



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| IIILE | PAGE |
|--|------|
| | |
| Cover Letter | 1 |
| Independent Accountants' Report | 3 |
| Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balance - For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 5 |
| Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balance - For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 | 6 |
| Notes to the Financial Statements | 7 |
| Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards | 11 |
| Schedule of Findings | 13 |
| Schedule of Prior Audit Findings | 16 |



Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point Belmont County 104 Mellott Street Powhatan Point, Ohio 43942

To the Board of Park Commissioners:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your government to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statements present are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statements you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

March 29, 2011

This page intentionally left blank.

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point Belmont County 104 Mellott Street Powhatan Point, Ohio 43942

To the Board of Park Commissioners:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point, Belmont County, Ohio (the District), as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We were unable to obtain sufficient evidential matter supporting pool admission and concession receipts which are a portion of the amounts recorded as *Charges for Services* receipts for the year ended December 31, 2009. These unsupported receipts represent 23% of the 2009 total receipts. We were unable to determine the validity of pool admission and concession receipts through alternative procedures.

As described more fully in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

While the District does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require park districts to reformat their statements. The District has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

743 East State Street, Athens Mall Suite B, Athens, Ohio 45701-2157 Phone: 740-594-3300 or 800-441-1389 Fax: 740-594-2110

www.auditor.state.oh.us

Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point Belmont County Independent Accountants' Report Page 2

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, or its changes in financial position for the years then ended.

Also in our opinion, except for the effects of such adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had we been able to examine the evidence regarding pool admission and concession receipts for 2009, which are a portion of *Charges for Services* receipts, the financial statements referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the cash balance of the Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point, Belmont County, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and its cash receipts and disbursements for the years then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

The District has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 29, 2011, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

March 29, 2011

STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

| Cash Receipts: Charges for Services Intergovernmental Miscellaneous | \$14,480 30,905 1,394 |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Total Cash Receipts | 46,779 |
| Cash Disbursements: Current Disbursements: Conservation/Recreation | 43,657 |
| Total Cash Disbursements | 43,657 |
| Total Cash Receipts Over Cash Disbursements | 3,122 |
| Cash Balance, January 1 | 9,461 |
| Cash Balance, December 31 | \$12,583 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

| Cash Receipts: Charges for Services Intergovernmental Earnings on Investments Miscellaneous | \$10,363 21,385 613 988 |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Total Cash Receipts | 33,349 |
| Cash Disbursements: Current Disbursements: Conservation/Recreation: | 57,221 |
| Total Cash Disbursements | 57,221 |
| Total Cash Receipts (Under) Cash Disbursements | (23,872) |
| Cash Balance, January 1 | 33,333 |
| Cash Balance, December 31 | \$9,461 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Description of the Entity

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of the Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point, Belmont County, Ohio (the District), as a body corporate and politic. The probate judge of Belmont County appoints a three-member Board of Commissioners to govern the District. The Commissioners are also responsible for the general operations of the park district and pool.

The District's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the District is financially accountable.

B. Accounting Basis

These financial statements follow the accounting basis the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The District recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned, and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State prescribes or permits.

C. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires the Board of Park Commissioners to adopt an annual budget.

1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The District Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. Appropriations lapse at year end.

2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus cash as of January 1.

3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to reserve (encumber) appropriations when individual commitments are made. The District does not utilize the encumbrance method of accounting.

A summary of 2010 and 2009 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009 (Continued)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

D. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The District records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

2. Equity in Deposits

The Ohio Revised Code prescribes allowable deposits and investments. The carrying amount of deposits at December 31 was as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------|---------|
| Demand deposits | \$12,135 | \$9,013 |
| Other time deposits (savings and NOW accounts) | 448 | 448 |
| Total deposits | \$12,583 | \$9,461 |

Deposits: Deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

3. Budgetary Activity

Budgetary activity for the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009, follows:

| Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|--|
| Budgeted Actual | | | | |
| Year | Receipts | Receipts | Variance | |
| 2010 | \$70,000 | \$46,779 | (\$23,221) | |
| 2009 | 65,000 | 33,349 | (31,651) | |

| Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures | | | |
|--|-----------|--------------|----------|
| Appropriation Budgetary | | | |
| Year | Authority | Expenditures | Variance |
| 2010 | \$70,000 | \$43,657 | \$26,343 |
| 2009 | 70,000 | 57,221 | 12,779 |

4. Retirement System

The District's employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes this plan's benefits, which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2010 and 2009, OPERS members contributed 10% of their gross salaries and the District contributed an amount equaling 14% of participants' gross salaries. The District has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2010.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009 (Continued)

5. Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The District insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

The District belongs to the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. American Risk Pooling Consultants, Inc. (ARPCO), a division of York Insurance Services Group, Inc. (York), functions as the administrator of PEP and provides underwriting, claims, loss control, risk management, and reinsurance services for PEP. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP), which is also administered by ARPCO. Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

Casualty and Property Coverage

APEEP provides PEP with an excess risk-sharing program. Under this arrangement, PEP retains insured risks up to an amount specified in the contracts. At December 31, 2009, PEP retained \$350,000 for casualty claims and \$150,000 for property claims.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreement does not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective government.

