





# Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Lostcreek Township Miami County 101-B Center Street Casstown, Ohio 45312

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Lostcreek Township (the Township) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating certain receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

#### **Cash and Investments**

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of December 31, 2009 and 2008 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
- 3. We confirmed the December 31, 2009 bank account balances with the Township's financial institution, and we observed the year-end bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2009 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 4. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2009 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
- 5. We tested investments held at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code 135.13, 135.14, or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code 135.13 or 135.14. We found no exceptions.

## **Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the *Statement*) for 2009 and one from 2008.
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amount agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included the proper number of tax receipts for 2009 and 2008:
  - a. Two personal property tax receipts
  - b. Two real estate tax receipts

We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax settlement receipts for each year.

- We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2009 and all from 2008. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2009 and five from 2008.
  - a. We compared the amount from the DTL to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

## **Debt**

We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of bonded or note debt issued during 2009 or 2008 or outstanding as of December 31, 2009 or 2008. We noted no new debt issuances, or any debt payment activity during 2009 or 2008.

#### **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2009 and one payroll check for five employees from 2008 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and determined whether the following information in the minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
  - a. Name
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
  - c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged.
  - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
  - e. Federal, State, and Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
  - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

## **Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

- 2. We tested the checks selected in step 1, as follows:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively, or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2009 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2009. We noted the following:

Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount
Federal income taxes	January 31, 2010	December 30, 2009	\$167
State income taxes	January 15, 2010	December 30, 2009	\$131
School district income tax	January 15, 2010	December 30, 2009	\$ 58
OPERS retirement (withholding			
plus employee share)	January 30, 2010	December 30, 2009	\$988

## **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2009 and ten from the year ended 2008 and determined whether:

- a. In our opinion, the disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name, and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name, and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no instances where disbursements requiring certification were not certified and no instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date.

## **Compliance – Budgetary**

1. We compared the total from the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(a)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Road and Bridge, and Fire Protection Levy funds for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. The amounts on the Certificate agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system, except for the following instances.

In 2009:

	Amount per	Amount per Revenue	
Fund	Certificate	Status Report	Variance
General	\$135,637	\$133,576	\$2,061
Road and Bridge	\$ 22,576	\$ 22,477	\$ 99

## Compliance - Budgetary (Continued)

In 2008:

Fund	Amount per Certificate	Amount per Revenue	Variance
Fullu	Certificate	Status Report	variance
General	\$187,780	\$101,102	\$86,678
Road and Bridge	\$ 22,744	\$ 17,090	\$ 5,654
Fire Protection Levy	\$ 26,542	\$ 22,577	\$ 3,965

The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.

- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2009 and 2008 to determine whether, for the General, Road and Bridge, and Fire Protection Levy funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2009 and 2008 for the following funds: General, Road and Bridge, and Fire Protection Levy funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources from Step 1 above. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road and Bridge, and Fire Protection Levy funds for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for the General, Road and Bridge, and Fire Protection Levy funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2009 and 2008. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.
- 7. We scanned the 2009 and 2008 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$100 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 -- .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas. We noted the Township did not make any interfund transfers during 2009 or 2008.
- 8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

## **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

- We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for procurements requiring competitive bidding under the following statutes:
  - a. Materials, machinery and tools used in constructing, maintaining and repairing roads and culverts, where costs exceeded \$25,000. (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21)
  - b. Construction and erection of a memorial building or monument costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 511.12)
  - c. Equipment for fire protection and communication costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 505.37 to 505.42)
  - d. Street lighting systems or improvement costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 515.07)
  - e. Building modification costs exceeding \$25,000 to achieve energy savings (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.264)
  - f. Private sewage collection tile costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 521.02 to 521.05)
  - g. Fire apparatus, mechanical resuscitators, other fire equipment, appliances, materials, fire hydrants, buildings, or fire-alarm communications equipment or service costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.37(A))

We identified two road construction projects in 2009 and one road construction project in 2008 exceeding \$25,000, subject to Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21. For these projects, we noted that the Board advertised the project in a local newspaper, selected the lowest responsible bidder, and paid the contractor from the proper fund.

- We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 to determine if the township had road construction projects exceeding \$45,000 for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.
- 3. For the road maintenance project described in step 1 above, we read the contract and noted that it required the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. The contract included the Ohio Director of Commerce's schedule of prevailing rates.

#### Officials' Response

We did not receive a response from the Officials regarding the exceptions noted in this report.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

Mary Taylor

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

February 5, 2010



## Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

#### LOSTCREEK TOWNSHIP

#### **MIAMI COUNTY**

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED MARCH 23, 2010