
comprehensive annual financial report





for the year ending december 31, 2006 a political subdivision of the state of ohio



# Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Board of Trustees Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District 3900 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44115

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditors' Report* of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, Cuyahoga County, prepared by Ciuni & Panichi, Inc., for the audit period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.


Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Mary Taylor

June 26, 2007







# LEGEND

- 🔝 McMonagle Administration Building 3900 Eudid Avenue
- $oxed{f \Theta}$  Environmental & Maintenance Services Center 4747 E. 49th Street
- Easterly Treatment Plant 14021 Lakeshore Boulevard
- S Southerly Treatment Plant 6000 Canal Road
- Westerly Treatment Plant 5800 W. Memorial Shoreway

# EASTERLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT INTERCEPTOR SYSTEM

- Easterly Interceptor
- Doan Valley Interceptor
- ····· Dugway Interceptor
- --- Heights-Hilltop Interceptors & ICRS
- --- E.140th-Hayden/E.152nd-Ivanhoe Interceptors
- Lakeshore-Nottingham Interceptors

# SOUTHERLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT INTERCEPTOR SYSTEM

- --- Big Creek Interceptor
- Cuyahoga Valley Interceptor
- ..... Mill Creek Interceptor
- --- Mill Creek Tunnel Under construction
- --- Southwest, West Leg Interceptors & ICRS
  - Southerly Interceptor

# WESTERLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT INTERCEPTOR SYSTEM

- ..... Low Level Interceptor
- Northwest Interceptor
- ···· Westerly Interceptor
- Walworth Run Interceptor
- Easterly service area
- Southerly service area

  - Westerly service area

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT www.NEORSD.org / REVISED 1/07



# NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE

STATE OF OHIO

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED

DECEMBER 31, 2006

### SUBMITTED BY:

Departments of Finance and Communications & Community Relations



### COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

### **DECEMBER 31, 2006**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS


PAGE
INTRODUCTORY SECTION
Letter of Transmittal
GFOA Certificate of Achievementx
District Officialsxi
Table of Organizationxii
FINANCIAL SECTION
Independent Auditors' Report
Management's Discussion and Analysis
Basic Financial Statements
Statements of Net Assets
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets
Statements of Cash Flows
Notes to Financial Statements
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets – Budget to Actual40
Notes to Supplementary Information
STATISTICAL SECTION (UNAUDITED)
Net Assets by Component – Last Ten Years
Changes in Net Assets – Last Ten Years
Revenues by Type – Last Ten Years
Operating Expenses by Type – Last Ten Years
Communities Served by the District and
Estimated Population Served
Largest Customers of the District
Ratio of Outstanding Debt by Type – Last Ten Years
Demographic and Economic Statistics – Last Ten Years
Principal Employers
Miscellaneous Operating Statistics – Last Ten Years
Cumulative Asset Growth – Last Ten Years
Capital Asset Statistics – Last Ten Years

### COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

### **DECEMBER 31, 2006**

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS** (Continued)

	PAGE
STATISTICAL SECTION (UNAUDITED) (continued)	
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS	
Sewer Customers and Usage	
Historical Number of Customer Accounts – Last Ten Years	68
Annual Metered Billing Quantities – Last Ten Years	70
Summary of Revenues, Expenses and Debt Service Coverage – Last Ten Years	72
Sewage Service Rates – Rate History	74
Capital Improvement Program – Uses and Sources of Funds	
2007 Through 2011	75





June 18, 2007

To the Board of Trustees and Citizens Served by the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District:

State law requires that all local governments publish within six months of the close of each fiscal year a complete set of financial statements presented in conformity with generally accepted auditing standards by a firm of licensed certified public accountants. Pursuant to that requirement, we hereby issue the comprehensive annual financial report of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District. Consequently, management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all of the information presented in this report. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed both to protect the government's assets from loss, theft, or misuse and to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District's financial statements in conformity with Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Because the cost of internal controls should not outweigh their benefits, the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District's comprehensive framework of internal controls has been designed to provide reasonable rather than absolute assurance that the financial statements will be free from material misstatement. As management, we assert that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

The CAFR is divided into three sections: the Introductory Section, the Financial Section, and the Statistical Sections.

- 1. The Introductory Section includes the table of contents, this transmittal letter, the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting, a list of District officials, and the organizational chart of the District.
- 2. The Financial Section includes the Independent Auditors Report, Managements Discussion and Analysis, the Basic Financial Statements and Notes that provide an overview of the District's financial position and operating results, and supplementary information and related notes.
- 3. The Statistical section presents various tables reflecting social and economic information, financial trends, and fiscal capacity of the District.

The Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District's financial statements have been audited by Ciuni and Panichi, Inc., a firm of licensed certified public accountants. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, are free of material misstatement. The independent audit involved examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosure in the financial statements; assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management; and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The independent auditor concluded, based upon

the audit, that there was reasonable basis for rendering an unqualified opinion that the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, are fairly presented in conformity with GAAP. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

The independent audit of the financial statements of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District was part of a broader, federally mandated "Single Audit" designed to meet the special needs of federal grantor agencies. The standards governing Single Audit engagements require the independent auditor to report not only on the fair presentation of the financial statements, but also on the audited government's internal controls and compliance with legal requirements, with special emphasis on internal controls and legal requirements involving the administration of federal awards. These reports are available in the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District's separately issued Single Audit Report.

### PROFILE OF THE DISTRICT

The District is an independent political subdivision of and organized under the laws of the State of Ohio, specifically Chapter 6119 of the Ohio Revised Code. Originally named the Cleveland Regional Sewer District, it was created in 1972 for the purpose of assuming the operation and management of certain wastewater collection, treatment and disposal facilities serving the Cleveland metropolitan area. Prior to 1972, these facilities were owned and operated by the City of Cleveland.

The District's service area covers approximately 350 square miles. The District encompasses 97% of the City of Cleveland and all or portions of 59 suburban communities in Cuyahoga and Summit Counties. The area contains a residential service population in excess of one million persons and includes a diverse group of service, information, biotechnology, manufacturing and processing industries. The District treats more wastewater than any other wastewater treatment system in the State of Ohio.

General provisions regulating the District's budget and appropriation procedures are set forth in the Ohio Revised Code. The Executive Director is required to submit the District's operating and capital budgets to the Board of Trustees and they are required to adopt such budgets by March 31 of the year to which they apply. Readers should refer to the Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets – Budget to Actual on page 40 of this report, along with the accompanying Notes to Supplementary Information for additional budgetary information.

The section of the Ohio Revised Code under which the District is organized grants it the power to raise revenues through taxes on property within its service area. In accordance with Chapter 5705 of the Code, because the District does not levy any taxes it does not file an annual Tax Budget.

### **FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION**

### **Local Economy**

The economic environment in which the District operates is affected by the same events and conditions as the rest of the State of Ohio and the nation. Although the economy is experiencing a downturn, District revenue is expected to remain relatively unchanged due to approval of a rate increase by the Board of Trustees. The region is not dominated by any single industry. The City of Cleveland ranks 14th among cities with Fortune 500 company headquarters. There are five Fortune 500 companies in Cleveland and six in the District's service area. Among the Fortune 1000 largest corporations (ranked by worldwide revenues), 17 have headquarters in the greater Cleveland metropolitan area. Greater Cleveland has been ranked by Fortune magazine as the sixth best location in North America to conduct business. Five major

industries have evolved to become the economic strength of the region in Health and Medicine, Science and Engineering, Biotechnology and Biomedical, Manufacturing and Education. Major industries with headquarters or divisions located in the District's service area or in close proximity include automotive manufacturers; industrial equipment, metals, paints and coatings producers; office supply retailer; insurance; and banking services. Major employers in the area include federal, state, county and municipal government agencies, health care providers, public schools, financial service providers, manufacturers and retail establishments. In recent years there has been a significant shift from manufacturing to a service and information based economy.

The unemployment rate in December 2006 (not seasonally adjusted) for Cuyahoga County, which is significantly the same as the District's service area, of 5.4% was 0.2% lower than the seasonally adjusted rate for the State of Ohio (5.6%) and 0.9% higher than the national rate of 4.5%. Although the City of Cleveland and Cuyahoga County have experienced an outward migration of residents to neighboring suburbs and counties, the presence of corporate, cultural and entertainment facilities continues to attract visitors and commuters to the area.

### **Long-term Financial Planning**

The District has produced a strong financial history since its inception in 1972. Moving forward, the District is positioned to continue to meet the level of service and regulatory requirements demanded by its customers, its Board of Trustees and regulatory agencies. Part of that responsibility will entail investment, over the next 30 years, of approximately \$3.2 billion in new Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) controls and wastewater facility improvements.

During 2003 the District completed work on a Long Term Financial and Rate Impact Report. This report provides a Long Term Financial Plan (LTFP) to achieve adequate and timely funding of the District's Construction in Progress over the next 30 years. The report also provides estimates of approximate sewer rates over the same time period. The LTFP is not a detailed rate study. Determination of actual sewer rates incorporates specific year-by-year details that are not appropriate for inclusion in a long term plan due to variability. The LTFP incorporates an assumption that the District CSO program will be implemented over 30 years in accordance with facilities plans the District has prepared. A Long Term Financial and Rate Impact Model was developed while preparing the LTFP. The model was used to test the sensitivity and impact of variables such as time or dollar changes in District Construction in Progress. Since then another five-year rate study was conducted by Raftelis Financial Consultants in 2006 for the years 2007 – 2011.

### **Cash Management**

The District currently invests in four major types of instruments: obligations issued or backed by the United States Government; the STAR Ohio Fund; bank or savings and loan non-negotiable certificates of deposit and bank money market savings. A portion of the District's investment portfolio is comprised of securities with longer maturity terms (three to five years) to more accurately match the time when the funds will be utilized and to take advantage of higher interest rates. In determining portfolio performance and value, marketable securities are periodically compared to market. The District has not incurred any realized loss in the portfolio since securities are held to maturity, at which time the anticipated return is received. At December 31, 2006, the District's investment portfolio, at cost, of \$205,464,122, including \$9,004,850 in the Debt Service Account for the Series 2005 Bonds and excluding accrued interest, had a market value of \$205,154.815.

### **Risk Management**

The District maintains an insurance reserve to account for and finance its uninsured risks of property damage. The District is self-insured for workers' compensation and purchases commercial insurance for liability and other specific types of coverage. Note 10 to the audited financial statements disclose information about risk management.

### **Debt Administration**

At year-end, the District had bonded debt outstanding of \$63,525,000. The debt net of applicable unamortized discounts, issue costs and refunding costs is \$63,418,293. The District also has obtained loans through the State of Ohio Water Pollution Control Loan Fund (WPCLF) and through the Ohio Water Development Authority (OWDA). As of December 31, 2006, the outstanding loan balances were \$417,776,749 for the WPCLF and \$572,853 with the OWDA. Outstanding revenue bonds of the District are rated AA by Standard & Poor's and Aa2 by Moody's rating agencies. Note 6 to the audited financial statements include schedules of debt outstanding and future debt service requirements.

In 2005, the District refinanced bonded debt that was outstanding at the time. These legal defeasance transactions resulted in funds being deposited with escrow agents who invested them in U.S. Treasury obligations which, together with the income earned on such investments, will be sufficient to service the debt until maturity or redemption. The refinanced debt and the escrow funds, deposited in irrevocable trusts, are excluded from the District's balance sheet since the District has legally satisfied its obligations as a result of the refunding transactions. This information should be read in conjunction with Note 6 to the audited financial statements.

### **MAJOR INITIATIVES**

### For the Year

### 2006 Awards

During 2006 the District received awards for 2005 plant performance from the National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA, formerly Association of Metropolitan Sewerage Agencies, or AMSA). NACWA honored all three of our treatment facilities for excellent performance in 2005. Our Southerly and Westerly plants earned the Peak Performance Gold Award while the Easterly plant received the Silver Award. A Gold honor recognizes a full year free of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit violations; Silver is presented to facilities with no more than one excursion. Awards for 2006 performance have not been announced as of the date of this report.

For their exemplary safety record during 2006, the District's Sewer System Maintenance and Operation (SSMO) department received the Ohio Water Environment Association award for *Collection System of the Year*. This award designates SSMO as the safest collection system agency in the State.

