activities in the statement of net assets are different because:	
Capital assets of \$99,053 net of accumulated depreciation of \$82,243 are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the fund.	16,810
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable and are reported in the fund.	 (8,084)
Net Assets of Government-wide Agency	\$ 105,392

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES – GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	General <u>Fund</u>	
REVENUES		
Federal grants and projects	\$	644,725
State financial assistance		128,630
Per capita dues		106,612
Local assistance		36,480
Other		<u>88</u>
Total revenues		916,535
EXPENDITURES Transportation planning Transit studies and capital planning Community development projects Environmental protection projects Economic development projects Total expenditures		498,004 46,116 93,645 182,847 90,295 910,907
NET CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE		5,628
GENERAL FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING		91,038
GENERAL FUND BALANCE, ENDING	\$	96,666

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION RECONCILITATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE GENERAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES JUNE 30, 2011

Net Change in Fund Balances - General Fund

\$ (2,406)

Amounts reported for government-wide agency in the statement of activities are different because:

General agency funds report capital outlays as expenditures. The cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and is reported as depreciation expense in the Government-wide Agency.

This is the amount by which depreciation of \$10,927 exceeded capital outlays of \$-0- in the current period.

10,927

General agency funds report debt payments as expenditures. This is the amount of debt payments in the current period.

(2,893)

Change in Net Assets of Government-wide Agency

\$ 5,628

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In fiscal year 2004, BHJ adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments; Statement No. 37 Basic Financial Statements – Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments: Omnibus; and Interpretation No. 6, Recognition and Measurement of Certain Liabilities and Expenditures in Governmental Fund Financial Statements. This resulted in a change in format and content of the basic financial statements, including the institution of two levels of reporting. The two levels are government-wide financial statements and governmental fund financial statements.

Reporting Entity – BHJ is a quasi-government agency that provides planning and administrative service to various federal, state, and local governments for the three county area of Hancock and Brooke Counties of West Virginia and Jefferson County Ohio. BHJ is as a reporting entity in accordance with GASB No. 14.

BHJ is made up of the Commissioners of Brooke and Hancock counties of West Virginia and Jefferson County of Ohio and all mayors of each city and village in the above three counties. The Commissioners then appoint additional members. As such, each of the counties and municipalities is required to pay a per capita contribution to BHJ for each fiscal year.

BHJ maintains its own set of accounting records. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements include only the accounts and transactions of BHJ. Under the criteria specified in Statement No. 14, BHJ has no component units. BHJ is not financially accountable for any other organization.

<u>Government-Wide and Governmental Agency Fund Financial Statements</u> – The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the government.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Indirect expenses have been included as part of program expenses on the statement of activities. Program revenues include grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Other items not properly included among program revenues are reported as general revenue.

Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. The effect of internal activity has been removed from these statements. BHJ has only one governmental fund (General Fund) which is supported primarily by intergovernmental revenues. There are no business-type activities at BHJ.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting – The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, BHJ considers revenues to be available, if they are collected within 90 days after the end of the current fiscal year. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue in the fund financial statements as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met and the resources become available.

<u>Fund Accounting</u> – The accounts of BHJ are organized on the basis of funds or groups of accounts, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. BHJ has one fund (General Fund). The operations of the fund are accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts, which comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. Government resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purposes of which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The fund in this report is reported under the following broad fund category:

General Fund

<u>The Government-wide Agency</u> – The Government-wide Agency is the general operating fund of BHJ. It is used to account for all financial resources.

Revenues – Non-Exchange Transactions – Non-exchange transactions, in which BHJ receives value without directly giving value in return, include grants and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from grants and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements include timing requirements, which specify the year when the resources are required to be used or the fiscal year when use is first permitted, matching requirements, in which BHJ must provide local resources to be used for those specific purposes, and expenditure requirements, in which the resources are provided to BHJ on a reimbursement basis. On a modified accrual basis, revenue from non-exchange transactions must be available before it can be recognized.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

<u>Expenses/Expenditures</u> – On an accrual basis of accounting, expenses are recognized at the time they are incurred. The measurement focus of governmental fund accounting is on decreases in net financial resources (expenditures) rather than expenses. Expenditures are generally recognized in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred, if measurable.

