# **REGULAR AUDIT**

# FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006-2005



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Cover Letter	1
Independent Accountants' Report	3
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types - For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	5
Combined Statement of Cash Receipts, Cash Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Cash Balances - All Governmental Fund Types - For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	15
Schedule of Findings	17

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Rushcreek Township Fairfield County 213 Marietta Street Bremen, Ohio 43107

To the Township Board of Trustees:

As you are aware, the Auditor of State's Office (AOS) must modify the *Independent Accountants' Report* we provide on your financial statements due to an interpretation from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). While AOS does not legally require your Township to prepare financial statements pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the AICPA interpretation requires auditors to formally acknowledge that you did not prepare your financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Our Report includes an adverse opinion relating to GAAP presentation and measurement requirements, but does not imply the amounts the statements present are misstated under the non-GAAP basis you follow. The AOS report also includes an opinion on the financial statements you prepared using the cash basis and financial statement format the AOS permits.

mary Jaylor

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

May 16, 2007

35 N. Fourth St. / Second Floor / Columbus, OH 43215-3612 Telephone: (614) 466-3402 (800) 443-9275 Fax: (614) 728-7199 www.auditor.state.oh.us This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Rushcreek Township Fairfield County 213 Marietta Street Bremen, Ohio 43107

To the Township Board of Trustees:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Rushcreek Township, Fairfield County, Ohio, (the Township) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Township's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The Township processes its financial transactions with the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN). *Government Auditing Standards* considers this service to impair the independence of the Auditor of State to audit the Township because the Auditor of State designed, developed, implemented, and as requested, operates UAN. However, *Government Auditing Standards* permits the Auditor of State to audit and opine on this entity, because Ohio Revised Code § 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to provide UAN services, and Ohio Revised Code §§ 117.11(B) and 115.56 mandate the Auditor of State to audit Ohio governments. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described more fully in Note 1, the Township has prepared these financial statements using accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. These practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Although we cannot reasonably determine the effects on the financial statements of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and GAAP, we presume they are material.

Instead of the combined funds the accompanying financial statements present, GAAP require presenting entity wide statements and also presenting the Township's larger (i.e. major) funds separately. While the Township does not follow GAAP, generally accepted auditing standards requires us to include the following paragraph if the statements do not substantially conform to GAAP presentation requirements. The Auditor of State permits, but does not require townships to reformat their statements. The Township has elected not to follow GAAP statement formatting requirements. The following paragraph does not imply the amounts reported are materially misstated under the accounting basis the Auditor of State permits. Our opinion on the fair presentation of the amounts reported pursuant to its non-GAAP basis is in the second following paragraph.

Rushcreek Township Fairfield County Independent Accountants' Report Page 2

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding two paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the Township as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, or its changes in financial position for the years then ended.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined fund cash balances of Rushcreek Township, Fairfield County, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the years then ended on the accounting basis Note 1 describes.

The Township has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the financial statements.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 16, 2007, on our consideration of the Township's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. While we did not opine on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance, that report describes the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. You should read it in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audit.

Mary Jaylo

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

May 16, 2007

# COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Governmental Fund Types			
	General	Special Revenue	Capital Projects	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Cash Receipts:				
Local Taxes	\$29,069	\$618,868	\$0	\$647,937
Intergovernmental	115,729	250,907	175,254	541,890
Charges for Services	0	18,955	0	18,955
Licenses, Permits, and Fees	0	5,550	0	5,550
Earnings on Investments	18,217	18,217	0	36,434
Other Revenue	0	26,401	0	26,401
Total Cash Receipts	163,015	938,898	175,254	1,277,167
Cash Disbursements: Current:				
General Government	59,642	69,021	0	128,663
Public Safety	0	185,056	0	185,056
Public Works	0	377,058	0	377,058
Health	8,853	0	0	8,853
Debt Service:				,
Redemption of Principal	13,918	35,890	0	49,808
Interest and Fiscal Charges	186	4,237	0	4,423
Capital Outlay	45,714	245,332	175,254	466,300
Total Cash Disbursements	128,313	916,594	175,254	1,220,161
Total Receipts Over Disbursements	34,702	22,304	0	57,006
Other Financing Receipts: Sale of Notes	0_	100,000	0	100,000
Excess of Cash Receipts and Other Financing Receipts Over Cash Disbursements	34,702	122,304	0	157,006
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	124,528	457,752	0	582,280
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	\$159,230	\$580,056	\$0	\$739,286

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

# COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS, CASH DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND CASH BALANCES ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