For its ability to regularly address the management challenges facing wastewater utilities, AMSA (now NACWA) recognized the District with the 2003 Excellence in Management Award. While treatment plants are regularly honored for their permit compliance, this was the first time AMSA recognized entire agencies for overall excellence. The distinction was valid through 2006.

During 2006 the Auditor of State of Ohio presented the District with the *Auditor's Award* for the District's 2004 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. Less than five percent of the 5,000 cities audited by the State were eligible.

### **Easterly CSO Project Update**

The District has completed a major study of the Easterly combined sewer area on the east side of Cleveland and its eastern suburbs. The goal of the study was to develop a facilities plan for sewer system improvements that would meet Ohio Environmental Protection Agency requirements for control for combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and reduce pollution levels, particularly bacteria, in Lake Erie and area streams.

Combined sewers carry wastewater from homes and businesses, as well as storm water runoff from rooftops and streets. In dry weather, wastewater is directed to the wastewater treatment plant for processing to remove pollutants. During rainstorms, storm water flows into the same sewer pipes, creating a combined flow. The combined sewers are designed to overflow into nearby waterways when the combined volume exceeds the capacity of the pipes. The points at which these flows enter the waterways are known as CSO outfalls. There are 47 CSOs in the Easterly service area.

The recommended plan to control CSO's is comprised of a storage tunnel network for the majority of the service area, and satellite storage facilities in the Flats area to address CSOs overflowing into the Cuyahoga River that could not be cost-effectively connected to the tunnel system. The plan also calls for a series of new sewers and regulator modifications necessary to reach the four overflows per year control goal, as well as pump station improvements to enhance the operation of the existing Easterly collection system. The network of tunnels will be capable of storing up to 152 million gallons of CSO in a single event.

The Easterly District CSO Control Plan will comply with CSO requirements, improve water quality and provide the best fit with current District facility operations. During a typical year of rainfall, approximately 2.55 billion gallons of CSOs are discharged to Lake Erie and seven receiving streams from the 47 permitted outfalls located throughout the Easterly service area. With implementation of this plan, it is estimated that over 2 billion gallons a year of additional CSO volume will be captured for treatment. The estimated cost of the proposed plan is \$949.5 million.

The District has proceeded with the design and construction of early-action projects to reduce CSO discharges. The early-action projects involve modifications to the existing combined sewer system to reduce CSO frequency. The construction of the early action projects was completed in 2005. Additionally, the District has completed an Advanced Facilities Planning study for the recommended CSO storage tunnels. This study involved collecting additional data to help refine the size, depth and route of the recommended CSO storage tunnels. Design of the Dugway East Interceptor Relief Sewer and the Euclid Creek CSO Storage Tunnel began in 2005 and continued in 2006. These projects will reduce CSO discharges to Dugway Brook and Euclid Creek, respectively.

### **Southerly CSO Project Update**

The District concluded a study of its Southerly combined sewer area in 2002, intended to develop a facilities plan for system improvements that would reduce pollution levels in Lake Erie and area streams and meet Ohio EPA requirements for combined sewer overflow (CSO) control. According to study results, approximately 1.65 billion gallons of CSO reach receiving waters from 30 permitted outfalls in the Southerly study area. A recommended CSO control plan — which includes two main storage tunnel systems, a dewatering pump station, a satellite storage tank, and several other miscellaneous modifications and improvements — has been submitted to the EPA for review. The estimated cost of the proposed plan, one that would capture and treat an additional 1.2 billion gallons of CSO annually, is \$468 million.

The design of early action CSO control projects in the Southerly CSO area was initiated in 2003. Similar to the Easterly CSO early action projects, certain modifications to the existing combined sewer system will be made to reduce CSO frequency and volume. The construction of certain early action projects began in 2004 and continued in 2006.

### **Westerly CSO Project Update**

The District concluded a study of its Westerly combined sewer area in 1999. Study results indicated that approximately 417 million gallons of CSO reach receiving waters from 25 permitted outfalls in the Westerly study area. Another 355 million gallons of CSO is treated at the District's Combined Sewer Overflow Treatment Facility (CSOTF) located adjacent to the Westerly WWTP. The recommended control plan includes a CSO storage tunnel system, a dewatering pump station, a pump station upgrade, an upgrade of the CSOTF and several other miscellaneous modifications and improvements. The estimated cost of the proposed plan, one that would capture and treat an additional 377 million gallons of CSO annually, is \$155.8 million.

Several early action CSO control projects in the Westerly CSO area have been completed.

### Mill Creek Tunnel Update

The Mill Creek Tunnel was designed to reduce combined sewer overflows (CSOs) to the environment. The first two legs of the three-phase tunneling project, known as Mill Creek Tunnel Contracts 1 and 2 (MCT-1 and MCT-2), have been completed, and are conveying flows to the District's Southerly Wastewater Treatment Center. Mill Creek Tunnel Contract 3 (MCT-3), a 15,000-ft. stretch of 20-foot-diameter sewer, is under construction. In conjunction with MCT-1 and 2, the entire system will be capable of storing more than 70 million gallons of CSO volume annually. Twelve communities will benefit from the project as the tunnel relieves currently overloaded combined and sanitary sewers. In addition, thirteen of 27 outfalls now discharging to Lake Erie, the Cuyahoga River, and Cranwood and Wolf Creeks, will be eliminated upon its completion. MCT-3 construction is scheduled through 2008.

### For the Future

### **Leadership Sustainability Program**

The goal of the Leadership Sustainability Program (LSP) is to identify leader resources needed to meet present District goals and future developing strategies. As the District faces some significant changes in the future, the LSP provides a plan for the organization to run responsibly and successfully. With retirements, lack of federal funding and the possibility of assuming new roles in storm water management, the LSP will serve as an overall guide for the organization.

The LSP includes eight areas of focus: workforce analysis; leadership development; replacement and recruitment; workplace re-assessment; supply and demand analysis; diversity and inclusion; managers as developers; and knowledge retention. Workforce analysis is a review of the current jobs in the organization and a profile of the position requirements. Leadership development identifies and develops current and potential leaders for the future.

Four levels outline the track which identified candidates would follow to advance in potential leadership positions. With development plans in place for the top two tiers – Senior Executive Level and Executive Level – the LSP team is currently defining the development track for the third level, Senior Manager Level candidates. The fourth level, the Manager Level will be defined once the Senior Manager plan is complete.

Individuals participating in the LSP demonstrate potential for performance at the next level; participation does not guarantee advancement into any position. LSP is an ongoing process that shifts as individual growth and professional development occur, and as the needs of the organization change.

### Strategic Plan

In 2003, we developed our current five-year strategic plan — an employee-driven statement of the organization's specific goals through 2008 — linking employees' work to shared, realistic goals and attainable objectives. Our intent is to make this plan a living document that shows how employees' work reflects the core values of the organization. Making that connection further encourages excellence.

The 2004 – 2008 Strategic Plan includes five goals: (1) Maintain the District's excellent service record and reputation, (2) Improve our dynamic business culture, (3) Improve use of our people resources, (4) Determine our future business and (5) Determine the most effective and equitable means of funding the future. These goals, along with their objectives are detailed in the plan. To accomplish these goals and objectives, Budget Centers and teams will create annual work plans that are aligned with the strategic

plan. These plans will be reviewed by Department Heads, as well as representatives from each department, to assist in their implementation. The result will be a comprehensive set of tactical action items that will help identify the resources needed to execute specific tasks for each year of the Plan.

### **Proposed 30-Year Combined Sewer Overflow Program**

The District has invested over \$2.0 billion in capital improvements since 1972 to help assure clean water for a Greater Cleveland. Funding requirements for future CSO projects mandated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") are in excess of \$1.6 billion over the next three decades. As USEPA-mandated work, the District must make these improvements; projects that we agree will have a significant benefit on water quality.

In March 2005, the District adopted a 30-year schedule to design and construct a \$1.6 billion CSO Long Term Control Plan (the "CSO LTCP") to limit pollution caused by CSOs. The CSO LTCP calls for the construction of 103 miles of tunnels and connecting sewers through 65 construction projects and is designed to reduce targeted annual CSO discharges by 88 percent within 20 years after construction. As of May 2007, the District estimates the CSO LTCP to cost \$2 billion.

The District's decision was made despite the United States Environmental Protection Agency's ("USEPA) position that all such programs be completed in no longer than 20 years. The decision may result in litigation by the USEPA to force construction of the CSO Program in 20 years instead of the planned 30-year schedule. The USEPA allows for consideration of the affordability in determining the implementation schedule of this type of program. In the opinion of the District using the USEPA's "affordability analysis", the 30-year schedule constitutes a "high burden" on Greater Cleveland residents.

### **CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT**

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. This was the 11th consecutive year that the District has achieved this prestigious award. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current Comprehensive Annual Financial Report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program requirements and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The preparation of this comprehensive annual financial report would not have been possible without the efficient and dedicated services of the entire staff of the Finance department, and the Communications and Community Relations department. We would like to express our appreciation to all members of the departments who assisted and contributed to the preparation of this report. Credit must also be given to the Board of Trustees for their unfailing support for maintaining the highest standards of professionalism in the management of the District's finances.

Respectfully submitted,

Erwin J. Odeal Executive Director Jennifer L. Demmerle Acting Director of Finance

# Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

# Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended
December 31, 2005

A Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting is presented by the Government Finance Officers
Association of the United States and Canada to government units and public employee retirement systems whose comprehensive annual financial reports (CAFRs) achieve the highest standards in government accounting and financial reporting.

Presiden

Executive Director

### DISTRICT OFFICIALS

### DECEMBER 31, 2006


### **Board of Trustees**

Ronald D. Sulik	.President
Sheila J. Kelly	.Vice President
Gerald M. Boldt	Secretary
Darnell Brown	
Anthony D. Liberatore, Jr.	.Member
Mayor Thomas J. Longo	.Member
Mayor Gary W. Starr	.Member


### **Senior Staff**

Erwin J. Odeal	Executive Director
William B. Schatz	General Counsel
Francis P. Greenland	Director of Capital Programs
Charles J. Vasulka	Director of Engineering
	and Construction
Timothy M. Tigue	Director of Operation
	and Maintenance
F. Michael Bucci	Director of Finance
David McNeeley	Acting Director of Human
•	Resources
Constance T. Haqq	Director of Communications
	and Community Relations
Ana I. Maldonado	Director of Information
	Technology

### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT TABLE OF ORGANIZATION DECEMBER 31, 2006



NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES BY DEPART	<u>rment</u>	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	404	
HUMAN RESOURCES	45	
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	22	
COMMUNICATIONS AND COMMUNITY RELATIONS	7	
FINANCE	54	
LEGAL	11	
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION	14	
ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION	65	
TOTAL EMPLOYEES DECEMBER 31, 2006	<u>622</u>	





### **Independent Auditors' Report**

Board of Trustees Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

We have audited the accompanying statements of net assets of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (the "District"), as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets and of cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the District, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the changes in financial position and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As described in Note 12, during the year ended December 31, 2006, the District implemented GASB Statement No. 43, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, GASB Statement No. 44, Economic Condition Reporting: The Statistical Section, GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, GASB Statement No. 46, Net Assets Restricted by Enabling Legislation and GASB Statement No. 47, Accounting for Termination Benefits.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 2, 2007 on our consideration of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audits.



25201 Chagrin Boulevard Cleveland, Ohio 44122.5683 p. 216.831.7171 f. 216.831.3020 www.cp-advisors.com



Board of Trustees Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

Cimi & Panichi Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The introductory section, budgetary comparison information, and statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. For the budgetary comparison information, we have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it. The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Cleveland, Ohio May 2, 2007

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion provides a summary overview of the financial activities of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (the "District") for the year ended December 31, 2006. This information should be read in conjunction with the letter of transmittal and basic financial statements included in this report.

### **Financial Highlights**

- Assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,254,206,906 as of December 31, 2006.
- Net assets increased by \$18,927,424 in 2006.
- Investment in capital assets, net of related debt increased by \$44,299,515.
- Unrestricted net assets decreased \$25,372,091.
- Debt increased \$52,242,468 due to new Water Pollution Control Loan Fund borrowings. Retirements of debt principal were \$26,960,304.

### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are the Statements of Net Assets, the Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets, the Statements of Cash Flows and the accompanying notes to the financial statements. These statements report information about the District as a whole and about its activities. The District is a single enterprise fund using proprietary fund accounting, which means these statements are presented in a manner similar to a private-sector business. The statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

The Statements of Net Assets present the District's financial position and report the resources owned by the District (assets), obligations owed by the District (liabilities) and District net assets (the difference between assets and liabilities). The Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets present a summary of how the District's net assets changed during the year. Revenue is reported when earned and expenses are reported when incurred. The Statements of Cash Flows provide information about the District's cash receipts and disbursements during the year. They summarize net changes in cash resulting from operating, investing and financing activities. The notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential for a full understanding of the financial statements.

### Financial Analysis of the District's Financial Position and Results of Operations

The tables below provide a summary of the District's financial position and operations for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In 2004 the District corrected the depreciable lives used on certain assets and corrected the accrued payroll liability. Certain reclassifications have been made to restate the 2005 and 2004 financial statements in order to conform to the 2006 presentation. Certain amounts may vary slightly due to differences caused by rounding to thousands.

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

### Condensed Statements of Net Assets December 31,

(In Thousands)

			Change		
	2006	2005	Amount	%	
Assets					
Current assets	\$190,479	\$174,248	\$16,231	9.3%	
Capital assets, net	1,466,812	1,398,569	68,243	4.9%	
Other noncurrent assets	107,266	146,610	(39,344)	(26.8)%	
Total assets	1,764,557	1,719,427	45,130	2.6%	
<u>Liabilities</u>					
Current liabilities	59,142	55,406	3,736	6.7%	
Long-term debt	451,208	428,742	22,466	5.2%	
Total liabilities	510,350	484,148	26,202	5.4%	
Net assets					
Invested in capital assets	994,467	950,167	44,300	4.7%	
Unrestricted	259,740	285,112	(25,372)	(8.9)%	
Total net assets	\$1,254,207	\$1,235,279	\$18,928	1.5%	

Net assets may serve as a useful indicator of financial position. As noted earlier, District assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,254,206,906 as of December 31, 2006, of which \$994,466,605 is for net investment in capital assets.

The largest portion of the District's net assets is reflected in its capital assets, less accumulated depreciation and related debt outstanding used to fund these asset acquisitions.

During 2006, net assets increased by \$18,927,424. The majority of this increase was due to the following:

- Sewage service fees receivable from customers increased by \$3,800,387.
- Receivables from Federal and state agencies decreased by \$791,956.
- Construction funds in the capital project account decreased by \$41,002,940.
- Capital assets increased by \$68,242,492 due mainly to construction of the wastewater treatment and conveyance system.
- Total debt increased by a net amount of \$25,282,164. Additions of \$52,242,468 were for new borrowings from the Water Pollution Control Loan Fund for various construction projects. Reductions were \$26,960,304 for repayment of debt principal.

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

### Condensed Statements of Net Assets December 31,

(In Thousands)

			Change	
	2005	2004	Amount	%
<u>Assets</u>				
Current assets	\$174,248	\$150,628	\$23,620	15.7%
Capital assets, net	1,398,569	1,327,775	70,794	5.3%
Other noncurrent assets	146,610	187,595	(40,985)	(21.8%)
<b>Total assets</b>	1,719,427	1,665,998	53,429	3.2%
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Current liabilities	55,406	53,369	2,037	3.8%
Long-term debt	428,742	392,971	35,771	9.1%
Total liabilities	484,148	446,340	37,808	8.5%
Net assets				
Invested in capital assets	950,167	911,951	38,216	4.2%
Unrestricted	285,112	307,707	(22,595)	(7.3%)
Total net assets	\$1,235,279	\$1,219,658	\$15,621	1.3%

Net assets may serve as a useful indicator of financial position. District assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,235,279,482 as of December 31, 2005, of which \$950,167,090 is for net investment in capital assets.

The largest portion of the District's net assets is reflected in its capital assets, less accumulated depreciation and related debt outstanding used to fund these asset acquisitions.

During 2005, net assets increased by \$15,621,472. The majority of this increase was due to the following:

- Sewage service fees receivable from customers increased by \$6,508,798.
- Receivables from Federal and state agencies increased by \$11,938,756.
- Construction funds in the capital project account decreased by \$46,545,146.
- Capital assets increased by \$70,794,139 due mainly to construction of the wastewater treatment and conveyance system.
- Total debt increased by a net amount of \$35,995,153. Additions of \$125,282,837 were for new borrowings from the Water Pollution Control Loan Fund for various construction projects and issue of 2005 bonds to refund the 1995 issue. Reductions were \$89,287,684 for repayment of debt principal and the defeasance of 1995 bonds.

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

# Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets For the years ended December 31,

(In Thousands)

			Chan	ge
_	2006	2005	Amount	%
Operating revenue				
Sewer service fees				
Billing Agents				
City of Cleveland	\$123,898	\$120,579	\$3,319	2.8%
Other Billing Agents	11,835	11,359	476	4.2%
Total Billing Agents	135,733	131,938	3,795	2.9%
Direct billed sewer service fees	13,221	12,624	597	4.7%
Total sewer service fees	148,954	144,562	4,392	3.0%
Other operating revenue				
Septic tank and municipal sludge fees	543	565	(22)	(3.9)%
Miscellaneous	657	169	488	288.8%
Total other operating revenue	1,200	734	466	63.5%
Total operating revenue	150,154	145,296	4,858	3.3%
Non-operating revenue				
Interest revenue	8,646	8,816	(170)	(1.9)%
Increase (decrease) in fair value of investments	1,775	(3,190)	4,965	(155.6%)
Total non-operating revenue	10,421	5,626	4,795	85.2%
Total revenue	160,575	150,922	9,653	6.4%
Operating expenses				
Salaries & Wages	33,022	31,283	1,739	5.6%
Benefits	11,225	10,945	280	2.6%
Utilities	17,815	17,595	220	1.3%
Professional & Contractual	14,076	13,390	686	5.1%
Other	6,918	5,567	1,351	24.3%
Depreciation	40,093	35,968	4,125	11.5%
Total operating expenses	123,149	114,748	8,401	7.3%
Non-operating expenses				
Interest expense on long-term debt	20,641	19,822	819	4.1%
Loss on disposals of equipment	4,217	776	3,441	443.4%
Total non-operating expenses	24,858	20,598	4,260	20.7%
Total expenses	148,007	135,346	12,661	9.4%

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

## Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets For the years ended December 31,

(In Thousands)

			Chan	ge
	2006	2005	Amount	%
Income before contributions	12,568	15,576	(3,008)	(19.3)%
Capital contributions	6,360	45	6,315	14033.3%
Change in net assets	18,928	15,621	3,307	21.2%
Net assets at beginning of year	1,235,279	1,219,658	15,621	1.3%
Net assets at end of year	\$1,254,207	\$1,235,279	\$18,928	1.5%

Operating revenue consists of user charges for sewage service fees based on water consumption. Operating expenses reflect the cost of providing these services. For the year ended December 31, 2006:

- Operating revenue increased by \$4,857,514 (3.3%) compared to 2005. The increase was due mainly to higher billing rates in 2006.
- Non-operating revenue increased by \$4,794,471 (85.2%). Non-operating revenue consists of interest income and the change in fair value of investments. Interest income decreased by \$170,736 (1.9%) due to lower balances available for investment. The increase in fair value of investments for 2006 was \$4,965,207 higher than in 2005. This increase is expected to continue to reverse in the future since these investments in obligations of the U.S. Treasury and its agencies are held to maturity.
- Operating expenses increased \$8,400,546 (7.3%) compared to 2005. Components of operating expenses increased as follows.
  - Salaries increased 5.6% due mainly to general wage increases.
  - Professional and Contractual expenses increased \$686,486 due mainly to higher legal fees relating to regulatory environmental and construction matters.
  - Increases in Other Expenses include \$263,312 (22.8%) for Chemicals used in the treatment processes at the Wastewater Treatment Plants; \$330,340 (8%) for Materials and Supplies to operate and maintain facilities; \$460,254 (17.4%) for Repair and Maintenance to buildings, equipment, sewer and roads; and \$210,343 (36.7%) for Travel and Education; \$737,214 for Contributions to Capital Projects. The increases were offset by decreases in Other Expenses of \$160,215 (94.0%) for Judgments and Awards; \$37,477 (35.2%) for Accrued Vacation; and \$452,959 (14.0%) for Capitalized Construction Costs wages, fringe benefits and indirect costs.
- Non-operating expenses increased \$4,259,991 (20.7%). Interest expense increased by \$819,280 due to increased Water Pollution Control Loans. Losses on equipment disposals increased \$3,440,711 (443.4%) due mainly to disposals of obsolete equipment parts.

Capital contributions increased in 2006 by \$6,314,504 due to completion of a project funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

The following table on the next page summarizes the changes in revenues and expenses for the District between 2005 and 2004.

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

## Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets For the years ended December 31,

(In Thousands)

	2005	2004	Change	
			Amount	%
Operating revenue				
Sewer service fees				
Billing Agents				
City of Cleveland	\$120,579	\$110,815	\$9,764	8.8%
Other Billing Agents	11,359	11,263	96	.9%
Total Billing Agents	131,938	122,078	9,860	8.1%
Direct billed sewer service fees	12,624	11,442	1,182	10.3%
Total sewer service fees	144,562	133,520	11,042	8.3%
Other operating revenue				
Septic tank and municipal sludge fees	565	581	(16)	(2.7)%
Miscellaneous	169	182	(13)	(7.1)%
Total other operating revenue	734	763	(29)	(3.8)%
Total operating revenue	145,296	134,283	11,013	8.2%
Non-operating revenue				
Interest revenue	8,816	11,265	(2,449)	(21.7)%
Decrease in fair value of investments	(3,190)	(6,663)	3,473	52.1%
Total non-operating revenue	5,626	4,602	1,024	22.2%
Total revenue	150,922	138,885	12,037	8.7%
Operating expenses				
Salaries & Wages	31,283	29,128	2,155	7.4%
Benefits	10,945	9,916	1,029	10.4%
Utilities	17,595	15,053	2,542	16.9%
Professional & Contractual	13,390	12,272	1,118	9.1%
Other	5,567	4,439	1,128	25.4%
Depreciation	35,968	35,669	299	.8%
Total operating expenses	114,748	106,477	8,271	7.8%
Non-operating expenses				
Interest expense on long-term debt	19,822	19,353	469	2.4%
Loss on disposals of equipment	776	1,025	(249)	(24.3)%
<b>Total non-operating expenses</b>	20,598	20,378	220	10.8%
<b>Total expenses</b>	135,346	126,855	8,491	6.7%

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

## Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets For the years ended December 31,

(In Thousands)

			Change	
	2005	2004	Amount	%
Income before contributions	15,576	12,030	3,546	29.5%
Capital contributions	45	1,704	(1,659)	(97.4%)
Change in net assets	15,621	13,734	1,887	13.7%
Net assets at beginning of year – as restated	1,219,658	1,205,924	13,734	1.1%
Net assets at end of year	\$1,235,279	\$1,219,658	\$15,621	1.3%

Operating revenue consists of user charges for sewage service fees based on water consumption. Operating expenses reflect the cost of providing these services. For the year ended December 31, 2005:

- Operating revenue increased by \$11,013,260 (8.2%) compared to 2004. The increase was due mainly to higher billing rates in 2005.
- Non-operating revenue increased by \$1,023,765 (22.2%). Non-operating revenue consists of interest income and the change in fair value of investments. Interest income decreased by \$2,448,599 (21.7%) due to both lower balances available for investment and a lower rate of return. The decline in fair value of investments for 2005 was \$3,472,364 less than in 2004. This decline is expected to continue to reverse in the future since these investments in obligations of the U.S. Treasury and its agencies are held to maturity.
- Operating expenses increased \$8,270,383 (7.8%) compared to 2004. All components of operating expenses increased proportionately.
- Non-operating expenses increased \$220,087 (1.1%). Interest expense increased by \$469,067 due to increased Water Pollution Control Loans.

Capital contributions from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency decreased in 2005 by \$1,658,745 due to completion of grant funded projects.