<u>Capital Assets</u> – BHJ capitalizes at cost only non-program specific purchased property and equipment (See Note 3) costing \$500 and greater and with a useful life greater than one year. Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives less any salvage value.

Reservations of Fund Balance – In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose or are not available for expenditure in the government fund balance sheet. Unreserved fund balance indicates that portion of fund equity, which is available for spending in future periods. If restricted and unrestricted assets are available for the same purpose, then restricted assets will be used before unrestricted assets.

<u>Net Assets</u> – Net assets present the difference between assets and liabilities in the statement of net assets. Net assets are reported as restricted when there are legal limitation imposed on their use by external restrictions by creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments.

Allocation of Employee Benefits and Indirect Cost – BHJ's Employee Benefits and Indirect Costs are allocated based upon direct labor costs. The allocation method is approved by BHJ's oversight agency through acceptance of the Overall Work Plan (OWP) submitted annually.

<u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u> – The investment and deposit of BHJ's monies are governed by the provisions of the Ohio Revised Code. In accordance with these statutes, only banks located in Ohio and domestic building and loan associations are eligible to hold public deposits. The statues also permit BHJ to invest its monies in certificates of deposits, savings accounts, money market accounts, the State Treasurer's investment pool (Star Ohio) and obligations of the United States government and certain agencies thereof. BHJ may also enter into repurchase agreements with any eligible depository or any eligible dealer who is a member of the National Association of Securities Dealers for a period not exceeding 30 days.

BHJ is prohibited from investing in any financial instruments, contract, or obligation whose value or return is based upon or linked to another asset or index, or both, separate from the financial instruments, contracts, or obligation itself (commonly known as a "derivative"). BHJ is also prohibited from investing in reverse purchase agreements.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Cash and Cash Equivalents (continued) – Public depositories must give security for all public funds on deposit. These institutions may either specifically collateralize individual accounts in excess of amounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), or may pledge a pool of government securities valued at least 105 percent of the total value of public monies on deposit at the institution or may deposit surety company bonds which when executed shall be for an amount in excess of collateral requirements. Repurchase agreements must be secured by the specific government securities upon which the repurchase agreements are based. These securities must be obligations or of guaranteed by the United States and must mature or be redeemable within 5 years of the date of the related repurchase agreement. The market value of the securities subject to a repurchase agreement must exceed the value of the principal by 2 percent and be marked to market daily. State law does not require security for public deposits and investments to be maintained in BHJ's name.

<u>Income Taxes</u> – BHJ is exempt from federal income tax under §501(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

<u>Use of Estimates</u> – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

<u>Concentration of Credit Risk</u> – Cash deposits and cash on hand consist of the following at June 30, 2011:

	Bank <u>Balance</u>	Carrying <u>Balance</u>
PNC Bank Petty cash	\$ 99,291 	\$ 72,438 <u>39</u>
Total cash deposits and cash on hand	<u>\$ 99,291</u>	<u>\$ 72,477</u>

BHJ's funds at PNC Bank are insured up to the FDIC limit. At June 30, 2011, BHJ's balances were not in excess of the FDIC prescribed insured limits.