	Governmental Fund Types		Tatala
	General	Special Revenue	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Cash Receipts:			
Local Taxes	\$26,916	\$581,030	\$607,946
Intergovernmental	136,221	232,495	368,716
Charges for Services	0	8,100	8,100
Licenses, Permits, and Fees Earnings on Investments	0 8,983	5,225 8,982	5,225 17,965
Other Revenue	0,903 0	5,888	5,888
Total Cash Receipts	172,120	841,720	1,013,840
Cash Disbursements:			
Current: General Government	53,621	106,195	159,816
Public Safety	0	180,687	180,687
Public Works	9,556	342,425	351,981
Health	9,269	0	9,269
Debt Service:			
Redemption of Principal	0	33,911	33,911
Interest and Fiscal Charges	0	4,489	4,489
Capital Outlay	0	68,378	68,378
Total Cash Disbursements	72,446	736,085	808,531
Total Receipts Over Disbursements	99,674	105,635	205,309
Other Financing Receipts and (Disbursements):			
Transfers-In	2,090	0	2,090
Transfers-Out	0	(2,090)	(2,090)
Total Other Financing Receipts/(Disbursements)	2,090	(2,090)	0
Excess of Cash Receipts and Other Financing Receipts Over Cash Disbursements			
and Other Financing Disbursements	101,764	103,545	205,309
Fund Cash Balances, January 1	22,764	354,207	376,971
Fund Cash Balances, December 31	\$124,528	\$457,752	\$582,280

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### A. Description of the Entity

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of Rushcreek Township, Fairfield County, Ohio, (the Township) as a body corporate and politic. A publiclyelected three-member Board of Trustees directs the Township. The Township provides road and bridge maintenance, fire protection and emergency medical services.

The Township's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the Township is financially accountable.

#### B. Accounting Basis

These financial statements follow the accounting basis the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The Township recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned, and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved).

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State prescribes or permits.

#### C. Cash and Investments

The Township had no investments during 2006 or 2005. The Township maintains an interest bearing checking account.

#### D. Fund Accounting

The Township uses fund accounting to segregate cash that is restricted as to use. The Township classifies its funds into the following types:

#### 1. General Fund

The General Fund reports all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

#### 2. Special Revenue Funds

These funds account for proceeds from specific sources (other than from private-purpose trusts or for capital projects) that are restricted to expenditure for specific purposes. The Township had the following significant Special Revenue Funds:

<u>Road Improvement Fund</u> - This fund receives property tax money for constructing, maintaining, and repairing Township roads.

<u>Fire Operating Fund</u> - This fund receives property tax money to pay for the general operation of the Township's fire department.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## D. Fund Accounting (Continued)

# 3. Capital Project Fund

This fund accounts for receipts restricted to acquiring or constructing major capital projects (except those financed through enterprise or trust funds). The Township had the following significant capital project fund:

<u>Tent Church OPWC Project Fund</u> - The Township received a grant from the State of Ohio for repairs on Tent Church Road.

#### E. Budgetary Process

The Ohio Revised Code requires that each fund be budgeted annually.

#### 1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund level of control and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Board of Trustees must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. The County Budget Commission must also approve the annual appropriation measure. Appropriations lapse at year end.

#### 2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus cash as of January 1. The County Budget Commission must also approve estimated resources.

# 3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the Township to reserve (encumber) appropriations when individual commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are canceled, and reappropriated in the subsequent year.

A summary of 2006 and 2005 budgetary activity appears in Note 3.

#### F. Property, Plant, and Equipment

The Township records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

#### G. Accumulated Leave

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. The financial statements do not include a liability for unpaid leave.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

# 2. EQUITY IN POOLED CASH

The Township maintains a cash pool all funds use. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes allowable deposits. The carrying amount of cash and investments at December 31 was as follows:

	2006	2005
Demand deposits	\$739,286	\$582,280

**Deposits:** Deposits are insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation; or collateralized by the financial institution's public entity deposit pool.