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

### **Capital Assets**

At the end of 2006, the District had \$1,466,811,732 invested in capital assets. This amount represents a net increase of approximately \$68 million, or 4.9% over 2005. Refer to Notes 2 and 5 to the audited financial statements for more detailed information on capital assets.

## Capital Assets at December 31, (Net of Depreciation, in Thousands)

		2005	Change	
_	2006		Amount	%
Land	\$7,404	\$7,406	\$(2)	0.0 %
Construction in Progress	198,850	180,401	18,449	10.2 %
Interceptor Sewer Lines	732,167	694,201	37,966	5.5 %
Buildings, structures and improvements	379,180	385,595	(6,415)	(1.7) %
Sewage treatment and other equipment	149,210	130,966	18,244	13.9 %
Totals =	\$1,466,811	\$1,398,569	\$68,242	4.9 %
Major additions in 2006, at cost included:				
Interceptors:				
Tuxedo Road Relief Sewer			\$16,255	
Easterly District Interceptors Relining & Replacement			9,135	
Big Creek Interceptor Rehabilitation			6,505	
Bryden-Farnsleigh Relief Sewer			5,012	
Lee-Superior Relief Sewer 2 & 3			3,353	
Lake Avenue Relief Sewer			2,574	
Wastewater Treatment Plants:				
Standby Power Improvements at all facilities			10,245	
Gravity Belt Thickening Improvements			8,209	
Combined Sewer Overflow Floatable Netting Facilities			3,623	
Standby Power Generator			5,504	
Easterly Boiler System Modifications			3,598	
Southerly Electrical Infrastructure Cable			2,272	
Southerly Interceptor Repair		_	2,123	
			\$78,408	

### Management's Discussion and Analysis

### **Capital Assets**

At the end of 2005, the District had \$1,398,569,240 invested in capital assets. This amount represents a net increase of approximately \$71 million, or 6.8% over 2004. Refer to Notes 2 and 5 to the audited financial statements for more detailed information on capital assets.

# Capital Assets at December 31, (Net of Depreciation, in Thousands)

		_	Change	e
	2005	2004	Amount	%
Land	\$7,406	\$7,300	\$106	1.4 %
Construction in Progress	180,401	238,823	(58,422)	24.5 %
Interceptor Sewer Lines	694,201	614,300	79,901	13.0 %
Buildings, structures and improvements	385,595	352,743	32,852	9.3 %
Sewage treatment and other equipment	130,966	114,609	16,357	14.3 %
Totals	\$1,398,569	\$1,327,775	\$70,794	5.3 %
Major additions in 2005, at cost included: Interceptors: Van Aken Blvd. Relief Sewer Big Creek Interceptor Rehabilitation Lee-Superior Intercommunity Relief Sewer SOM Center Intercommunity Relief Sewer			\$12,247 5,437 32,440 4,881	
Wastewater treatment plants: Headworks Electrical Improvements Easterly Early Action CSO Control Westerly outfall improvements Skimmings handling Plant process monitors		_ 	9,694 17,674 24,148 14,096 12,787 \$133,404	

### **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

#### **Debt Administration**

At December 31, 2006 the District had total debt outstanding of 481,874,602 compared to \$456,592,438 at December 31, 2005. This represents an increase of \$25,282,164. Loans from the Ohio Water Development Authority Water Pollution Control Loan Fund increased \$52,242,468; total debt retirements were \$26,960,304. The carrying value of the long-term portion of debt at December 31, 2006 was \$451,207,570 and the fair value of the long-term debt was \$447,926,854.

At December 31, 2005 the District had total debt outstanding of 456,592,438 compared to \$420,597,285 at December 31, 2004. This represents an increase of \$35,995,153. Total additions to debt were \$125,282,837, comprised of loans from the Ohio Water Development Authority Water Pollution Control Loan Fund of \$57,002,837 and \$68,280,000 for issuance of Series 2005 Revenue Refunding Bonds. Total debt retirements were \$89,287,684, which included a reduction of \$64,165,000 for the advance refund and defeasance of Series 1995 Revenue Refunding Bonds. The carrying value of the long-term portion of debt at December 31, 2005 was \$428,742,153 and the fair value of the long-term debt was \$427,475,540.

This information should be read in conjunction with Note 6 to the audited financial statements for more detailed information on long-term debt.

### Outstanding Debt at December 31,

(In Thousands)

	2006	2005	2004
Revenue Bonds	\$63,525	\$68,280	\$68,415
Ohio Water Development Authority Loans	573	932	1,274
Water Pollution Control Loans Payable	417,777	387,380	350,908
Total Debt	\$481,875	\$456,592	\$420,597

#### **Economic Factors**

Effective January 1, 2006 the District increased sewage service rates for the fourth time in five years. Rates increased by 7.3% to \$28.10 per thousand cubic feet (mcf) for customers located in the City of Cleveland, and by 5.3% to \$32.60 per mcf for customers outside the City. (The District's Homestead Program offers reduced rates to qualified customers age 65 or older, or those totally disabled.)

In February, 2007 the District's Board of Trustees approved a schedule of rate increases for the five years 2007 through 2011. Effective March 1, 2007, rates charged to District customers increased 9.7 percent to \$30.85 per mcf for City of Cleveland customers, and 8% to \$35.10 per mcf for the suburban customers. The rates are scheduled to increase similarly on January 1 of each year, for years 2008 through 2011.

Increasingly stringent federal regulations and remaining legal obligations are key factors that led to the increases. A rate study of a projected five-year period concluded that the need to finance \$580 million in federally mandated capital projects between 2007 and 2011, combined with the repayment of existing debt service for past projects and an anticipated decrease in billed consumption, necessitated the rate increases.

### **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

### **Contacting the District's Financial Management**

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all interested parties. Questions and requests for additional information regarding this report should be addressed to the Director of Finance, Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, 3900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44115, or by telephone at (216) 881-6600.

### **Statements of Net Assets**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### <u>Assets</u>

		2006		2005
Current assets:				
Cash	\$	2,986,731	\$	658,985
Short-Term Investments		99,702,463		89,116,847
Sewage Service Fees Receivable, less allowance				
for doubtful accounts of \$8,000,000 in 2006 and				
\$9,074,512 in 2005 (Note 4)		66,811,439		63,011,052
Receivables from Federal and State Agencies		14,556,839		15,348,795
Inventory, Prepaid Expenses and Other		6,421,238	_	6,112,400
Total Current Assets		190,478,710	-	174,248,079
Noncurrent Assets:				
Capital Assets:				
Interceptor Sewer Lines		854,146,016		805,391,995
Buildings, Structures and Improvements		660,884,971		650,217,257
Sewage Treatment and Other Equipment		320,637,401		293,140,853
		1,835,668,388		1,748,750,105
Less Accumulated Depreciation		575,111,019	_	537,988,180
		1,260,557,369		1,210,761,925
Land		7,404,102		7,405,747
Construction in Progress		198,850,261	_	180,401,568
Net Capital Assets		1,466,811,732		1,398,569,240
Unamortized Deferred Debt Expense		363,620		555,180
Construction Funds (Note 2)		97,843,731		138,846,671
Revenue Bond Debt Service Deposit (Note 2)	_	9,059,149	_	7,208,350
Total Noncurrent Assets		1,574,078,232	_	1,545,179,441
Total Assets		1,764,556,942	_	1,719,427,520

Continued

### **Statements of Net Assets (Continued)**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Liabilities and Net Assets**

	2006	2005
Liabilities:		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts Payable	5,102,022	4,048,186
Construction Contracts and Retainages Payable	9,279,668	12,166,632
Accrued Interest	9,354,194	7,296,351
Other Accrued Liabilities	4,846,257	4,471,190
Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt (Note 6)	30,560,325	27,423,526
Total Current Liabilities	59,142,466	55,405,885
Noncurrent Liabilities:		
Long-Term Debt, Net of Current Maturities (Note 6)	451,207,570	428,742,153
Total Liabilities	510,350,036	484,148,038
Net Assets:		
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt	994,466,605	950,167,090
Unrestricted	259,740,301	285,112,392
Total Net Assets	\$ <u>1,254,206,906</u>	\$ <u>1,235,279,482</u>

### Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

### For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

	_	2006		2005
Operating Revenues:				
Billing Agents	\$	135,732,973	\$	131,938,350
Direct Billed	•	13,220,817	,	12,623,945
Other		1,200,272		734,253
Total Operating Revenues	_	150,154,062		145,296,548
Operating Expenses:				
Salaries & Wages		33,021,551		31,283,367
Fringe Benefits		11,225,384		10,944,618
Utilities		17,814,509		17,595,315
Professional & Contractual Services		14,076,004		13,389,541
Other		6,917,693		5,566,881
Depreciation		40,093,478	_	35,968,351
Total Operating Expenses	_	123,148,619	-	114,748,073
Operating Income		27,005,443		30,548,475
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses):				
Interest Income		8,645,525		8,816,261
Decrease in Fair Value of Investments, Net		1,774,960		(3,190,247)
Loss on Disposals of Equipment		(4,216,914)		(776,203)
Interest on Long-Term Debt		(20,641,227)	_	(19,821,947)
Total Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)	_	(14,437,656)	_	(14,972,136)
Income before Contributions		12,567,787		15,576,339
Capital Contributions	_	6,359,637	_	45,133
Change in Net Assets		18,927,424		15,621,472
Net Assets at Beginning of Year	_	1,235,279,482	_	1,219,658,010
Net Assets at End of Year	\$ _	1,254,206,906	\$ =	1,235,279,482

### **Statements of Cash Flows**

### For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

	_	2006	2005
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Cash Received From Customers	\$	146,008,790	\$ 138,787,750
Cash Payments to Suppliers for Goods and Services		(37,877,618)	(37,286,158)
Cash Payments to Employees for Services	_	(43,942,176)	(41,835,306)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	-	64,188,996	59,666,286
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Purchase of Investments		(362,511,549)	(317,688,948)
Proceeds from Maturities of Investments		392,925,632	350,527,666
Interest on Investments	_	8,572,926	9,473,487
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	-	38,987,009	42,312,205
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities:			
Principal Payments on Long-Term Debt		(26,960,303)	(25,122,684)
Interest Payments on Long-Term Debt		(17,296,135)	(17,429,339)
Proceeds from Issuance of Series 2005 Bonds		292,644	71,263,745
Retirement of Series 1995 Bonds		(38,194)	(66,081,481)
Proceeds from Water Pollution Control Loans		51,256,419	43,715,452
Acquisition and Construction of Capital Assets		(115,439,850)	(107,941,237)
Grants Received		7,337,160	59,645
Net Cash Used in Capital and Related Financing Activities	-	(100,848,259)	(101,535,899)
Net Increase in Cash		2,327,746	442,592
Cash at Beginning of Year	-	658,985	216,393
Cash at End of Year	\$ _	2,986,731	\$ 658,985
			Continued

### **Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)**

### For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

		2006		2005
Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:				
Operating Income	\$	27,005,443	\$	30,548,475
Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:	·	, ,	·	, ,
Depreciation		40,093,478		35,968,351
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:				
Accounts Receivable		(4,145,272)		(6,508,798)
Inventory and Prepaid Expenses		(308,838)		(198,192)
Accounts Payable and Other Accrued Liabilities	_	1,544,185		(143,550)
Total Adjustments		37,183,553		29,117,811
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$	64,188,996	\$	59,666,286
Supplemental Schedule of Non-Cash Investing, Capital and	l			
Related Financing Activities:				
Long-Term Debt Recorded for Receivables from State Agencies in Connection with Water Pollution Control				
Loans	\$	14,260,378	\$	14,419,696
Long-Term Debt Increased (Decreased) due to Accrued				
Construction Interest and Recorded Receivables	\$	986,050	\$	(1,132,311)
Capital Assets Increased due to Capitalized Costs,				
Recorded Accounts Payable, and Asset Reclassifications	\$	6,565,355	\$	2,745,773
Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value of Investments, Net	\$	1,774,960	\$	(3,190,247)

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 1:** Reporting Entity

The Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (the "District"), a political subdivision of the State of Ohio, was created by Order of the Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas and commenced operations on July 18, 1972, under statutory provisions of the Ohio Revised Code. The District serves a region comprised of the City of Cleveland and 59 suburban communities.

