



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TITLE	PAGE
Cover Letter	1
Independent Accountants' Report	3
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types - For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	5
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types - For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards	13
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	15





District Board of Health Morrow County 619 West Marion Road Mt. Gilead, Ohio 43338

#### Members of the Board:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your District to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statements present are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statements you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Mary Saylor

June 15, 2009

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



#### **INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT**

District Board of Health Morrow County 619 West Marion Road Mt. Gilead, Ohio 43338

Members of the Board:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the District Board of Health, Morrow County, Ohio, (the District) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present, GAAP require presenting entity wide statements and also presenting the District's larger (i.e. major) funds separately. While the District does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require districts to reformat their statements. The District has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, or its changes in financial position for the years then ended.

88 E. Broad St. / Tenth Floor / Columbus, OH 43215-3506 Telephone: (614) 466-3402 (800) 443-9275 Fax: (614) 728-7199 www.auditor.state.oh.us District Board of Health Morrow County Independent Accountants' Report Page 2

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined fund cash balances and reserves for encumbrances of the District Board of Health, Morrow County, Ohio, as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the years then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

The District has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 15, 2009, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Mary Taylor

June 15, 2009

## COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

	Governmental Fund Types		
	General	Special Revenue	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Cash Receipts:			
Property Taxes	\$ 255,393	\$ -	\$ 255,393
Federal awards	7,034	87,238	94,272
Intergovernmental	157,170	39,984	197,154
Permits	81,634	7,355	88,989
Other fees	87,590	72,042	159,632
Licenses	-	7,785	7,785
Fines	821	1,063	1,884
Contractual services	199,733	-	199,733
Other receipts	2,079	15,412	17,491
Total Cash Receipts	791,454	230,879	1,022,333
Cash Disbursements:			
Salaries	485,535	67,618	553,153
Public employee's retirement	66,598	8,593	75,191
Worker's compensation	9,854	996	10,850
Medicare	6,953	967	7,920
Insurance	49,872	-	49,872
Supplies	22,046	969	23,015
Remittances to State	12,605	10,688	23,293
Equipment	-	1,349	1,349
Contracts - Repair	3,294	-	3,294
Contracts - Services	9,359	54	9,413
Rentals	4,273	-	4,273
Travel	12,056	3,813	15,869
Project Fund Expenditures	-	108,623	108,623
Advertising and printing	5,812	601	6,413
Other	103,009	57,589	160,598
Total Cash Disbursements	791,266	261,860	1,053,126
Total Receipts Over/(Under) Disbursements	188	(30,981)	(30,793)
Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements):			
Reimbursements	22,532	995	23,527
Transfers-In	-	50,500	50,500
Transfers-Out	(50,500)		(50,500)
Total Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)	(27,968)	51,495	23,527
Excess of Cash Receipts and Other Financing Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements and Other Financing Disbursements	(27,780)	20,514	(7,266)
•			
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	510,654	207,346	718,000
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	\$ 482,874	\$ 227,860	\$ 710,734
Reserves for Encumbrances, December 31	\$ 15,099	\$ 13,909	\$ 29,008

## COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Government	_		
	General	Special Revenue	Totals (Memorandum Only)	
Cash Receipts:				
Property Taxes	\$ 245,498	\$ -	\$ 245,498	
Federal awards	8,486	96,704	105,190	
Intergovernmental	135,232	21,261	156,493	
Inspection fees	900	-	900	
Permits	70,376	12,435	82,811	
Other fees	72,080	56,565	128,645	
Licenses	1,859	8,230	10,089	
Fines	=	206	206	
Contractual services	177,982	-	177,982	
Other receipts	1,913	11,417	13,330	
Total Cash Receipts	714,326	206,818	921,144	
Cash Disbursements:				
Salaries	555,988	56,119	612,107	
Public employee's retirement	76,451	7,758	84,209	
Worker's compensation	15,550	1,781	17,331	
Medicare	7,958	798	8,756	
Insurance	45,902	851	46,753	
Supplies	37,211	762	37,973	
Remittances to State	15,789	12,107	27,896	
Equipment	6,689	=	6,689	
Contracts - Repair	7,945	-	7,945	
Contracts - Services	5,134	1,828	6,962	
Rentals	3,588	-	3,588	
Travel	14,304	3,111	17,415	
Project Fund Expenditures	-	91,407	91,407	
Advertising and printing	4,392	1,086	5,478	
Other	63,975	12,365	76,340	
Total Cash Disbursements	860,876	189,973	1,050,849	
Total Receipts Over (Under) Disbursements	(146,550)	16,845	(129,705)	
Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements):				
Reimbursements	20,686	318	21,004	
Transfers-In	19,312	5,500	24,812	
Transfers-Out	(5,500)	(19,312)	(24,812)	
Total Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)	34,498	(13,494)	21,004	
Excess of Cash Receipts and Other Financing Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements and Other Financing Disbursements	(112,052)	3,351	(108,701)	
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	622,706	203,995	826,701	
•	022,100			
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	<u>\$ 510,654</u>	\$ 207,346	\$ 718,000	
Reserves for Encumbrances, December 31	\$ 48,469	\$ 18,780	\$ 67,249	