# 3. BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the years ending December 31 follows:

2000	6 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted	Actual	
Fund Type	Receipts	Receipts	Variance
General	\$77,500	\$163,015	\$85,515
Special Revenue	819,637	1,038,898	219,261
Capital Projects	175,254	175,254	0
Total	\$1,072,391	\$1,377,167	\$304,776
2006 Budgeted	d vs. Actual Budgetary	Basis Expenditure	es
	Appropriation	Budgetary	
Fund Type	Authority	Expenditures	Variance
General	\$131,730	\$128,313	\$3,417
Special Revenue	901,899	916,594	(14,695)
Capital Projects	175,254	175,254	0
Total	\$1,208,883	\$1,220,161	(\$11,278)
			(\$11,278)
	\$1,208,883 5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted		(\$11,278)
	5 Budgeted vs. Actual	Receipts	(\$11,278) Variance
2005	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted	Receipts Actual	
2009 Fund Type	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted Receipts	Receipts Actual Receipts	Variance
2005 Fund Type General	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted Receipts \$78,890	Receipts Actual Receipts \$174,210	Variance \$95,320
2009 Fund Type General Special Revenue Total	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted Receipts \$78,890 853,044	Receipts Actual Receipts \$174,210 841,720 \$1,015,930	Variance \$95,320 (11,324) \$83,996
2009 Fund Type General Special Revenue Total	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted Receipts \$78,890 853,044 \$931,934	Receipts Actual Receipts \$174,210 841,720 \$1,015,930	Variance \$95,320 (11,324) \$83,996
2009 Fund Type General Special Revenue Total	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted Receipts \$78,890 853,044 \$931,934 d vs. Actual Budgetary	Receipts Actual Receipts \$174,210 841,720 \$1,015,930 Basis Expenditure	Variance \$95,320 (11,324) \$83,996
2009 Fund Type General Special Revenue Total 2005 Budgeted	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted Receipts \$78,890 853,044 \$931,934 d vs. Actual Budgetary Appropriation	Receipts Actual Receipts \$174,210 841,720 \$1,015,930 Basis Expenditure Budgetary	Variance \$95,320 (11,324 \$83,996
2009 Fund Type General Special Revenue Total 2005 Budgeted Fund Type	5 Budgeted vs. Actual Budgeted Receipts \$78,890 853,044 \$931,934 d vs. Actual Budgetary Appropriation Authority	Receipts Actual Receipts \$174,210 841,720 \$1,015,930 Basis Expenditure Budgetary Expenditures	Variance \$95,320 (11,324 \$83,996 es Variance

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

#### 4. PROPERTY TAX

Real property taxes become a lien on January 1 preceding the October 1 date for which the Trustees adopted tax rates. The State Board of Tax Equalization adjusts these rates for inflation. Property taxes are also reduced for applicable homestead and rollback deductions. The financial statements include homestead and rollback amounts the State pays as Intergovernmental Receipts. Payments are due to the County by December 31. If the property owner elects to pay semiannually, the first half is due December 31. The second half payment is due the following June 20.

Tangible personal property tax is assessed by the property owners, who must file a list of such property to the County by each April 30.

The County is responsible for assessing property, and for billing, collecting, and distributing all property taxes on behalf of the Township.

#### 5. DEBT

Debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 was as follows:

	Principal	Interest Rate
Grader Purchase Promissory Note	\$39,339	4.20%
Fire Truck General Obligation Notes	90,268	4.19%
Total	\$129,607	

The Grader Purchase Promissory Note is collateralized by the equipment purchased and the Fire Truck Purchase Note is collateralized by the Township's taxing authority.

Amortization of the above debt, including interest, is scheduled as follows:

	Grader	Fire Truck
	Purchase	General
	Promissory	Obligation
Year ending December 31:	Note	Notes
2007	\$20,917	\$22,219
2008	20,917	22,219
2009	0	22,219
2010	0	22,218
2011	0	11,109
Total	\$41,834	\$99,984

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

#### 6. RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

The Township's certified Fire Fighters belong to the Police and Fire Pension Fund (OP&F). Other employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OP&F and OPERS are cost-sharing, multiple-employer plans. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes these plans' benefits, which include postretirement healthcare and survivor and disability benefits.

The Ohio Revised Code also prescribes contribution rates. For 2006 and 2005, OP&F participants contributed 10% of their wages. For 2006 and 2005, the Township contributed to OP&F an amount equal to 24% of full-time fire fighters' wages, respectively. For 2006 and 2005, OPERS members contributed 9 and 8.5%, respectively, of their gross salaries and the Township contributed an amount equaling 13.7 and 13.55%, respectively, of participants' gross salaries. The Township has paid all contributions required through December 31, 2006.

#### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

#### **Risk Pool Membership**

The Township is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The Township insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

The Township belongs to the Ohio Township Association Risk Management Authority (OTARMA), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio townships. OTARMA provides property and casualty coverage for its members. OTARMA is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP). Member townships pay annual contributions to fund OTARMA. OTARMA pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

#### Casualty Coverage

OTARMA retains casualty risks up to \$250,000 per occurrence, including claim adjustment expenses. OTARMA pays a percentage of its contributions to APEEP. APEEP reinsures claims exceeding \$250,000, up to \$1,750,000 per claim and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate per year. Townships can elect additional coverage, from \$2,000,000 to \$12,000,000 with the General Reinsurance Corporation, through contracts with OTARMA.

If losses exhaust OTARMA's retained earnings, APEEP provides *excess of funds available* coverage up to \$5,000,000 per year, subject to a per-claim limit of \$2,000,000.

#### Property Coverage

Through 2004, OTARMA retained property risks, including automobile physical damage, up to \$100,000 on any specific loss in any one occurrence. The Travelers Indemnity Company reinsured losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$500 million per occurrence. APEEP's Guarantee Fund was responsible for losses and loss adjustment expenses exceeding operating contributions.

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

#### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT (Continued)

#### **Risk Pool Membership (Continued)**

Beginning in 2005, Travelers reinsures specific losses exceeding \$250,000 up to \$600 million per occurrence. APEEP reinsures members for specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to \$250,000 per occurrence, subject to an annual aggregate loss payment. Travelers provides aggregate stop-loss coverage based upon the combined members' total insurable value. If the stop loss is reached by payment of losses between \$100,000 and \$250,000, Travelers will reinsure specific losses exceeding \$100,000 up to their \$600 million per occurrence limit. The aggregate stop-loss limit for 2005 was \$1,682,589.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreements do not discharge OTARMA's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective township.

Property and casualty settlements did not exceed insurance coverage for the past three fiscal years.

Members may withdraw on each anniversary of the date they joined OTARMA. They must provide written notice to OTARMA 60 days in advance of the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contribution, minus the subsequent year's premium. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all property and casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim was incurred or reported prior to the withdrawal.

#### Financial Position

OTARMA's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2005 and 2004 (the latest information available):

Casualty Coverage	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Assets	\$30,485,638	\$28,132,620
Liabilities	<u>(12,344,576)</u>	<u>(11,086,379)</u>
Retained earnings	<u>\$18,141,062</u>	<u>\$17,046,241</u>

Property Coverage	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Assets	\$9,177,796	\$7,588,343
Liabilities	<u>(1,406,031)</u>	<u>(543,176)</u>
Retained earnings	<u>\$7,771,765</u>	<u>\$7,045,167</u>

#### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

#### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT (Continued)

# **Risk Pool Membership (Continued)**

The Casualty Coverage assets and retained earnings above include approximately \$11.6 million and \$10.3 million of unpaid claims to be billed to approximately 950 member townships in the future, as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. OTARMA will collect these amounts in future annual premium billings when OTARMA's related liabilities are due for payment. The Township's share of these unpaid claims is approximately \$26,000.

# 8. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Amounts grantor agencies pay to the Township are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor. The grantor may require refunding any disallowed costs. Management cannot presently determine amounts grantors may disallow. However, based on prior experience, management believes any refunds would be immaterial.

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Rushcreek Township Fairfield County 213 Marietta Street Bremen, Ohio 43107

To the Township Board of Trustees:

We have audited the financial statements of Rushcreek Township, Fairfield County, Ohio, (the Township) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated May 16, 2007, wherein we noted the Township followed accounting practices the Auditor of State prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We also noted the Township uses the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN) to process its financial transactions. *Government Auditing Standards* considers this service to impair the Auditor of State's independence to audit the Township. However, *Government Auditing Standards* permits the Auditor of State to provide UAN services, and Ohio Revised Code §§ 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to audit Ohio governments. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*.

# Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Township's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not to opine on the effectiveness of the Township's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we have not opined on the effectiveness of the Township's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with its applicable accounting basis, such that there is more than a remote likelihood that the Township's internal control will not prevent or detect a more-than-inconsequential financial statement misstatement.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies resulting in more than a remote likelihood that the Township's internal control will not prevent or detect a material financial statement misstatement.

Rushcreek Township Fairfield County Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards* Page 2

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all internal control deficiencies that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of reasonably assuring whether the Township's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that we must report under *Government Auditing Standards* which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 2006-001.

We also noted certain noncompliance or other matters not requiring inclusion in this report that we reported to the Township's management in a separate letter dated May 16, 2007.

We intend this report solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, and the Township Board of Trustees. We intend it for no one other than these specified parties.