NOTE 3 - CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of changes in capital assets for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, is as follows:

Government-wide Activities:

Equipment Balance of July 1, 2010	\$ 99,053
Additions Balance June 30, 2011	99,053
Accumulated Depreciation Prior Years Current Year Balance June 30, 2011	(71,315) (10,928) (82,243)
Net Capital Assets June 30, 2011	<u>\$ 16,810</u>

Direct and In-direct depreciation expense for the period ending June 30, 2011, included in the Government-wide expenditures consists of the following:

Transportation planning	\$	7,535
Transit studies and capital planning		365
Community development projects		866
Environmental protection projects		1,447
Economic development projects		715
Total	<u>\$</u>	10,928

NOTE 4 - DUE TO GRANTORS

Due to grantors of \$15,621 is comprised of amounts due to the following governmental entities at June 30, 2011:

U. S. Department of Commerce – ARC 2005 U. S. Department of Commerce – EDA 2009	\$ 1,754 12,268
U. S. Department of Commerce – ARC 2010 Total due to grantor	1,599 \$ 15,621

NOTE 5 - DEFERRED REVENUE

Deferred Revenue represents monies advanced to BHJ from local governments:

WV EDA	\$ 30,986
Local Per-Capita Revenues	 4,121
Total deferred revenue	\$ 35,107

NOTE 6 - GRANTS RECEIVABLE

Grants receivable of \$107,165 is comprised of amounts due from the following governmental entities at June 30, 2011:

WV Department of Transportation – FHWA (Federal) WV Department of Transportation – FHWA (State) WV Department of Transportation – FTA Section 8 (Federal) WV Department of Transportation – FTA Section 8 (State) Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA (Federal) Ohio Department of Transportation – FHWA (State) Ohio Department of Transportation – FTA Section 8 (Federal) Ohio Department of Transportation – FTA Section 8 (State) Ohio Rideshare Weirton Transit Administration Total transportation	\$ 20,718 2,590 1,911 239 32,859 4,107 1,587 198 13,378 3,718 81,305
Wellsburg Sewer WV Energy Grants Wellsburg Water EPA Brooke County – Mahan Lane Beech Bottom Water Project Oakland PSD Total other	 1,860 4,914 2,118 1,920 13,810 1,238 25,860
Total grants receivable	\$ <u>107,165</u>

NOTE 7 - CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS

Capital lease obligations at June 30, 2011, of \$8,085 consist of the following:

Dollar Leasing Corporation (Copier) \$14,995.00 6.28% interest rate, 60 monthly payments beginning January 31, 2009, for \$291.80.

Maturity of obligation over next 3 years:

2012	\$ 3,082
2013	3,280
2014	<u>1,722</u>
Total	<u>\$ 8,084</u>

BHJ paid interest expense of \$556 on the capital lease obligation for fiscal year-ending June 30, 2011.

NOTE 8 - LINE OF CREDIT

In 2006, BHJ entered into a \$10,000 line of credit agreement with PNC Bank. The line has a term of one year, and each advance will bear interest of 9.75 percent. The line of credit was renewed on May 30, 2011. There were no draws or payments associated with this loan during the fiscal year, except for \$150 annual renewal fee. No balance was outstanding at fiscal year end.

NOTE 9 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

All of BHJ's full-time employees participate in a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan (Traditional Plan) administered by the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System.

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) – The following information was provided by the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) to assist BHJ in complying with GASB Statement No. 27, Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Government Employers.

- A. Ohio Public Employee Retirement System (OPERS) administers three separate pension plans as described below:
 - 1. The Traditional Pension Plan a cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan.
 - 2. The Member-Directed Plan a defined contribution plan in which the member invests both member and employer contributions (employer contributions vest over five years At 20 percent per year). Under the Member-Directed Plan, members accumulate retirement assets equal to the value of member and (vested) employer contributions plus any invest earnings.
 - 3. The Combined Plan a cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. Under the Combined Plan, OPERS invest employer contributions to provide a formula retirement benefit similar in nature to the Traditional Pension Plan benefit. Member contributions, the investment of which is self-directed by the members, accumulate retirement assets in a manner similar to the Member-Directed Plan.
- B. OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and death benefits and annual cost-of living adjustments to members of the Traditional Pension and Combined Plans. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits.
- C. Authority to establish and amend benefits and amend benefits is provided in Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code.
- D. OPERS issues a stand-alone financial report. Interested parties may obtain a copy by writing to OPERS, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, OH 43215-4642, or by calling 614-222-5601 or 800-222-7377.

