



### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Secretary of State of Ohio Democratic Executive Committee Champaign County 3875 Hurst Road Urbana, OH 43078

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, to which the Democratic Executive Committee (the Committee) agreed, solely to assist the Committee in evaluating its compliance with certain requirements included in Ohio Rev. Code Sections 3517.1012, 3517.13(X)(1), 2(b), (3)(a), and (4), 3517.17, and 3517.18, for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Committee is responsible for complying with these requirements. We followed the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards for agreed-upon procedures engagements and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Committee. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

## **Cash Receipts**

- We inquired of the Committee whether they deposited all gifts from corporations, labor organizations and all gifts restricted to operations into the same fund into which they deposit amounts received from the State Tax Commissioner. Management informed us they received no such gifts.
- 2. We could not foot each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.1012(B) requires (Deposit Form 31-CC), as the report was not provided to us.
- 3. We could not compare bank deposits reflected in 2010 restricted fund bank statements to total deposits recorded in Deposit Forms 31-CC filed for 2010, as the form was not provided to us.
- 4. We scanned the Committee's 2010 bank statements and noted they did not reflect the four quarterly payments received from the State Tax Commissioner pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code 3517.17(A). The Committee's 2010 bank statements reflected only three payments. We were not provided the Deposit Forms 31-CC.
- 5. We scanned other recorded 2010 receipts for evidence that a corporation or labor organization may have exceeded the \$10,000 annual gift limit Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(3)(a) imposes. We found no evidence that any corporation or labor organization exceeded this limit.

### **Cash Reconciliation**

1. We were unable to test the December 31, 2010 reconciliation for the bank account used for receipts and disbursements restricted pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.1012(A) as there were no reconciliations provided to us.

#### **Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We could not foot each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements* Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18 and 3517.1012 requires (Disbursement Form 31-M), for 2010, as it was not provided to us.
- 2. We could not scan Disbursement Form 31-M for transferred cash, per Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(1), as it was not provided to us. We did inquire with management whether they transferred any cash from the restricted fund into any other political party account into which contributions may be made or from which contributions or expenditures may be made. We also reviewed the bank statements for evidence of transfers. We found no evidence of prohibited transfers.
- 3. We could not compare the amounts on checks or other disbursements reflected in 2010 restricted fund bank statements to disbursement amounts reported on Disbursement Forms 31-M for 2010, as the form was not provided to us.
- 4. We could not trace the amount of the disbursement to the Disbursement Forms 31-M, as it was not provided to us. However, we were able to trace the disbursement from the bank statement to the canceled check.
- 5. We scanned the payee for each 2010 disbursement for evidence that it might represent a contribution or campaign-related disbursement, both of which Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(2)(b) prohibit. We found no evidence that any restricted fund disbursements represented contributions or campaign-related disbursements.
- 6. We compared the signature on 2010 checks to the list dated of authorized signatories the Committee provided to us. The signatory on all checks we selected was an approved signatory. We compared the endorsement to the payee listed on the check without exception.
- 7. We could not scan each 2010 restricted fund disbursement recorded on Form 31-M for evidence that it represented a transfer from the restricted fund to any other state or county political party, which Ohio Rev. Code 3517(X)(4) prohibits, as the form was not provided to us.
- 8. We found no instances where the disbursement violated the restrictions of Ohio Rev. Cod Section 3517.18.

Democratic Executive Committee Champaign County Page 3

We were not engaged to, and did not examine each Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits and Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements filed for 2010, the objective of which would have been to opine on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that we would have reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Secretary of State of Ohio and for the Champaign County Democratic Executive Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone else.

**Dave Yost** Auditor of State

March 25, 2011





### **CHAMPAIGN DEMOCRATIC PARTY**

### **CHAMPAIGN COUNTY**

# **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED MAY 5, 2011