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### A. Description of the Entity

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of the District Board of Health, Morrow County, Ohio (the District) as a body corporate and politic. A five-member Board and a Health Commissioner govern the District. The District's services include communicable disease investigations, immunization clinics, tuberculosis screenings, home visits, public health nursing services, issuance of health-related licenses and permits, and issuance of birth certificates and death certificates.

The District's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the District is financially accountable.

#### **B.** Accounting Basis

These financial statements follow the basis of accounting the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The District recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State' prescribes or permits.

#### C. Deposits and Investments

As required by Ohio Revised Code, the County Treasurer is custodian for the District's cash. The County's cash and investment pool holds the District's assets, valued at the County Treasurer's reported carrying amount.

#### D. Fund Accounting

The District uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The District classifies its funds into the following types:

#### 1. General Fund

The General Fund accounts for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

#### 2. Special Revenue Funds

These funds account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from private purpose trusts or for capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The District had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007 (Continued)

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### D. Fund Accounting (Continued)

#### 2. Special Revenue Funds (Continued)

<u>Food Service Fund</u> - This fund receives revenue from food service operations in the form of fees and licenses to maintain food service establishments. These monies are used by the District to cover staff expenses and supplies. In addition, a portion of license fees collected is remitted to the State of Ohio.

<u>Public Health Infrastructure Grant Fund</u> - This federal grant, which is disbursed through the Ohio Department of Health, is used to develop effective plans and resources at local and regional levels to address bioterrorism, other outbreaks of infectious disease, and other public health threats and emergencies.

#### E. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to budget each fund annually.

#### 1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund level of control, and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Board must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. The County Budget Commission must also approve the annual appropriation measure and amendments thereto. Unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

#### 2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus unencumbered cash as of January 1.

#### 3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the District to reserve (encumber) appropriations when commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are carried over, and need not be reappropriated.

A summary of 2008 and 2007 budgetary activity appears in Note 2.

#### F. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The District records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

#### G. Accumulated Leave

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. The financial statements do not include a liability for unpaid leave.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007 (Continued)

#### 2. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the years ended December 31, 2008, and December 31, 2007 follows:

2008 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts					
	Budgeted	Actual			
Fund Type	Receipts	_Receipts	Variance		
General	\$ 803,300	\$ 813,986	\$ 10,686		
Special Revenue	257,100	282,374	25,274		
Total	\$ 1,060,400	\$ 1,096,360	\$ 35,960		
2008	Budgeted vs. Actual Bud	lgetary Basis Expenditures			
	Appropriation	Budgetary			
Fund Type	Authority	Expenditures	Variance		
General	\$ 959,845	\$ 856,865	\$ 102,980		
Special Revenue	289,055	275,769	13,286		
Total	\$ 1,248,900	\$ 1,132,634	\$ 116,266		
2007 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts					
	Budgeted	Actual	_		
Fund Type	Receipts	Receipts	Variance		
General	\$ 901,735	\$ 754,324	(\$147,411)		
Special Revenue	236,325	212,636	(23,689)		
Total	\$ 1,138,060	\$ 966,960	(\$171,100)		
2007 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgetary Basis Expenditures					
	Appropriation	Budgetary			
Fund Type	Authority	Expenditures	Variance		
General	\$ 1,028,080	\$ 914,845	\$ 113,235		
Special Revenue	331,870	228,065	103,805		
Total	\$ 1,359,950	\$ 1,142,910	\$ 217,040		

#### 3. INTERGOVERNMENTAL FUNDING

The County apportions the excess of the District's appropriations over other estimated receipts among the townships and municipalities composing the District, based on their taxable property valuations. The County withholds the apportioned excess from property tax settlements and distributes it to the District. The financial statements present these amounts as intergovernmental receipts.

#### 4. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The District's full-time employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes these plans' benefits which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007 (Continued)

#### 4. RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Continued)

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2008 and 2007, OPERS members contributed 9.50% and 10.00% respectively, of their gross salaries and the District contributed an amount equaling 13.85% and 14.00% respectively of participants' gross salaries. The District has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2008.