Financial Position

PEP's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2009 and 2008 (the latest information available):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Assets | \$36,374,898 | \$35,769,535 |
| Liabilities | (15,256,862) | (15,310,206) |
| Net Assets | <u>\$21,118,036</u> | <u>\$20,459,329</u> |

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, the liabilities above include approximately \$14.1 million and \$13.7 million of estimated incurred claims payable. The assets above also include approximately \$13.7 million and \$12.9 million of unpaid claims to be billed to approximately 447 member governments in the future, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These amounts will be included in future contributions from members when the related claims are due for payment. As of December 31, 2009, the District's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$1,000.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009 (Continued)

5. Risk Management (Continued)

Based on discussions with PEP, the expected rates PEP charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the historical contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to PEP for each year of membership.

| Contributions to PEP | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| <u>2010</u> <u>2009</u> <u>2008</u> | | | |
| \$1,433 | \$1,291 | \$1,292 | |

After one year of membership, a member may withdraw on the anniversary of the date of joining PEP, if the member notifies PEP in writing 60 days prior to the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to PEP. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.

6. Contingent Liabilities

Amounts grantor agencies pay to the District are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor. The grantor may require refunding any disallowed costs. Management cannot presently determine amounts grantors may disallow. However, based on prior experience, management believes any refunds would be immaterial.

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point Belmont County 104 Mellott Street Powhatan Point, Ohio 43942

To the Board of Park Commissioners:

We have audited the financial statements of the Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point, Belmont County, Ohio (the District), as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2011, wherein we noted the District followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We also were not able to obtain sufficient evidential matter supporting the pool admission and concession receipts, which is a portion of the amounts recorded as *Charges for Services* receipts for the year ended December 31, 2009. Except for 2009 pool and concession receipts, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of opining on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Therefore, we cannot assure that we have identified all deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, that we consider a material weakness and another deficiency we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and timely corrected. We consider finding 2010-001 described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings to be a material weakness.

743 East State Street, Athens Mall Suite B, Athens, Ohio 45701-2157 Phone: 740-594-3300 or 800-441-1389 Fax: 740-594-2110

www.auditor.state.oh.us

Municipal Park District of Powhatan Point Belmont County Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Required By *Government Auditing Standards* Page 2

A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider finding 2010-004 described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards* which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings as items 2010-001 through and 2010-003.

We also noted certain matters not requiring inclusion in this report that we reported to the District's management in a separate letter dated March 29, 2011.

The District's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings. We did not audit the District's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of management, Board of Park Commissioners, and others within the District. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

March 29, 2011

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

FINDING NUMBER 2010-001

Noncompliance Citation and Material Weakness

Ohio Rev. Code Section 149.351(A) provides that all records are the property of the public office concerned and shall not be removed, destroyed, mutilated, transferred, or otherwise damage or disposed of, in whole or in part, except as provided by law or under the rules adopted by the records commission provided under Section 149.38 to 149.42 of the Revised Code. Such records shall be delivered by outgoing officials and employees to their successors and shall not be otherwise removed, transferred, or destroyed unlawfully.

The District did not maintain the detailed daily records of pool admission and concession receipts for 2009. These records included the daily cash sheets and cash register tapes. As a result, we were unable to obtain sufficient evidential matter supporting pool admission and concession receipts which are a portion of the amounts recorded as *Charges for Services* receipts for the year ended December 31, 2009. Additionally, we were unable to satisfy ourselves of existence and completeness over pool admission and concession receipts through alternative procedures.

We recommend the District maintain supporting documentation, including daily cash sheets and cash register tapes, and any other relevant supporting documentation for pool admission and concession receipts. Failure to maintain such documentation for receipts may compromise the District's ability to ensure existence and completeness, and could result in findings for recovery in future periods.

Officials' Response: The Secretary/Treasurer is aware of the requirements and will try to comply.

FINDING NUMBER 2010-002

Noncompliance Citation

Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D)(1) states that no subdivision shall make any contract or give any order involving the expenditure of money unless there is attached thereto a certificate of the fiscal officer of the subdivision. The fiscal officer must certify that the amount required to meet such a commitment has been lawfully appropriated and is in the treasury or in the process of collection to the credit of an appropriate fund free from any previous encumbrances. Further, contracts and orders for expenditures lacking prior certification shall be null and void.

There are several exceptions to the standard requirement stated above that a fiscal officer's certificate must be obtained prior to a subdivision or taxing authority entering into a contract or order involving the expenditure of money. The main exceptions are "then and now" certificates, blanket certificates, and super blanket certificates, which are provided for in sections 5705.41(D)(1) and 5705.41(D)(3), respectively, of the Ohio Revised Code.