NOTE 9 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

- E. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions. The 2010 member contribution rates were 10.00 percent for members and the employer contribution rate was 14.00 percent of covered payroll. Internal Revenue Service law makes it possible for an employer to pay (pick up) employee contributions for member of PERS, and BHJ has opted to contribute 15.85 percent with plan members contributing 8.15 percent of covered payroll. BHJ's required contribution for the periods ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, were \$53,665, \$50,609, and \$48,961, respectively.
- F. Total required employer contributions for all plans are equal to 100 percent of employer charges and should be extracted from the employer's records.

NOTE 10 - OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) – The following information was provided by the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) to assist BHJ in complying with GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-employment Benefits Other than Pensions.

OPERS provides post-retirement health care coverage to age and service retirees with ten or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit, and to primary survivor recipients of such retirees. Health care coverage for disability recipients is also available under OPERS. The health care coverage provided by the retirement system is considered an Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) as described in GASB Statement No. 45.

A. Plan Description

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) administers three separate pension plans: The Traditional Pension Plan - a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan; the Member-Directed Plan - a defined contribution plan; and the Combined Plan - a cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has elements of both a defined benefit and defined contribution plan.

OPERS maintains a cost-sharing employer defined benefit post-employment health care plan, which includes a medical plan, prescription drug program, and Medicare Part B premium reimbursement, to qualifying members of both the Traditional Pension and the Combined Plans. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits, including post-employment health care coverage.

In order to qualify for post-employment health care coverage, age-and-service retirees under the Traditional Pension and Combined Plans must have 10 or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability benefit recipients and qualified survivor benefit recipients is available. The health care coverage provided by OPERS meets the definition of an Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) as described in GASB Statement 45.

NOTE 10 – OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

The Ohio Revised Code permits, but does not mandate, OPERS to provide OPEB benefits to its eligible members and beneficiaries. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided in Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code.

OPERS issues s stand-alone financial report. Interested parties may obtain a copy by writing OPERS, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, OH 43215-4642, or by calling 614-222-5601 or 800-222-7377.

B. Funding Policy

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority requiring public employers to fund post retirement health care through their contributions to OPERS. A portion of each employer's contribution to OPERS is set aside for the funding of post retirement health care benefits.

Employer contribution rates are expressed as a percentage of the covered payroll of active members. In 2010, state and local employers contributed at a rate of 14.00 percent of covered payroll, and public safety and law enforcement employers contributed at 17.87 percent. The Ohio Revised Code currently limits the employer contribution to a rate not to exceed 14.00 percent of covered payroll for state and local employer units and 18.10 percent of covered payroll for law and public safety employer units. Active members do not make contributions to the OPEB Plan.

OPERS' Post Employment Health Care Plan was established under, and is administrated in accordance with, Internal Revenue Code 401(h). Each year, the OPERS Board of Trustees determines the portion of the employer contribution rate that will be set aside for funding of post employment health care benefits. The portion of employer contributions allocated to health care for members in the Traditional Plan was 5.5 percent from January 1 through February 29, 2010, and 5.0 percent from March 1 through December 31, 2010. The portion of employer contributions allocated to health care for members in the Combined Plan was 4.73 percent from January 1 through February 28, 2010, and 4.23 percent from March 1 through December 31, 2010. The OPERS Board of Trustees is also authorized to establish rules for the payment of a portion of the health care benefits provided by the retiree or their surviving beneficiaries. Payment amounts vary depending on the number of covered dependents and the coverage selected. Employer contributions to fund post-employment benefits for the period were \$29,018.

C. OPERS Retirement Board Implements its Health Care Preservation Plan

The Health Care Preservation Plan (HCPP) adopted by the OPERS Board of Trustees on September 9, 2004, was effective January 1, 2007. Member and employer contribution rates for state and local employers increased January 1 of each year from 2006 to 2008. These rate increases allowed additional funds to be allocated to the health care plan.