The District is governed by its Board of Trustees (the "Board"). The Board consists of seven members, each of whom serves a five-year term and who are appointed as follows: (i) two by the Mayor of the City of Cleveland (subdistrict one); (ii) two by a council of governments (the "Suburban Council") comprised of representatives of all the suburban communities served by the System (subdistrict two); (iii) one by the Board of County Commissioners of Cuyahoga County; (iv) one by the appointing authority of the subdistrict with the greatest sewage flow (currently the Mayor of the City of Cleveland); and (v) one by the appointing authority of the subdistrict with greatest population (currently the Suburban Council). Accordingly, the Mayor of the City of Cleveland and the Suburban Council each currently appoint three members of the Board.

In accordance with the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, and GASB Statement No. 39, *Determining Whether Certain Organizations are Component Units* (an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14), the accompanying financial statements include only the accounts and transactions of the District. Under the criteria specified in these GASB Statements, the District has no component units nor is it considered a component unit of the State of Ohio. The District is considered, however, a political subdivision to the State of Ohio. These conclusions regarding the financial reporting entity are based on the concept of financial accountability. The District is not financially accountable for any other organizations. This is evidenced by the fact that the District is a legally and fiscally separate and distinct organization. The annual budget is submitted to Cuyahoga County for informational purposes only and does not require its approval. The District is solely responsible for its finances. The District is empowered to issue revenue bonds payable solely from sewer charge revenues.

Component units are legally separate organizations for which the District is financially accountable. The District is financially accountable for an organization if it appoints a voting majority of the organization's governing board and (1) is able to significantly influence the programs or services performed or provided by the organization; or (2) is legally entitled to or can otherwise access the organization's resources; is legally obligated or has otherwise assumed the responsibility to finance deficits of or provide financial support to the organization; or is obligated for the debt of the organization. Based upon the application of these criteria, the District has no component units.

### **Note 2:** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as prescribed by the GASB. The statements were prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. All transactions are accounted for in a single proprietary (enterprise) fund.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 2:** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The District also applies Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations issued after November 30, 1989, provided they do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The more significant of the District's accounting policies are described below.

### A. Basis of Presentation

The District's basic financial statements consist of a statement of net assets, a statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets, and a statement of cash flows.

The District uses a single enterprise fund to maintain its financial records during the year. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts.

Enterprise fund reporting focuses on the determination of the change in net assets, financial position and cash flows. An enterprise fund may be used to account for any activity for which a fee is charged to external users for goods and services.

### **B.** Measurement Focus

The enterprise fund is accounted for on a flow of economic resources measurement focus. All assets and all liabilities associated with the operation of the District are included on the statement of net assets. The statement of changes in net assets presents increases (i.e., revenues) and decreases (i.e., expenses) in net total assets. The statement of cash flows provides information about how the District finances and meets the cash flow needs of its enterprise activity.

#### C. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting determines when transactions are recorded in the financial records and reported on the financial statements. The District's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting.

Revenue is recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. Expenses are recognized at the time they are incurred.

#### D. Cash Equivalents and Investments

The District's policy is to treat all of its short-term, highly liquid investments as investments, and not as cash equivalents.

Except for nonparticipating investment contracts, investments are reported at fair value which is based on quoted market prices. Nonparticipating investment contracts such as nonnegotiable certificates of deposit are reported at cost plus accrued interest.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note 2:** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### D. Cash Equivalents and Investments (continued)

The District invested funds in the State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio (STAROhio) during 2006 and 2005. STAROhio is an investment pool managed by the State Treasurer's Office which allows governments within the State to pool their funds for investment purposes. STAROhio is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an investment company, but does operate in a manner consistent with Rule 2a7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Investments in STAROhio are valued at STAROhio's share price which is the price the investment could be sold for on the respective statement of net assets date.

### E. Short-term Investments

Short-term investments consists of nonnegotiable certificates of deposit, obligations of Federal agencies, and STAROhio.

#### F. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

FASB Statement No.107 requires that the District disclose estimated fair values for its financial instruments. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates. Fair value estimates, methods, and assumptions are set forth below:

#### Cash

The carrying value approximates fair value due to the short maturity of this financial instrument.

#### **Investments**

The carrying value of the District's investments in U.S. Treasury Securities, obligations of Federal Agencies, and STAROhio is fair value in accordance with the application of GASB Statement No. 31. The carrying value of the District's certificates of deposits approximates fair value due to the relative short maturities of these financial instruments.

#### **Long-Term Debt**

The fair value of the District's long-term debt is estimated based on the borrowing rates currently available to the District for loans with comparable maturities. The carrying value and estimated fair value of the District's long-term debt at December 31, 2006 were \$451,207,570 and \$447,926,854 respectively. The carrying value and estimated fair value of the District's long-term debt at December 31, 2005 were \$428,742,153 and \$427,475,540 respectively.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note 2:** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### G. Construction and Restricted Accounts

In conjunction with the issuance of its revenue bonds, the District maintains funds in the following special accounts:

### **Construction Funds**

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, these funds consisted of cash, nonnegotiable certificates of deposit, U.S. Treasury Securities, obligations of Federal agencies, and STAROhio. They are used to finance construction expenditures approved by the Board of Trustees. Under certain circumstances, the funds may be used for repayment of principal and interest costs on the 2005 Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds.

### **Revenue Bond Debt Service Deposit**

These are advance deposits made to the District's bond trustee for principal and interest payments on revenue bonds. Investments at December 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of direct obligations of the United States Government, plus accrued interest.

### **H.** Sewage Service Fees Receivable

Sewage service fees receivable are shown net of an allowance for uncollectibles. The allowance is based on aged accounts receivable and current year operating revenues. The allowance amounts are \$8,000,000 at December 31, 2006 and \$9,074,512 at December 31, 2005.

#### I. Inventory

Inventory consists of supplies not yet put into service and is charged to operations when consumed. Inventory is valued at the lower of cost (weighted average) or market.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note 2:** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### J. Capital Assets

Capital assets which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g. sewer lines and similar items) are reported on the statement of net assets. All capital assets are capitalized at cost (or estimated historical cost) and updated for additions and retirements during the year. Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District maintains a capitalization threshold of \$5,000. Major renewals and betterments are capitalized; the costs of normal improvements and repairs that do not add to the value of the assets or materially extend an asset's life are not. All reported capital assets except for land and construction in progress are depreciated. Major renewals and betterments are depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the related capital assets. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Description
Interceptor sewer lines
Buildings, structures and improvements
Sewage treatment and other equipment

Estimated Lives
Primarily 75 years
Primarily 40 years
5 to 20 years

### **K.** Unamortized Financing Costs

The unamortized financing costs include insurance, consulting and attorney fees incurred in connection with the revenue bond obligations. These amounts are being amortized on the straight-line method over the lives of the revenue bonds. Bond premiums, discounts and deferred loss are being amortized on the interest method over the lives of the revenue bonds. Amortization amounted to \$140,460 for 2006 and \$437,110 for 2005.

#### L. Net Assets

Net assets represent the difference between assets and liabilities. Net assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowings used for the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Net assets are reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use either through the enabling legislation adopted by Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors or laws or regulations of other governments. The District applies restricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available. The District did not have any restricted net assets for 2006 or 2005.

### M. Revenues and Expenses

Operating revenues and expenses result from providing wastewater conveyance and treatment services. Operating revenues consist of user charges for sewage services based on water consumption. Operating revenues are shown net of bad debt expense of \$40,933 in 2006 and \$1,887,334 in 2005. Operating expenses include the cost of these sewer services, including administrative expenses and depreciation on capital assets.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 2:** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

### M. Revenues and Expenses (continued)

Nonoperating revenues and expenses are all revenues and expenses not meeting the definition of operating revenues and expenses. Nonoperating revenues and expenses include revenues and expenses from capital and related financing activities, and investing activities.

Sewage service fees are billed to users of the system on a cycle basis based primarily upon water usage. Estimates for services provided between the ends of various cycles and the end of the year are recorded as unbilled revenue. Included in sewage service fees receivable at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are unbilled sewage service fees of \$19,644,852 and \$18,710,243, respectively.

### N. Interest Expense

It is the District's intention that all expenses, including interest incurred in connection with financing the constructions of new facilities, be recovered on a current basis. The annual budget process is governed by a number of factors, including a covenant in the 2005 Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bond Resolution which requires that the current year "net revenues" be at least 125% of its debt service requirements. As the District does not intend that interest costs be recovered from subsequent years' revenue, it has excluded interest as an allowable cost for future rate-making purposes. Therefore, in accordance with FASB Statement No. 71, all interest expense is charged to operations as incurred.

#### O. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### P. Comparative Data/Reclassifications

Comparative data for the prior year are presented in order to provide an understanding of the changes in financial position and operations. Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2005 financial statements in order to conform to the 2006 presentation.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 3:** Deposits and Investments

#### **Deposits**

Ohio law requires that deposits be placed in eligible banks or savings and loan associations located in Ohio. The District's practice is to place deposits with commercial banks and savings and loan associations within its service area. At December 31, 2006, the carrying amount of the District's deposits, including certificates of deposit of \$2,178,373, was \$5,164,996 and the bank balance was \$6,165,964. Of the bank balance, \$400,000 was covered by Federal depository insurance and \$5,765,964 was covered by collateral securities held in Federal Reserve pledge accounts in the District's name. At December 31, 2005, the carrying amount of the District's deposits, including certificates of deposit of \$1,916,095, was \$2,575,083 and the bank balance was \$3,576,624. Of the bank balance, \$300,000 was covered by Federal depository insurance and \$3,276,624 was covered by collateral securities held in Federal Reserve pledge accounts in the District's name. In the event of default by the pledging financial institution, the District may make written demand upon the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland for surrender of the collateral.

#### **Investments**

The District's investment policies are currently governed by its 2005 Wastewater Improvement Refunding Revenue Bond Resolution (the "Resolution") as permitted by state statute. The Resolution allows the District to purchase certain instruments, including obligations of the U.S. Treasury, its agencies and instrumentalities, interest-bearing demand or time deposits, repurchase agreements and, in certain situations, pre-refunded municipal obligations, general obligations of any state and other fixed income securities. Repurchase transactions are not to exceed one year and must be collateralized by obligations of the U.S. Government or its agencies which are held by a third party custodian.

The investments included in the Revenue Bond Debt Service Deposit are governed by the provisions of a trust agreement which provides for interest and principal payments on the 2005 Series Bonds.

At December 31, 2006 the District's investment balances and maturities were as follows:

		% of	<u>Maturities</u>	(in Years)
Investment Type	Fair Value	<u>Total</u>	Less than 1	1-5
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$ 69,994,306	34%	\$69,994,306	\$ -
Federal National Mortgage Association	44,872,887	22%	25,183,185	19,689,703
Federal Home Loan Bank	35,027,004	17%	20,019,517	15,007,487
State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio	30,502,556	15%	30,502,556	-
Federal Farm Credit Bank	14,971,067	7%	9,897,733	5,073,333
Guaranteed Investment Contracts	7,174,985	4%	7,174,985	-
U.S. Treasury Bills	1,884,273	1%	1,884,273	-
	\$204,427,078			

### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### Note 3: Deposits and Investments (continued)

**Interest Rate Risk**. Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. It is the District's policy to hold instruments to maturity, limiting any investment to a maximum of five years. The targeted weighted average days to maturity for the overall District portfolio is not more than two years. In addition, Ohio law prescribes that all District investments mature within five years of purchase, unless the investment is matched to a specific obligation or debt of the District.

Credit Risk. Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The District's investment policy authorizes investments in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, U.S. agencies and instrumentalities, interest-bearing demand or time deposits, State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio ("STAR Ohio"), money market mutual funds, repurchase agreements, and in certain situations, prefunded municipal obligations, general obligations of any state and other fixed income securities. Repurchase transactions are not to exceed one year and must be collateralized by obligations of the U.S. Government or its agencies which are held by a third party custodian. Star Ohio is an investment pool created pursuant to Ohio statutes and is managed by the Treasurer of the State of Ohio. As of December 31, 2006, the District's investment in U.S. instrumentalities (Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Home Loan Bank, Federal Farm Credit Bank, Guaranteed Investment Contracts) were all rated AAA by Standard & Poor's and Aaa by Moody's Investors Service. The investments in Star Ohio, and JP Morgan were rated AAAm by Standard & Poor's and Aaa by Moody's Investors Service.