#### 5. RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The District insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

The District belongs to the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP). Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

#### Casualty Coverage

For an occurrence prior to January 1, 2006 PEP retains casualty risks up to \$250,000 per occurrence, including claim adjustment expenses. PEP pays a percentage of its contributions to APEEP. APEEP reinsures claims exceeding \$250,000, up to \$1,750,000 per claim and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate per year.

For an occurrence on or subsequent to January 1, 2006, the Pool retains casualty risk up to \$350,000 per occurrence, including loss adjustment expenses. Claims exceeding \$350,000 are reinsured with APEEP in an amount not to exceed \$2,650,000 for each claim and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate per year. Governments can elect up to \$10,000,000 in additional coverage with the General Reinsurance Corporation, through contracts with PEP.

If losses exhaust PEP's retained earnings, APEEP provides excess of funds available coverage up to \$5,000,000 per year, subject to a per-claim limit of \$2,000,000 (for claims prior to January 1, 2006) or \$3,000,000 (for claims on or after January 1, 2006) as noted above.

#### **Property Coverage**

Beginning in 2005, APEEP established a risk-sharing property program. Under the program, Travelers reinsures specific losses exceeding \$250,000 up to \$600 million per occurrence. This amount was increased to \$300,000 in 2007. For 2007, APEEP reinsures members for specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$300,000 per occurrence, subject to an annual aggregate loss payment. For 2006, APEEP reinsures members for specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$250,000 per occurrence, subject to an annual aggregate loss payment. Travelers provides aggregate stop-loss coverage based upon the combined members' total insurable values. If the stop loss is reached by payment of losses between \$100,000 and \$250,000 in 2006, or \$100,000 and \$300,000 in 2007, Travelers will then reinsure specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to their \$600 million per occurrence limit. The aggregate stop-loss limit for 2007 was \$2,014,548.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007 (Continued)

#### 5. RISK MANAGEMENT (Continued)

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreements do not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective government.

#### Financial Position

PEP's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2007 and 2006 (the latest information available):

	2007	<u>2006</u>
Assets	\$37,560,071	\$36,123,194
Liabilities	(17,340,825)	(16,738,904)
Net Assets	\$20,219,246	<u>\$19,384,290</u>

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, the liabilities above include approximately \$15.9 million and \$15.0 million of estimated incurred claims payable. The assets and retained earnings above also include approximately \$15.0 million and \$14.4 million of unpaid claims to be billed to approximately 443 member governments in the future, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These amounts will be included in future contributions from members when the related claims are due for payment. The District's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$4,650. This payable includes the subsequent year's contribution due if the District terminates participation, as described in the last paragraph below.

Based on discussions with PEP, the expected rates PEP charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the historical contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to PEP for each year of membership.

Contributions to PEP	
2006	\$4,342
2007	\$2,318
2008	\$2,162

After completing one year of membership, members may withdraw on each anniversary of the date they joined PEP provided they give written notice to PEP 60 days in advance of the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's budgetary contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to the pool. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007 (Continued)

#### 6. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Amounts received from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor, principally the federal government. The grantor may require refund of any disallowed costs. Management cannot presently determine any amounts grantors may disallow. However, based on prior experience, management believes any refunds would be immaterial.



## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

District Board of Health Morrow County 619 West Marion Road Mt. Gilead, Ohio 43338

#### Members of the Board:

We have audited the financial statements of the District Board of Health, Morrow County, Ohio, (the District) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated June 15, 2009, wherein we noted the District followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not to opine on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with its applicable accounting basis, such that there is more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect a more-than-inconsequential financial statement misstatement.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies resulting in more than a remote likelihood that the District's internal control will not prevent or detect a material financial statement misstatement.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all internal control deficiencies that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider material weaknesses, as defined above.

88 E. Broad St. / Tenth Floor / Columbus, OH 43215-3506 Telephone: (614) 466-3402 (800) 443-9275 Fax: (614) 728-7199

www.auditor.state.oh.us

District Board of Health Morrow County Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards* Page 2

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of reasonably assuring whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, and the District Board of Trustees. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Mary Taylor

June 15, 2009

#### SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007

Finding Number	Finding Summary	Fully Corrected?	Not Corrected, Partially Corrected; Significantly Different Corrective Action Taken; or Finding No Longer Valid; <i>Explain</i>
2006-001	Deposit of Public Monies under Ohio Revised Code § 9.38	Yes	
2006-002	Financial Reporting	Yes	



#### DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH

#### **MORROW COUNTY**

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED SEPTEMBER 1, 2009