Mary Jaylo

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

May 16, 2007

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

### FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

#### FINDING NUMBER 2006-001

#### **Noncompliance Citation - Force Account**

In general, the law requires that before undertaking a project by force account, a public entity must estimate the cost of the project. If the total cost exceeds certain statutory limits, the project must be subject to competitive bidding.

Ohio Revised Code § 117.16(A)(1) states the auditor of state shall develop a force account project assessment form that each public office that undertakes force account projects shall use to estimate or report the cost of a force account project. The form shall include costs for employee salaries and benefits, any other labor costs, materials, freight, fuel, hauling, overhead expense, workers' compensation premiums, and all other items of cost and expense, including a reasonable allowance for the use of all tools and equipment used on or in connection with such work and the depreciation on the tools and equipment.

Ohio Revised Code Section 5575.01(A) states in part that in the maintenance and repair of roads, the board of township trustees may proceed either by contract of force account, but, unless the exemption specified in division (C) of this section applies, if the board wishes to proceed by force account, it first shall cause the county engineer to complete the force account assessment form developed by the auditor of state under section 117.16 of the Revised Code. It further states that if the amount involved is forty-five thousand dollars or less, a contract may be let without competitive bidding, or the work may be done by force account.

Ohio Revised Code Section 5575.01(B) states in part that before undertaking the construction or reconstruction of a township road, the board shall cause to be made by the county engineer an estimate of the cost of the work, which estimate shall include labor, material, freight, fuel, hauling, use of machinery and equipment, and all other items of cost. Where the total estimate cost of the work exceeds fifteen thousand dollars per mile, the board shall invite and receive competitive bids for furnishing all the labor, materials, and equipment and doing the work, as provided in section 5575.02 of the Revised Code, and shall consider and reject them before ordering the work done by force account.

The Township completed a road project through force account as part of an Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC) project in 2006. Part of the project was completed by Township employees and part of the project was completed by contractors. The County Engineer contracted with Korda/Nemeth Engineering (Korda) to provide the Township with engineering services for this project. Korda completed a "cost estimate" form, but did not complete the force account assessment form developed by the Auditor of State as required. The "cost estimated" prepared by Korda did not break out the costs for employee salaries and benefits, materials, or overhead and the form was for the entire OPWC project which made it difficult to determine the estimated cost for the force account portion of the project.

In addition, the estimated cost of the force account portion of the project, according to the estimate prepared by Korda, was \$76,222 which exceeds the limits established for Township force accounts. The Township should have competitively bid the force account portion of the project.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 (Continued)

### FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS (Continued)

#### FINDING NUMBER 2006-001 (Continued)

#### **Noncompliance Citation - Force Account (Continued)**

Ohio Revised Code Section 117.16(C)(1) states in part if the auditor of state finds that a township violated the force account limits established for that political subdivision, the auditor of state, in addition to any other action authorized by this chapter, shall notify the political subdivision that for a period of one year from the date of notification, the force account limits are reduced to fifteen thousand dollars for maintenance and repair of a road or five thousand per mile for construction or reconstruction of a township road. If the Township violates the force account limits established a second or subsequent time, the reduced limits will be in effect for two years. If the Township violates the force account limits established a third or subsequent time, the Auditor of State shall certify to the Tax Commissioner an amount the Auditor of State determines to be twenty per cent of the total cost of the force account project that is the basis of the violation. Upon receipt of this certification, the Tax Commissioner shall withhold the certified amount from any funds under the Tax Commissioner's control that are due or payable to that political subdivision. If the Tax Commissioner is unable to collect the required amount, the Tax Commissioner shall certify the remaining amount to be withheld to the Fairfield County Auditor. These payments are in addition to the force account limit reductions described above.

Based on the above, the force account limits for the Township will be reduced to fifteen thousand dollars for maintenance and repair of a road or five thousand per mile for construction or reconstruction of a township road for the period of one year.

We recommend the Township familiarize itself with the applicable statutes and Auditor of State Technical Bulletins 2003-003 and 2007-001. Further, we recommend the Fiscal Officer ensure the force account assessment form developed by the auditor of state is completed by the county engineer for all potential force accounts and that competitive bidding is completed when required by law.

Officials' Response: We did not receive a response from Officials to this finding.





**RUSHCREEK TOWNSHIP** 

FAIRFIELD COUNTY

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION** 

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

CERTIFIED JUNE 19, 2007

> 88 E. Broad St. / Fourth Floor / Columbus, OH 43215-3506 Telephone: (614) 466-4514 (800) 282-0370 Fax: (614) 466-4490 www.auditor.state.oh.us