Custodial Credit Risk. Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a counterparty to a transaction, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. Consistent with the requirements of State Law, it is the policy of the District to require full collateralization of all investments other than obligations of U.S. Government, its agencies and instrumentalities. The District's investment in U.S. agencies with fair values totaling \$164,865,265 has maturities of \$125,094,741 in less than one year and \$39,770,524 within two years. U.S. agencies are held in the account of National City Bank ("Trustee"), at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, Massachusetts. The District's securities associated with the principal and interest payment of bond proceeds in the amount of \$7,174,985 are held in the account of JP Morgan under the Master Trust Agreement. Assets held by the Trustee as a custodial agent are considered legally separate from the other assets of Trustee.

Concentration of Credit Risk. Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of investment in a single issuer. The District's investment policy provides that investments be diversified to reduce the risk of loss from over concentration in a single issuer, but does not identify specific limits on the amounts that may be so invested. More than ten percent of the District's investments are in Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Bank, and State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### Note 4: Transactions with The City of Cleveland

As required by the court order establishing the District, the District and the City of Cleveland (the "City") entered into agreements which provide for the City, as the District's agent, to invoice, collect, and account for sewer charges to most District users. The remainder of the District's users are invoiced directly by the District or by other billing agents.

A summary of the billing and collection transactions between the City and the District for 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

	_	2006	_	2005
Amounts due from District customers at beginning of year for invoices rendered by the City	\$	46,178,950	\$	41,333,648
Amounts billed to District customers by the City during the				
year		123,078,763		120,974,925
Cash collected from District customers by the City and				
remitted to the District during the year		(118,209,699)		(114,733,781)
Write off of inactive accounts		(1,056,612)		(587,976)
Cash collected directly by the District on invoices rendered				
by the City and other adjustments	_	(1,211,886)	_	(807,866)
Balance due from customers at end of year, included in				
sewage service fees receivable before allowance for				
doubtful accounts	\$ _	48,779,516	\$ _	46,178,950

Sewage service fees billed by the City are considered delinquent 15 days after the date of the bill. The fees are considered in arrears when they remain unpaid beyond 90 days from the date billed. The District may certify to the County Auditor any delinquent accounts billed by the City. Such certification will result in the delinquent amounts being placed on the real property tax duplicate for collection as taxes. Failure to pay the property tax and District fees will result in a lien on such property.

Fees paid to the City for billing and collection services in 2006 and 2005 were \$4,784,002 and \$5,131,200, respectively.

### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### Note 5: Capital Assets and Depreciation

Capital Asset Activity for the year ended December 31, 2006 was as follows:

	Balance December 31 2005	1, Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance December 31, 2006
Non-depreciable:					
Land \$	7,405,747	\$ -	\$ (1,645) \$	-	\$ 7,404,102
Construction in Progress	180,401,568	112,132,841		(93,684,148)	198,850,261
	187,807,315	112,132,841	(1,645)	(93,684,148)	206,254,363
Depreciable:					
Înterceptor Sewer Lines	805,391,995	-	(1,873,820)	50,627,841	854,146,016
Buildings, Structures and Improvements	650,217,257	441,443	(697,038)	10,923,309	660,884,971
Sewage Treatment and Other Equipment	293,140,853	2,318,547	(2,388,878)	27,566,879	320,637,401
	1,748,750,105	2,759,990	(4,959,736)	89,118,029	1,835,668,388
Totals at Historical Cost	1,936,557,420	114,892,831	(4,961,381)	(4,566,119)	2,041,922,751
Less Accumulated Depreciation For:					
Interceptor Sewer Lines	(111,190,162)	(11,350,181)	561,503	-	(121,978,840)
Buildings, Structures and Improvements	(264,622,364)	(17,425,375)	342,721	-	(281,705,018)
Sewage Treatment and Other Equipment	(162,175,654)	(11,317,922)	2,066,415		(171,427,161)
Total Accumulated Depreciation	(537,988,180)	(40,093,478)	2,970,639	<del></del>	(575,111,019)
Capital Assets, Net \$	1,398,569,240	\$74,799,353	\$(1,990,742)	(4,566,119)	\$ <u>1,466,811,732</u>
Depreciation Expense Charged to Operating Expenses		\$ <u>40,093,478</u>			

### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### **Capital Assets and Depreciation (continued)** Note 5:

Capital Asset Activity for the year ended December 31, 2005 was as follows:

	Balance December 31 2004	1, Additions	<u>Retirements</u>	_ Transfers_	Balance December 31, 2005
Non-depreciable:					
Land \$	7,299,955	\$ -	\$ - \$	105,792	\$ 7,405,747
Construction in Progress	238,823,184	105,148,308		(163,569,924)	180,401,568
	246,123,139	105,148,308		_(163,464,132)	187,807,315
Depreciable:					
Interceptor Sewer Lines	715,498,204	-	-	89,893,791	805,391,995
Buildings, Structures and Improvements	601,462,922	24,124	(1,628)	48,731,839	650,217,257
Sewage Treatment and Other Equipment	268,811,909	2,457,412	(2,966,970)	24,838,503	293,140,853
	1,585,773,035	2,481,536	(2,968,598)	163,464,132	1,748,750,105
Totals at Historical Cost	1,831,896,174	<u>107,629,844</u>	(2,968,598)		1,936,557,420
Less Accumulated Depreciation For:					
Interceptor Sewer Lines	(101,198,169)	(9,991,993)	-	-	(111,190,162)
Buildings, Structures and Improvements	(248,719,556)	(15,903,174)	366	-	(264,622,364)
Sewage Treatment and Other Equipment	(154,203,348)	(10,073,184)	2,100,878		<u>(162,175,654</u> )
Total Accumulated Depreciation	(504,121,073)	(35,968,351)	2,101,244		(537,988,180)
Capital Assets, Net \$	<u>1,327,775,101</u>	\$71,661,493	\$(867,354) \$		\$ <u>1,398,569,240</u>
Depreciation Expense Charged to Operating Expenses		\$35,968,351			

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 6: Long-Term Obligations**

A summary of long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 and 2005 follows:

	Interest Rate	2006	2005
Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005: Serial Bonds Maturing 2006 through 2016:	4.00%-5.00% \$	63,525,000	\$ 68,280,000
Ohio Water Development Authority			
Loans Payable Annually Through 2008	5.25%	572,853	932,524
Water Pollution Control Loans Payable			
Through 2027	3.20%-5.20%	417,776,749	387,379,914
Total Debt		481,874,602	456,592,438
Less Current Maturities		30,560,325	27,423,526
Less Deferred Debt Expenses, Net		106,707	426,759
Total Long-Term Debt	\$ .	451,207,570	\$ 428,742,153

#### Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005

The District issued \$68,280,000 of Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005 (the "2005 Series Bonds"), in connection with the advance refunding of its Wastewater Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1995 (the "1995 Series Bonds"). The 2005 Series Bonds are payable from the revenues of the District, after payment of operating and maintenance costs. The bonds are secured by a pledge of and lien on such net revenues. The bonds are additionally secured by a pledge of and lien on monies and investments comprising the Construction Funds and the Revenue Bond Debt Service Deposit.

The bond indenture requires, among other provisions, that the District establish certain debt service accounts, maintain the system in good operating condition, and charge rates such that the necessary debt service payments can be made after operating and maintenance charges have been paid.

#### Ohio Water Development Authority Loans (OWDA)

These loans represent financing obtained under cooperative agreements for certain construction projects for which Federal grant funds were provided and are intended to cover the portion of funds required for each project in excess of Federal grant funds. These loans are subordinate to the 2005 Series Bonds and are payable from the revenues of the District, after payment of operating and maintenance costs and are secured by a pledge of and lien on such net revenues. The repayment period commences on a fixed date established by each agreement. Repayments have begun on all agreements.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 6:** Long-Term Obligations (continued)

### Water Pollution Control Loans

Title VI of the Clean Water Act, as amended, authorizes the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to make Federal capitalization grants to states for deposit in state water pollution control revolving funds (SRFs). From these funds, states can provide loans and other types of financial assistance, but not grants, to governmental entities for the construction of publicly owned wastewater treatment facilities. In Ohio, this SRF program is known as the Water Pollution Control Loan Fund and is jointly administered by the Ohio EPA and the Ohio Water Development Authority. These loans are subordinate to the 2005 Series Bonds and are payable from the revenues of the District, after payment of operating and maintenance costs and are secured by a pledge of and lien on such net revenues. Principal balances on loans increase as project costs are incurred. Interest accrues on principal amounts outstanding during the construction period and is combined with the principal balance upon completion of the project.

The repayment period for each loan commences no later than the first January or July following the expected completion dates of the project to which it relates utilizing an estimate of total eligible project costs as the preliminary loan amount. The District is required to submit final eligible project costs within one year of the project completion date at which time the final loan amount is determined and semi-annual payment amounts are adjusted to reflect such costs. The District had 50 SRF loan awards related to projects, 21 of which were not complete as of December 31, 2006. Loans related to completed construction projects are being repaid in semi-annual payments of principal and interest over a period of up to twenty years. SRF loans outstanding by completion or expected completion year of the related projects as of December 31, 2006 follow.

			Current Amount	1	Additional Available
Completion or Expected			Including Accrued	E	Borrowings Including
Completion Year of	Number of		Construction		Construction
<u>Projects</u>	<u>Projects</u>	_	Period Interest	_	Period Interest
1993 - 2006	42	\$	381,305,671	\$	59,598,974
2007	6		36,271,491		38,613,643
2008	2	-	199,587	_	26,455,640
		\$	417,776,749	\$_	124,668,257

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 6:** Long-Term Debt (continued)

#### **Defeasance Transactions**

In July 1991, the Board of Trustees of the District approved a plan of defeasance for the Series 1984 Bonds and the 1984 trust agreement. Under the defeasance plan, the District irrevocably transferred to and deposited with the trustee for the Series 1984 Bonds \$20,602,500 in internally generated funds, which together with amounts held by the trustee in the debt service fund, debt service reserve fund and invested sinking fund under the 1984 trust agreement, were applied to the purchase of eligible securities that are sufficient, under the terms of the 1984 trust agreement, to pay the principal of, and interest, and any redemption premium on, the Series 1984 Bonds. The Series 1984 Bonds are deemed paid and discharged and no longer outstanding under the 1984 trust agreement, and the 1984 trust agreement is defeased. The foregoing defeasance of the Series 1984 Bonds was consummated on July 22, 1991.

In August 1991, the District issued its Wastewater Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1991, in the aggregate principal amount of \$122,315,000 to finance all or a portion of the costs of various components of the District's on-going capital improvements program, to fund the Debt Service Reserve Requirement and to pay the costs of issuance of the Series 1991 Bonds.

In September 1995, the District issued its Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995 (the "1995 Series Bonds"), in the aggregate principal amount of \$97,830,000 for the purpose of advance refunding its 1991 Series Bonds, issued under a Trust Agreement then outstanding in the aggregate principal amount of \$112,690,000. Through the issuance of the 1995 Series Bonds, the deposit of net proceeds together with certain moneys of the District into the Escrow Account, the District caused the 1991 Series Bonds and the 1991 Trust Agreement to be deemed paid and discharged and no longer outstanding. As of December 31, 2005, outstanding Series 1995 Bonds totaled \$64,165,000. A deposit of the net proceeds of the 2005 Series Bonds together with certain monies of the District into the Escrow Account will cause the 1995 Series Bonds to be deemed paid and discharged.

In 2005, the District issued \$68,980,000 in Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005 to advance refund and defease the series 1995 Wastewater Improvement Refunding Bonds of \$64,165,000. The net proceeds were used to purchase direct obligations of the U.S. government. Those securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent. The securities and fixed earnings from the securities are sufficient to provide for all future debt service payments on the series 1995 wastewater improvement bonds. As a result, the 1995 wastewater improvement bonds are considered defeased. As of December 31, 2006, \$59,715,000 of the defeased debt remained outstanding. The advance refunding resulted in a difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt in the amount of \$3,413,626. This difference, reported in the accompanying financial statement as a deduction from long-term debt, is being charged to operations through the year 2016 using the straight line method. The unamortized balance of the deferral totaled \$2,361,470 at December 31, 2006 and \$3,388,832 at December 31, 2005. The Series 2005 Bonds are not subject to redemption at the option of the District prior to their stated maturity.

### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### Note 6: Long-Term Debt (continued)

### Future Debt Service Requirements

The District anticipates significant additional long-term debt borrowings for ongoing and future construction projects.

The total future debt service requirements for all long-term debt not defeased or refunded as of December 31, 2006 follows:

	Wa	stev	vater		Ol	hio W	/ater				
	Improvement				Development				Water Po	llution	
	Revenue Re	efun	ding Bonds		Autho	ority	Loans		Control	Loans	
	Principal		Interest	_	Principal	I	nterest		Principal	Interest	Total
2007	\$ 4,675,000	\$	2,838,900	\$	378,553	\$	30,075	\$	25,506,772 \$	17,121,925	\$ 50,551,225
2008	4,865,000		2,651,900		194,300		10,014		24,464,461	15,551,687	47,737,362
2009	5,060,000		2,457,300		-		-		24,731,958	14,543,079	46,792,337
2010	5,265,000		2,254,900		-		-		25,771,563	13,503,475	46,794,938
2011	5,470,000		2,044,300		-		-		26,855,694	12,419,343	46,789,337
2012-2016	38,190,000		6,530,600		-		-		135,971,332	44,836,428	225,528,360
2017-2021	-		-		-		-		95,943,458	20,763,502	116,706,960
2022-2026	-		-		-		-		58,084,564	5,136,075	63,220,639
2027-2031						_		_	446,947	7,119	454,066
Total	\$ 63,525,000	\$	18,777,900	\$	572,853	\$_	40,089	\$_	417,776,749 \$	143,882,633	\$ <u>644,575,224</u>

### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 6:** Long-Term Debt (continued)

Bond discount premium, loss on defeasance activity for the year:

December 31, 2006  Bond Premium Loss on Refunding Total	\$ \$	Beginning Balance 2,962,073 (3,388,832) (426,759)	\$ \$	Amortized (707,310) 1,027,362 320,052	\$	Refunded	\$ Issued	\$ \$ \$	Ending Balance 2,254,763 (2,361,470) (106,707)
December 31, 2005  Bond Discount Bond Premium Loss on Refunding Total	\$	Beginning Balance (177,637) (2,391,352) (2,568,989)	\$	Amortized 27,404 (21,672) 393,699 399,431	\$ -	Refunded 150,233 - 2,022,447 2,172,680	\$ 2,983,745 (3,413,626) (429,881)	\$ - \$ <u>-</u>	Ending Balance  2,962,073 (3,388,832) (426,759)
Long-term debt activity for	or t	he year:							
December 31, 2006  Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005  Ohio Water Development Authority Loans	\$	Beginning Balance 68,280,000 932,524	\$	Additions	\$	Reductions (4,755,000) (359,671)	\$ Ending Balance 63,525,000 572,853	\$	Amount Due in 2007  4,675,000  378,554
Water Pollution Control Loans Total Debt	\$	387,379,914 456,592,438	\$	52,242,468 52,242,468	\$	(21,845,633) (26,960,304)	\$ 417,776,749 481,874,602	\$	25,506,771 30,560,325
December 31, 2005  Revenue Refunding Bonds,		Beginning Balance		Additions		Reductions	Ending Balance	_	Amount Due in 2006
Series 1995 Series 2005 Ohio Water Development	\$	68,415,000	\$	68,280,000	\$	(68,415,000)	\$ 68,280,000	\$	4,755,000
Authority Loans Water Pollution Control Loans Total Debt	\$	1,274,254 350,908,031 420,597,285	\$	57,002,837 125,282,837	\$	(341,730) (20,530,954) (89,287,684)	\$ 932,524 387,379,914 456,592,438	\$ _	359,671 22,308,855 27,423,526

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note 7: Pension Plans**

### Ohio Public Employees Retirement System

The District contributes to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System ("OPERS"). OPERS administers three separate pension plans as described below:

- The Traditional Pension Plan (TP) a cost sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan.
- The Member-Directed Plan (MD) a defined contribution plan in which the member invests both member and employer contributions (employer contributions vest over five years at 20% per year). Under the Member-Directed Plan members accumulate retirement assets equal to the value of member and (vested) employer contributions plus any investment earnings thereon.
- The Combined Plan (CO) a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. Under the Combined Plan employer contributions are invested by the retirement system to provide a formula retirement benefit similar in nature to the Traditional Plan benefit. Member contributions, the investment of which is self-directed by the members, accumulate retirement assets in a manner similar to the Member-Directed Plan.

OPERS provides retirement, disability, survivor and death benefits and annual cost of living adjustments to members of the Traditional Plan and Combined Plans. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits.

Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided by state statute per Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code. OPERS issue a stand-alone financial report. That report may be obtained by writing to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 222-5601 or 1-800-222-7377.

The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for member and employer contributions. For 2006, member and employer contribution rates were consistent across all three plans (TP, MD and CO). Separate divisions for law enforcement and public safety exist only within the Traditional Plan. District members were required to contribute 9.0 percent of their annual covered salary to fund pension obligations in 2006. The 2006 employer contribution rate for the District was 13.7 percent of covered payroll. The District's contributions to the OPERS for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$4,616,399, \$4,374,799 and \$4,046,897, respectively, which are the required contributions for each year.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 8: Postemployment Benefits**

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System

OPERS administers three separate pension plans: The Traditional Pension Plan – a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan; the Member-Directed Plan – a defined contribution plan; and the Combined Plan – a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has elements of both a defined benefit and defined contribution plan.

OPERS maintains a cost-sharing, multiple employer defined benefit post-employment healthcare plan, which includes a medical plan, prescription drug program and Medicare Part B premium reimbursement, to qualifying members of the Traditional Pension and the Combined Plans. Members of the Member-Directed Plan do not qualify for ancillary benefits, including post-employment health care coverage.

In order to qualify for post-employment health care coverage, age-and-service retirees under the Traditional Pension and Combined Plans must have 10 or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability benefit recipients and qualified survivor benefit recipients is available. The health care coverage provided by OPERS meets the definition of an Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) as described in GASB Statement 45.

The Ohio Revised Code permits, but does not mandate, OPERS to provide OPEB benefits to its eligible members and beneficiaries. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided in Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code.

OPERS issue a stand-alone financial report. That report may be obtained by writing to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 222-5601 or 1-800-222-7377.

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority requiring public employers to fund post retirement health care through their contributions to OPERS. A portion of each employer's contribution to OPERS is set aside for the funding of post retirement health care benefits.

Employer contribution rates are expressed as a percentage of the covered payroll of active members. For the District's employer units, the 2006 contribution rate was 13.7 percent of covered payroll. The Ohio Revised Code currently limits the employer contribution to a rate not to exceed 14% of covered payroll for District employer units. Active members do not make contributions to the OPEB plan.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### **Note 8:** Postemployment Benefits (continued)

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (continued)

OPERS' Post Employment Health Care Plan was established under, and is administered in accordance with, Internal Revenue Code 401(h). Each year, the OPERS Retirement Board determines the portion of the employer contribution rate that will be set aside for funding of post employment health care benefits. For 2006, the employer contribution allocated to the health care plan was 4.5 percent of covered payroll. During 2006 the District's portion that was used to fund health care was \$1,516,335 or 4.5 percent of covered payroll; during 2005 the District's portion was \$1,291,453, representing 4.0 percent of covered payroll.

On September 9, 2004 the OPERS Retirement Board adopted a Health Care Preservation Plan (HCPP) with an effective date of January 1, 2007. The HCPP restructures OPERS' health care coverage to improve the financial solvency of the fund. OPERS took additional actions to improve the solvency of the Health Care Fund in 2005 by creating a separate investment pool for health care assets. Member and employer contribution rates increased as of January 1, 2006 and January 1, 2007, which allow additional funds to be allocated to the health care plan.

#### **Note 9: Deferred Compensation Plans**

Under a deferred compensation program, the District offers two plans created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457 ("IRC 457"). Eligible employees of the District may elect to participate in either the ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (formerly known as Aetna Life Insurance and Annuity Company Plan) or the Ohio Public Employees Deferred Compensation Plan, collectively, the "Plans". Employees may also elect to participate in both plans. The Plans allow employees to defer a portion of their compensation until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or an unforeseen financial emergency, as defined in IRC 457.

The District follows the provisions of GASB Statement No. 32, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457, Deferred Compensation Plans. In accordance with the provisions of GASB Statement No.32, at both December 31, 2006 and 2005, the assets of both plans met the applicable trust requirements and are therefore excluded from the District's financial statements.

#### Note 10: Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District maintains an insurance reserve to account for and finance its uninsured risks of property damage. The District is self insured for workers' compensation and purchases commercial insurance for other specific types of coverage. There were no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior year. Claim settlements and judgments not covered by commercial insurance and the insurance reserve are covered by operating resources. The amount of settlements did not exceed insurance coverage for each of the past three years.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

### Note 10: Risk Management (continued)

Claim expenditures and liabilities are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of that loss can be reasonably estimated. These losses include an estimate of claims that have been incurred but not reported. The change in the estimate for claims is as follows:

	_	Beginning Balance		Claims Paid	Increase n Estimate	-	Ending Balance
2006	\$	40,984	\$	(140,670)	\$ 186,693	\$	87,007
2005		111,981		(350,124)	279,127		40,984

Estimated liabilities are not material with respect to the financial position of the District. The District's insurance reserve as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$19,320,496 and \$18,548,929, respectively, and is included in short-term investments on the District's balance sheet.

#### **Note 11: Commitments and Contingencies**

The District has a major capital improvement and expansion program. At December 31, 2006, the District has outstanding commitments for contracts in progress of approximately \$150,000,000. Funding for future expenditures will be provided primarily from borrowings and District equity.

The District has approximately \$9,000,000 in asserted or implied construction contract claims currently pending against it related to active construction contracts. In the opinion of District management, the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the District and, accordingly, no liability for this amount has been accrued by the District.

The District, in the normal course of its activities, is involved in various other claims and pending litigation. In the opinion of District management, the disposition of these other matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the District.

#### **Note 12: Change in Accounting Principles**

During fiscal year 2006, the District implemented GASB Statement No. 43, "Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans", GASB Statement No. 44, "Economic Condition Reporting: The Statistical Section", GASB Statement No. 45, "Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions", GASB Statement No. 46, "Net Assets Restricted by Enabling Legislation" and GASB Statement No. 47, "Accounting for Termination Benefits".

### **Notes to Financial Statements**

### December 31, 2006 and 2005

#### **Note 12: Change in Accounting Principles**

GASB Statement No. 43 and 45 establish standards for disclosure of information on post-employment benefits other than pension benefits by all state and local government employers. The implementation of these Statements have no impact on the District's financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 44 establishes requirements related to the supplementary information presented in a statistical section. The implementation of this Statement has no impact on the District's financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 46 clarifies when net assets should be restricted based on enabling legislation. The implementation of this Statement had no impact on the District's financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 47 provides guidance to governmental employers for measuring, recognizing, and reporting liabilities and expenses/expenditures related to all termination benefits without limitation as to the period of time during which the benefits are offered. The implementation of this Statement had no impact on the District's financial statements.

#### **Note 13:** Subsequent Events

In February, 2007 the Board of Trustees approved rate increases scheduled for the years 2007 through 2011. Effective March 1, 2007, rates charged to District customers increased approximately 10 percent for customers in the City of Cleveland, and 8-9% for the suburban customers. The rates are scheduled to increase similarly on January 1 of each year, for years 2008 through 2011.

In May, 2007 the District plans to issue Wastewater Improvement Revenue Bonds with face value totaling \$120 million. Repayment terms and covenants are expected to conform to the existing Wastewater Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005.