"Then and Now" Certificate – If the fiscal officer can certify that both at the time the contract or order was made ("then"), and at the time the fiscal officer is completing the certification ("now"), that sufficient funds were available or in the process of collection, to the credit of a proper fund, properly appropriated and free from any previous encumbrance, the District can authorize the drawing of a warrant for the payment of the amount due. The District has thirty days from receipt of the "then and now" certificate to approve payment by ordinance or resolution.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009 (Continued)

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS (Continued)

FINDING NUMBER 2010-002 (Continued)

Noncompliance Citation - Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D)(1) (Continued)

Amounts of less than \$3,000 may be paid by the fiscal officer without a resolution or ordinance upon completion of the "then and now" certificate, provided that the expenditure is otherwise lawful. This does not eliminate any otherwise applicable requirement for approval of expenditures by the District.

Blanket Certificate – Fiscal officers may prepare "blanket" certificates for a certain sum of money not exceeding an amount established by resolution or ordinance adopted by a majority of the members of the legislative authority against any specific line item account and cannot extend beyond the end of the fiscal year. The blanket certificate may, but need not, be limited to a specific vendor. Only one blanket certificate may be outstanding at one particular time for any one particular line item appropriation.

Super Blanket Certificate – The District may also make expenditures and contracts for any amount from a specific line item appropriation account in a specified fund upon certification of the fiscal officer for most professional services, fuel, oil, food items, and any other specific recurring and reasonably predictable operating expense. This certification is not to extend beyond the current year. More than one super blanket certificate may be outstanding at a particular time for any line item appropriation.

The District Secretary/Treasurer did not obtain prior certification for 100% of expenditures tested in 2010 and 2009 and there was no evidence the District followed the aforementioned exceptions. Failure to properly certify the availability of funds can result in overspending funds and negative cash balances.

Unless the exceptions noted above are used, prior certification is not only required by statute but is a key control in the disbursement process to assure that purchase commitments receive prior approval. To improve controls over disbursements and to help reduce the possibility of the District's expenditures exceeding budgetary spending limitations, we recommend the District Secretary/Treasurer certify that funds are or will be available prior to an obligation being incurred by the District. When prior certification is not possible, "then and now" certification should be used.

We recommend the District certify purchases to which Section 5705.41(D) applies. The most convenient certification method is to use purchase orders that include the certification language Section 5705.41(D) requires to authorize disbursements. The District Secretary/Treasurer should sign the certification at the time the District incurs a commitment, and only when the requirements of Section 5705.41(D) are satisfied. The District Secretary/Treasurer should post approved purchase orders to the proper appropriation code to reduce the available appropriation.

Officials' Response: The Secretary/Treasurer is aware of the requirements and will try to comply.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009 (Continued)

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS (Continued)

FINDING NUMBER 2010-003

Noncompliance Citation

Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-2-02(C)(1) states that all local public offices should integrate the budgetary accounts, at the legal level of control or lower, into the financial accounting system. This means designing an accounting system to provide ongoing and timely information on unrealized budgetary receipts and remaining uncommitted balances of appropriations.

No documentation was provided to indicate estimated receipts or appropriation amounts were entered into the District's ledgers. Because the information was not entered into the accounting system, District officials were unable to effectively monitor budgetary activity throughout the year.

We recommend the District Secretary/Treasurer post appropriations approved by the Commissioners to the appropriations ledger and the Certificate of Estimated Resources passed by the County Budget Commission be posted to the receipts ledger. The accompanying budgetary presentation includes the appropriations as approved by the Board of Park Commissioners and the estimated receipts as passed by the County Budget Commission.

Officials' Response: The Secretary/Treasurer is aware of the requirements and will try to comply.

FINDING NUMBER 2010-004

Significant Deficiency

Concession sales receipts were recorded separately on the daily cash sheets for the pool. For concession sales, no itemized listing was presented for audit to document the number of concession items sold at a stated price. A detailed inventory of concession stand items was not presented for audit.

There was no record of the number and type of concession items sold on a daily basis. Also, no inventory records were kept of concession stand items which did not allow the District to prepare a cost of goods sold. These weaknesses could result in possible theft, errors, irregularities and/or omissions occurring and not being detected in a timely manner.

We recommend a form or tally sheet be implemented to record the number and type of items sold in the concession stand each day. The total dollar amount of the items sold should be reconciled to the total collected on the cash register tape before the daily deposit is made. Also, a detailed inventory of concession stand items should be maintained to allow a cost of goods sold to be performed. The preparation of the cost of goods sold will allow the District to determine if the concession stand items are properly priced and control theft of inventory items.

Officials' Response: The Park District is aware of documentation requirements and will try to comply.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

| Finding Number | Finding Summary | Fully Corrected? | Not Corrected, Partially Corrected; Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken; or Finding No Longer Valid; <i>Explain</i> |
|-------------------|---|---------------------|---|
| 2008-001 | Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D)(1) for not certifying the availability of funds prior to incurring obligations. | No | Not Corrected; Repeated as Finding No. 2010-002. |
| 2008-002 | Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-2-02(C)(1) for not posting approved budgeted amounts to the District's ledgers. | No | Not Corrected; Repeated as Finding No. 2010-003. |
| 2008-003 | Significant deficiency in regards to inventory and other records for the purchase, sale, and cost of concession goods sold. | No | Not Corrected; Repeated as Finding No. 2010-004. |



MUNICIPAL PARK DISTRICT OF POWHATAN POINT

BELMONT COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED MAY 5, 2011