NOTE 11 - CONTINGENCIES

Federal and State Grants — Under the terms of BHJ's various grants, periodic audits are required where certain costs could be questioned as not being an eligible expenditure under the terms of the grant. At June 30, 2011, there were no significant questioned costs that had not been resolved with the applicable federal and state agencies. Questioned costs could still be identified during audits to be conducted in the future. Management of the BHJ believes there will be no material adjustments to the grants and, accordingly, has not recorded a provision for possible repayments under the above grants.

BHJ receives a substantial amount of support from federal, state, and local governments. A significant reduction in the level of this support, if such were to occur, would have a material effect on BHJ's programs and activities.

In the normal course of its business activities, BHJ may become subject to claims and litigation relating to contracts, employment, or other matters. In the opinion of management, the resolution of any such claims pending would not likely have a material impact on the BHJ's financial position.

NOTE 12 - RISK MANAGEMENT

BHJ is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to and destruction of assets, flood and earthquake, errors and omissions, employment matters, injuries to employees, and employee theft and fraud.

BHJ participates in the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation for workers compensation coverage and with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services for unemployment coverage. BHJ continues to carry commercial insurance for all other risks of loss, including employee health and accident insurance. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past 3 years, and there has been no significant reduction in insurance coverage from coverage in prior years.

NOTE 13 - ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY

Approximately 55 percent of BHJ's revenue is from the Federal and State Departments of Transportation and Planning Grants.

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Agency or Pass-through Number	<u>Expenditures</u>
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Federal Highway Administration - ARRA Pass-through from Ohio Department of Transportation	20.205		
Highway Planning & Construction Transit Technical Studies – FTA Section 8 Special Transportation Planning		DOTC 134477 DOTC 134477	\$ 145,693 13,192 3,867
Jefferson County Transit Study Rideshare Green – CMAQ – 2011 Rideshare Green – CMAQ – 2010 Freight Study		DOT1 E070000085 516311 E070(661) DOT 1 E1000000478	4,017 47,193 32,394 47,618
Pass-through from West Virginia Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning & Construction Transit Technical Studies – FTA Section 8		OL 603 OL 603	104,405 12,784
Pass-through from City of Weirton Weirton Transit Administration		WV-90-X162-00	4,287
Pass-through from Steel Valley Regional Transit Authority			
Transit Service Option Plan		DOT 15404	4,637
Total CFDA #20.205			420,087
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		\$	420,087
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION Appalachian Local Development District Pass-through from WV Region XI	23.009		
ARC Administrative – 2011 ARC Administrative – 2010 Total CFDA #23.009		WV-2436-C39 WV-2436-C38	18,611 11,607 30,218
TOTAL APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSI	ON		30,218

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	CFDA Number	Agency or Pass-through Number	Expenditures
U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS Brownsfield Assessment and Clean-up – ARRA EPA Petroleum – Administration Contract EPA Hazardous Materials – Administrative Contract TS&T Focus Grant Total CFDA #66.818	66.818	BF97384901 BF97384801	\$ 25,936 43,238 <u>64</u> 69,238
Pass-through from the WV DEP – Brooke County PSD Mahan/Eldersville Sewer I Total CFDA #66.418	66.418	XP-98355901-0	7,218 7,218
Pass-through from the City of Wellsburg Wellsburg Sewer Total CFDA #86.606	66.610	XP-98399701-1	6,052 6,052
TOTAL U. S. ENVIROMENTAL PROTECTIONS			<u>82,508</u>
U. S. Department of Energy Pass-through from WV Division of Energy WV Energy Grant-ARRA Total CFDA #81.128	81.128	10-183	<u>73,723</u> 73,723
TOTAL U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY			73,723
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Economic Development Partnership Planning Program	11.302		
Pass-through from the WV EDA Region XI West Virginia Economic Development		01-83-08921	29,801
Pass-through from the Business Development Corporation Economic Development Adjustment Total CFDA #11.302			1,916 31,717
TOTAL U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			31,717

