



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



GIBSONBURG UNION CEMETERY  
SANDUSKY COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Agreed-Upon Procedures .....	1

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Gibsonburg Union Cemetery  
Sandusky County  
315 South Main Street  
Gibsonburg, Ohio 43431-1340

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Gibsonburg Union Cemetery, Sandusky County (the Cemetery) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2009 beginning balance recorded in the Account Transaction Report to the December 31, 2008 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2010 and 2009 cash balances reported in the Account Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2010 bank account balance with the Cemetery's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation:

- a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent January and February bank statement. We found one exception. An outstanding check issued in August of 2010 was still listed as outstanding as of June 1, 2011. We recommend the Cemetery research this check and either re-issue or cancel and reenter the check.
- b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

#### **Cash and Investments (Continued)**

6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

#### **Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

We agreed amounts paid from Madison Township, Sandusky County during 2010, as documented on Statement of Semi-Annual Apportionment of Taxes obtained from the County Auditor, to the Cemetery Account Transaction Report. We found no exceptions.

- a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account codes. We found no exceptions.
- b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Sales of Lots**

We haphazardly selected 10 cash receipts for sales of lots from the year ended December 31, 2010 and 10 cash receipts for sales of lots from the year ended December 31, 2009 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Account Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper account code, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Debt**

1. The prior audit report disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2008.
2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Account Transactions Report for evidence of bonded or note debt issued during 2010 or 2009 or outstanding as of December 31, 2010 or 2009. We noted no new debt issuances nor any debt payment activity during 2010 or 2009.

#### **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2010 and one payroll check for five employees from 2009 from the Account Transaction Report and determined whether the following information in the minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:

- a. Name
- b. Authorized salary or pay rate
- c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged.
- d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
- e. Federal, State and Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
- f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard or legislatively-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the account code to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2010 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2010. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Withheld</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes	January 31, 2011	January 13, 2011	\$320.86	\$320.86
State income taxes	January 15, 2011	January 10, 2011	\$836.18	\$836.18
OPERS retirement (withholding plus employer share)	January 30, 2011	January 10, 2011	\$406.98	\$406.98

**Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. For the Account Transaction Report, we refooted checks recorded as disbursements for supplies for 2010. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed total disbursements (non-payroll and payroll) from the Account Transaction Report for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 to the total disbursements recorded in the check register. We found no exceptions.
3. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Account Transaction Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2009 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Account Transaction Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.

- c. The payment was posted to a proper account code. We found no exceptions.

**Compliance – Contracts and Expenditures**

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for projects requiring the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. We identified no projects subject to the aforementioned prevailing wage requirements.

**Officials' Response:**

We did not receive a response from Officials to the exception noted above.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Cemetery's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and other within the Cemetery, is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

June 1, 2011





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**GIBSONBURG UNION CEMETERY**

**SANDUSKY COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
JUNE 14, 2011**