



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

### ST. CLAIRSVILLE PUBLIC LIBRARY BELMONT COUNTY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.



## Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

St. Clairsville Public Library Belmont County 108 West Main Street St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the St. Clairsville Public Library, Belmont County, Ohio (the Library), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

## **Cash and Investments**

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2009 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Status Report to the December 31, 2008 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2010 and 2009 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2010 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation with the following exception: The confirmed bank balances plus the petty cash account less outstanding check amounted to \$687,624. The fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report at December 31, 2010 amounted to \$687,357, leaving a variance of \$267. Of the \$267, \$138 was unrecorded interest at December 31, 2010 and \$129 was an unexplained variance.

St. Clairsville Public Library Belmont County Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 2

## Cash and Investments (Continued)

Of the \$138 of unrecorded interest, \$64 was posted to the Library's ledgers in January 2011.

We recommend the Library Fiscal Officer record the \$74 remaining unrecorded interest to the ledgers and take the necessary steps to ensure the Library's cash fund balances reconcile to its bank account balances.

- 5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
- 6. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2010 and 2009 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.
- 7. We tested investments held at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

We selected two State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the County Cross Reference Report by Vendor Number from 2010 and two from 2009:

- a. We compared the amount from the County Cross Reference Report by Vendor Number to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2010 and 2009. We found no exceptions.

#### Debt

We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of bonded or note debt issued during 2010 or 2009 or outstanding as of December 31, 2010 or 2009. We noted no new debt issuances, or any debt payment activity during 2010 or 2009.

#### Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2010 and one payroll check for five employees from 2009 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files and minute record were consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:

St. Clairsville Public Library Belmont County Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 3

## Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

- a. Name.
- b. Authorized salary or pay rate.
- c. Department(s) and fund(s) to which the check should be charged.
- d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
- e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
- f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.).

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

- 2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard and legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files and minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2010 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2010. We noted the following:

Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Withheld	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes	1/31/11	1/14/11	\$1,698.49	\$1,698.49
Ohio state income taxes	1/15/11	12/31/10	\$376.90	\$376.90
West Virginia state income taxes	1/15/11	12/31/10	\$444.00	\$444.00
OPERS retirement (withholding plus employee share)	1/30/11	1/7/11	\$4,464.61	\$4,464.61

- 4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report:
  - a. Accumulated leave records.
  - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date.
  - c. The Library's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 and ten from the year ended 2009 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

St. Clairsville Public Library Belmont County Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 4

#### **Compliance – Budgetary**

- 1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2010 and 2009 for the General and Capital Projects Funds'. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report.
- 2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for the General and Capital Projects Funds', as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

#### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

1. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for procurements requiring competitive bidding to construct, demolish, alter, repair, or reconstruct a library or make any improvements or repairs, the cost of which exceeded \$25,000, except in cases of urgent necessity or for the security and protection of library property (Ohio Rev. Code Section 3375.41).

We identified a building improvement project exceeding \$25,000, subject to Ohio Rev. Code Section 3375.41. For this project, we noted that the Board advertised the project in a local newspaper, and selected the lowest responsible bidder.

2. For the building improvement project described in step 1 above, we read the contract and noted that it required the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. The contract included the Ohio Department of Commerce's schedule of prevailing rates, and also required the contractor to incorporate the prevailing wage requirements into its subcontracts.

#### Officials' Response:

We did not receive a response from officials to the exception noted in this report.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Jare Jost

Dave Yost Auditor of State

March 29, 2011



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

ST. CLAIRSVILLE PUBLIC LIBRARY

**BELMONT COUNTY** 

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

CERTIFIED MAY 5, 2011

> 88 East Broad Street, Fifth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us