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Agency or Pass-through Number	Expenditures
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT Community Development Block Grant			
Pass-through from WV Development Office/ Brooke County Commission Mahan/Eldersville Sewer II Total CFDA #14.219	14.219	B-07-DC-54-0001	109 109
TOTAL U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			109
TOTAL FEDERAL AWARDS			<u>\$ 638,362</u>

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION NOTE TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the "Schedule") reflects the expenditures of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission programs financed by the U.S. Government for the year ended June 30, 2011. The Schedule has been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Programs containing the "ARRA" designation have been funded through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To The Executive Committee
Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson
Metropolitan Planning Commission

We have audited the government-wide statement of net assets and statement of activities and the balance sheet – governmental fund, statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance – governmental fund, reconciliation of the balance sheet of governmental fund to statement of net assets, and the reconciliation of the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances of governmental fund to the statement of activities of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated January 27, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission is internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, others within the organization, and the federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Wheeling, West Virginia

S. R. Smodgrass, A.C.

January 27, 2012



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Executive Committee
Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson
Metropolitan Planning Commission

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission (BHJ) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's major federal program is identified in the summary of the auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major federal program is the responsibility of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and *OMB Circular A-133*. Those standards and *OMB Circular A-133* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered BHJ's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with *OMB Circular A-133*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Center's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis.

A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be *material weaknesses*, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, others within the organization, and the federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Wheeling, West Virginia

S.R. Snodgrass, A.C.

January 27, 2012

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2011

SUMMARY OF AUDITOR RESULTS

<u>SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS</u>

Type of auditor's report issued:

An unqualified opinion has been issued on the financial statements of Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, dated January 27, 2012.

Internal control over Financial Reporting Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiencies identified?	Yes X No Yes X None reported
Noncompliance material to financial statemen	·
Federal Awards	
Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiencies identified?	YesX_No YesX_None reported
	compliance for major programs of Brooke-Hancock- as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011,
Any audit findings disclosed that are required in accordance with Circular A-133, Section 5	
Identification of major programs:	
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program
20.205	U. S. Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Research

The dollar threshold used to determine major programs was \$300,000.

Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission was determined to be a low-risk auditee for the year ended June 30, 2011.

SECTION 2 – FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

We noted no findings related to the financial statements which are required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS.

SECTION 3 - FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

We noted no findings or questioned or likely questioned costs for federal awards for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2011

None

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION AUDITED RATES AUDITED FRINGE, INDIRECT & COMBINED RATE 1998 TO 2011

<u>Year</u>	Fringe <u>Rate</u>	Indirect <u>Rate</u>	Combined <u>Rate</u>	Annual <u>Change</u>
1998	0.5735	1.0312	1.6047	0 %
1999	0.5792	1.2882	1.8854	17 %
2000	0.5655	1.0942	1.6597	(12)%
2001	0.5651	1.3223	1.8874	14 %
2002	0.6311	1.0838	1.7149	(9)%
2003	0.7325	1.3683	2.1008	22 %
2004	0.7020	1.2558	1.9578	(7)%
2005	0.7553	1.3806	2.1359	9 %
2006	0.6415	1.4890	2.1305	0 %
2007	0.6620	1.1954	1.8616	(27)%
2008	0.6314	1.2770	1.9084	3 %
2009	0.7228	1.3266	2.0494	7%
2010	0.6797	1.4322	2.1119	3%
2011	0.6524	1.2188	1.8712	(11)%
2012 Project	0.6981	0.9662	1.6643	(11)%

Source: BHJ Annual Audit Reports. 1998 – 2011 and BHJ Budget and Overall Work Program 2012.

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

INDIRECT AND FRINGE COMPARISON 2010 TO 2011 INDIRECT COSTS

				%
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Change</u>
TOTAL INDRECT COSTS	\$ 262,834	\$ 230,403	\$ (32,431)	(12.34)
Personnel	107,992	96,268	(11,724)	(10.86)
Travel	250	297	47	18.80
Fringe Benefits	73,415	62,805	(10,610)	(14.45)
Advertising	404	557	153	37.87
Rent	32,761	33,898	1,137	3.47
Audit /Personal Service Contract	12,375	12,936	561	4.53
Insurance	2,468	3,126	658	26.66
Telephone	3,968	3,433	(535)	(13.48)
Equipment Cost	12,646	3,869	(8,777)	(69.41)
Supplies	3,219	7,105	3,886	120.72
Postage	3,183	3,253	70	2.20
Dues and Publications	787	1,371	584	74.21
Other	9,366	285	(9,081)	(96.96)
INDIRECT COST RATE	1.432	1.219	.213	(14.87)
				%
	2010	2011	Change	<u>Change</u>
TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS	\$ 192,359	\$ 186,158	\$ (6,201)	(3.22)
Salary Benefits	48,128	48,617	489	1.02
Payroll Benefits	3,744	6,431	2,687	71.76
Health Insurance	89,309	77,445	(11,864)	(13.28)
Ohio PERS	51,178	53,665	2,487	` 4.80 [°]
FRINGE BENEFIT RATE	.679	.652	(.027)	(3.98)

Source: BHJ Annual Audits.

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF AGENCY MANAGEMENT EXPENDITURES INDIRECT COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

		Allocation of Indirect Costs	Unallocated Agency <u>Management</u>	Total Agency <u>Management</u>
Personnel Fringe benefits Travel Advertising Rent Audit and personal service contracts Insurance Telephone Equipment costs Supplies Postage Dues and publications Other	\$	96,268 62,805 297 557 33,898 14,136 3,126 3,433 3,869 7,105 3,253 1,371 285	\$ (6,841) (4,567) - - - - 3,770 - - 4,495	\$ 89,427 58,238 297 557 33,898 14,136 3,126 3,433 7,639 7,105 3,253 1,371 4,780
Total, Government-Wide Level Depreciation Lease principal payments Indirect capital asset additions	<u>\$</u>	230,403	\$ (3,143)	227,260 (10,927) 2,893
Total, Fund Level				<u>\$ 219,226</u>

INDIRECT COST RATE COMPUTATION

Total Indirect Costs	\$ 230,403	=	121.87%
Direct Personnel Costs	\$ 189,060		

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF FRINGE BENEFITS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Salary benefits		\$	48,617
Payroll benefits:			
Medicare	\$ 4,670		
Unemployment insurance	520		
Worker's Compensation	1,241		6,431
Other benefits:			
Health insurance	77,445		
Ohio PERS	53,665		131,110
Total fringe benefits		<u>\$</u>	<u>186,158</u>

FRINGE BENEFIT RATE COMPUTATION

TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS	_\$	186,158	=	65.24%
TOTAL PERSONNEL COSTS	\$	285,328		

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF CONTRACT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	Revenue Recorded				Expenditures				
	Federal	State	Local	<u>Total</u>	Personnel	Fringe Benefits	<u>Other</u>	Indirect	<u>Total</u>
Federal Highway Administration Highway Planning and Construction									
Ohio Department of Transportation	\$ 54,822	\$ 5,428	\$ 5,428	\$ 65,678	\$ 32,835	\$ 21,421	\$ 5,972	\$ 40,019	\$ 100,247
WV Department of Transportation	38,601	4,440	4,440	47,481	18,056	11,780	4,159	22,006	56,001
Short Range	38,642	4,830	4,830	48,302	13,766	8,981	1,238	16,778	40,763
TIP	36,533	4,567	4,567	45,667	13,232	8,632	3,007	16,127	40,998
Surveillance	40,750	5,094	5,094	50,938	18,758	12,238	1,007	22,862	54,865
BHJ Freight Study	47,618	11,905	-	59,523	-	-	74,404	-	74,404
Weirton Transit Administration	4,370	-	-	4,370	1,373	896	344	1,674	4,287
Federal Transit Administration Transit Technical Studies: FTA Section 8									
Ohio Department of Transportation	13,192	1,649	1,649	16,490	5,954	3,884	479	7,257	17,574
WV Department of Transportation	12,784	1,598	1,649	16,031	5,424	3,539	406	6,611	15,980
Ohio Special Transportation Planning Ohio Department of Transportation	3,942	-	-	3,942	-	-	3,867	-	3,867
Ohio Long Bongs Finance Flowert									
Ohio Long Range Finance Element Ohio Department of Transportation	40,750	5,094	5,094	50,938	11,037	7,201	1,022	13,452	32,712
Ohio Rideshare Ohio Department of Transportation	79,587	-	-	79,587	1,392	908	97,824	1,696	101,820
Ohio Services Option Ohio Department of Transportation Jefferson County Transit Study	5,353 4,570	-	-	5,353 4,570		- -	4,637 4,017	-	4,637 4,017

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF CONTRACT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	Revenue Recorded		Expenditures						
			Fringe						
	<u>Federal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Personnel</u>	<u>Benefits</u>	<u>Other</u>	Indirect	Total_
Appalachian Regional Commission									
Appalachian Local Development District									
302(a)(1) 0701/10 - 12/31/10	11,607	11,607	_	23,214	2,145	1,400	23	2,615	6,183
302(a)(1) 01/01/11 – 06/30/11	18,611	18,611	-	37,222	27,901	18,203	8,181	34,006	88,291
U. S. Department of Commerce									
Partnership Planning Program									
WV Economic Development	31,717	31,716	-	63,433	16,321	10,648	44,174	19,891	91,034
U. S. Environmental Protection									
EPA Petroleum	25,936	-	-	25,936	4,367	2,848	18,815	5,322	31,352
EPA Hazardous	43,238	-	_	43,238	3,575	2,333	40,369	4,358	50,635
TS&T Focus	5,000	-	-	5,000		-	64	· .	64
WV Division of Environmental Protectio	n								
Rt. 8 Sewer	-	6,911	_	6,911	-	_	-	-	-
Beech Bottom	-	5,598	· _	5,598	-	-	-	-	-
Oakland PSD	-	5,327	-	5,327	2,450	1,599	16	2,987	7,052
Mahan Lane	7,327	-	-	7,327	2,555	1,667	-	3,115	7,337
Wellsburg Sewer	6,052	-	-	6,052	2,565	1,673	147	3,126	7,511
Wellsburg Water	-	4,255	-	4,255	1,335	871	227	1,627	4,060
Weirton Rail Yard	-	-	-	-	300	196	-	366	862
U. S. Department of Energy									
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program-ARRA									
WV Energy Grant	73,723			72 722	2 710	2.426	GARE	4 500	75 500
VVV Lifetgy Grafit	13,123			<u>73,723</u>	3,719	2,426	<u>64,855</u>	4,532	<u>75,532</u>
TOTALS	<u>\$ 644,725</u>	<u>\$ 128,630</u>	<u>\$ 32,751</u>	<u>\$ 806,106</u>	<u>\$ 189,060</u>	<u>\$ 123,344</u>	<u>\$ 379,254</u>	<u>\$ 230,427</u>	<u>\$ 922,085</u>

BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION NOTE TO SCHEDULE OF CONTRACT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Contract Revenues and Expenditures reflects the expenditures of the Brooke-Hancock-Jefferson Metropolitan Planning Commission programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. The Schedule has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, using the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.





BROOKE-HANCOCK-JEFFERSON METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION

JEFFERSON COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED APRIL 17, 2012