# Supplementary Information Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets-Budget to Actual

# For the year ended December 31, 2006

		Original Budget	Final Budget		Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Revenues:						
User Charges:						
Billed	\$	151,630,100 \$	151,630,100	\$	148,259,408	\$ (3,370,692)
Unbilled		-	-		694,382	694,382
Other Revenues	-	833,000	833,000		1,200,272	<u>367,272</u>
Total Revenues	-	152,463,100	152,463,100	,	150,154,062	(2,309,038)
Expenses:						
Salaries and Wages		33,967,141	33,967,141		33,021,551	945,590
Benefits		11,681,485	11,681,485		11,225,384	456,101
Power		10,077,300	10,077,300		9,698,130	379,170
Materials and Supplies		4,425,574	4,425,574		4,467,163	(41,589)
Collection Fees		5,428,000	5,428,000		5,577,407	(149,407)
Gas		6,772,380	6,772,380		6,411,117	361,263
Chemicals		1,540,505	1,540,505		1,419,372	121,133
Repairs and Maintenance		3,738,450	3,738,450		3,109,610	628,840
Solids Handling		1,472,886	1,472,886		1,282,171	190,715
Water		1,003,791	1,003,791		1,036,930	(33,139)
Professional Services		4,521,400	4,521,400		4,083,289	438,111
Insurance		1,192,000	1,192,000		1,139,139	52,861
Judgments and Awards		70,000	70,000		10,224	59,776
Other Operating Expenses		3,994,146	3,994,146		3,514,832	479,314
Capitalized Construction Costs		(3,750,000)	(3,750,000)		(3,678,392)	(71,608)
Capital Contribution to Clean						
Water Projects		3,100,000	3,100,000		737,214	2,362,786
Depreciation	-	42,000,000	42,000,000		40,093,478	1,906,522
Total Operating Expenses	-	131,235,058	131,235,058		123,148,619	8,086,439
Excess of Revenues Over Operating						
Expenses	\$	21,228,042 \$	21,228,042	\$	27,005,443	\$ <u>5,777,401</u>

### Supplementary Information Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets-Budget to Actual

### For the year ended December 31, 2006

### Supplementary Information Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets-Budget to Actual

### For the year ended December 31, 2006

### Reconciliation to Change in Net Assets:

Excess of Revenues Over Operating Expenses Interest Revenue	\$	27,005,443 8,645,525
Increase in Fair Value of Investments		1,774,960
Loss on Disposals of Equipment		(4,216,914)
Interest Expense on Long-Term Debt		(20,641,227)
Income Before Contributions		12,567,787
Capital Contributions	-	6,359,637
Change in Net Assets		18,927,424
Net Assets at Beginning of Year	-	1,235,279,482
Net Assets at End of Year	\$	1,254,206,906

### Supplementary Information Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets-Budget to Actual

### For the year ended December 31, 2006

### **Notes to Supplementary Information**

**December 31, 2006** 

### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### **Budgetary Basis of Accounting**

The District's budget is prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, except that the budget does not include interest income, increases in fair value of investments, interest on long-term debt, and gains and losses on equipment disposals. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the year.

General provisions regulating the District's budget and appropriation procedures are set forth in the Ohio Revised Code. The Executive Director is required to submit the District's operating and capital budgets to the Board of Trustees and they are required to adopt such budgets by March 31 of each year. Until the final budgets are adopted, the Board of Trustees may adopt a temporary appropriation for the first three months of the year. The Board of Trustees must also approve all amendments to the budget. The level of budgetary control for the District's operation, maintenance, and minor capital outlays is on a budget center line item basis. During the fiscal year, budget center heads may transfer appropriations within their respective budget centers and from other budget centers within limitations that are subject to Board approval. The capital budget consists of major and minor capital expenditures.

The annual Capital Plan outlines estimated cost by project. These projects are already authorized or to be considered by the Board of Trustees. Approval of the budgets by the Board of Trustees does not in itself authorize expenses for operations and maintenance or expenditures for capital projects. Requests for capital project expenditures must be approved by the Board of Trustees and/or the Executive Director, as appropriate, and require certification of available funds by the Director of Finance. Board approval is required for all operating and capital purchases of goods and services in excess of \$25,000. All budget appropriations lapse on December 31 of each year.

A reconciliation of the annual budget basis revenue and other income in excess of operating expenses to the change in net assets included in the accompanying financial statements is as follows:

		2006		2005
Excess of revenue over operating expenses - operating				
budget basis	\$	27,005,443	\$	30,548,475
Interest income		8,645,525		8,816,261
Increase (decrease) in fair value of investments		1,774,960		(3,190,247)
Loss on disposals of equipment		(4,216,914)		(776,203)
Interest on long-term debt		(20,641,227)		(19,821,947)
Capital contributions		6,359,637		45,133
Change in net assets	\$ _	18,927,424	\$ _	15,621,472

# STATISTICAL SECTION (UNAUDITED)

This part of the District's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the District's overall financial health.

Contents	Page
Financial Trends  These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand  The District's financial performance and well-being and how they have  changed over time.	44
Revenue Capacity  These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the  District's most significant revenue source, user charges.	52
Debt Capacity  These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the District's current levels of outstanding debt and the District's ability to issue additional debt in the future.	56
Demographic and Economic Information  These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the  Reader understand the environment within which the District's financial activities take place.	58
Operating Information  These schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the District's financial report relates to the services the District provides and the activities it performs.	60
Continuing Disclosure Requirements  These schedules are required by Continuing Disclosure Agreement with respect to outstanding Revenue Bonds. They contain information pertinent to each of the categories above .	68

Sources: Unless otherwise noted, the information in these schedules is derived from the comprehensive annual financial reports and District accounting records for the relevant year.



### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT NET ASSETS BY COMPONENT LAST TEN YEARS

	 1997	1998	1999	2000
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt	\$ 648,534,091	\$671,929,987	\$710,106,900	\$736,761,141
Unrestricted	 291,543,663	316,096,832	320,854,881	 351,462,956
Total	\$ 940,077,754	\$ 988,026,819	\$ 1,030,961,781	\$ 1,088,224,097

### Note:

1997 through 2000 restated to comply with GASB 34. Amounts previously reported as equity are presented here as net assets.

Source: District accounting records.

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
\$766,109,820	\$809,633,525	\$848,052,655	\$911,950,892	\$950,167,090	\$994,466,605
369,576,559	370,368,464	357,871,693	307,707,118	285,112,392	259,740,301
\$1,135,686,379	\$1,180,001,989	\$1,205,924,348	\$1,219,658,010	\$1,235,279,482	\$1,254,206,906

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT CHANGES IN NET ASSETS LAST TEN YEARS

	1997	1998	1999	2000
Operating Revenues	_			
Billing Agents (1)	\$108,047,122	\$117,140,363	\$123,039,220	\$120,663,929
Direct Billed	7,622,117	8,174,134	8,846,646	9,727,725
Other	1,198,112	1,159,594	744,600	939,179
Total Operating Revenues (2)	116,867,351	126,474,091	132,630,466	131,330,833
Operating Expenses				
Salaries & Wages (3)	25,033,703	24,437,135	23,662,565	23,892,150
Fringe Benefits	6,621,439	6,492,369	6,746,180	7,197,179
Utilities	13,617,995	13,231,155	12,768,339	13,931,089
Professional & Contractual	8,737,675	9,209,656	10,364,307	10,431,643
Other	2,085,482	2,182,673	3,416,809	4,441,829
Depreciation (3)	29,929,463	28,335,108	29,028,480	30,320,517
Total Operating Expenses	86,025,757	83,888,096	85,986,680	90,214,407
Operating Income	30,841,594	42,585,995	46,643,786	41,116,426
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)				
Interest Income	14,656,424	14,833,852	14,996,659	15,980,457
Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value of				
Investments (4)	1,050,095	2,445,183	(4,806,082)	7,125,918
Loss on Disposals of Equipment	(1,053,547)	(845,252)	(219,656)	(74,682)
Write-Down of Idle Assets	(6,060,882)	-	-	-
Interest on Long-Term Debt	(15,104,803)	(15,866,081)	(17,166,184)	(16,486,676)
Total Non-Operating Revenues(Expenses)	(6,512,713)	567,702	(7,195,263)	6,545,017
Capital Contributions (5)	3,320,574	4,795,368	3,486,439	9,600,873
Change in Net Assets (6)	\$27,649,455	\$47,949,065	\$42,934,962	\$57,262,316

<sup>(1) 1997</sup> through 2000 restated for reclassification of bad debt expense as a reduction of revenue.

<sup>(2)</sup> Year 2001 operating revenue increased due to higher unbilled consumption. Increases in other years are due primarily to rate increases. Decreases are due primarily to lower billed consumption.

<sup>(3) 2002</sup> restated for December 31, 2002 prior period adjustments. Depreciation decreased \$2,639,240 from the amount originally reported, and accrued payroll expenses increased by \$144,800.

<sup>(4)</sup> Beginning in 1997 investments are stated at fair value in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No.31.

<sup>(5)</sup> Beginning in 2001 contributions for construction grants are reported as revenue in accordance with GASB 33. Prior to that date contributions were recorded as direct additions to equity.

<sup>(6) 1997</sup> through 2000 restated to comply with GASB 34 to present former equity amounts as net assets.

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
\$124,027,444	\$119,515,859	\$117,755,171	\$122,078,222	\$131,938,350	\$135,732,973
9,780,118	10,277,561	11,504,702	11,442,284	12,623,945	13,220,817
805,196	964,619	615,506	762,782	734,253	1,200,272
134,612,758	130,758,039	129,875,379	134,283,288	145,296,548	150,154,062
24,647,491	25,977,019	27,542,022	29,127,972	31,283,367	33,021,551
6,774,095	7,790,909	8,521,645	9,916,038	10,944,618	11,225,384
15,454,158	13,865,509	14,517,622	15,053,259	17,595,315	17,814,509
10,759,907	10,793,057	11,731,572	12,272,328	13,389,541	14,076,004
5,096,634	3,625,200	4,019,822	4,439,180	5,566,881	6,917,693
31,495,906	29,749,276	34,167,297	35,668,913	35,968,351	40,093,478
94,228,191	91,800,970	100,499,980	106,477,690	114,748,073	123,148,619
40,384,567	38,957,069	29,375,399	27,805,598	30,548,475	27,005,443
18,580,276	14,247,954	12,267,626	11,264,860	8,816,261	8,645,525
3,331,519	5,457,490	(5,709,818)	(6,662,611)	(3,190,247)	1,774,960
(768,357)	(1,317,155)	(123,555)	(1,025,183)	(776,203)	(4,216,914)
<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	_	<u>-</u>
(17,443,676)	(18,283,691)	(19,289,590)	(19,352,880)	(19,821,947)	(20,641,227)
3,699,762	104,598	(12,855,337)	(15,775,814)	(14,972,136)	(14,437,656)
3,377,953	5,253,943	9,402,297	1,703,878	45,133	6,359,637
\$47,462,282	\$44,315,610	\$25,922,359	\$13,733,662	\$15,621,472	\$18,927,424

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT REVENUES BY TYPE LAST TEN YEARS

	1997	1998	1999	2000
Operating Revenues				
Sewer Service Fees				
City of Cleveland Billing Agent (1)	\$99,227,876	\$107,385,439	\$113,013,275	\$110,749,258
Other Billing Agents	8,819,246	9,754,924	10,025,945	9,914,671
Other Sewer Service Fees	7,622,117	8,174,134	8,846,646	9,727,725
Other Operating Revenue	1,198,112	1,159,594	744,600	939,179
Total Operating Revenue (2)	116,867,351	126,474,091	132,630,466	131,330,833
Non-Operating Revenues Interest Income	14,656,424	14,833,852	14,996,659	15,980,457
Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value of				
Investments (3)	1,050,095	2,445,183	(4,806,082)	7,125,918
Total Non-Operating Revenues	15,706,519	17,279,035	10,190,577	23,106,375
Capital Contributions (4)	0	0	0	0
Total Revenues	\$132,573,870	\$143,753,126	\$142,821,043	\$154,437,208

- (1) 1996 through 2000 restated for reclassification of bad debt expense as a reduction of revenue.
- (2) Yar 2001 operating revenue increased due to higher unbilled consumption. Increases in other years are due primarily to rate increases.
- (3) Beginning in 1997 investments are stated at fair value in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No.31.
- (4) Beginning in 2001 contributions for construction grants are reported as revenue in accordance with GASB 33.

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
\$113,591,867	\$109,416,694	\$107,662,424	\$110,815,131	\$120,578,807	\$123,897,778
10,435,577	10,099,165	10,092,747	11,263,091	11,359,543	11,835,195
9,780,118	10,277,561	11,504,702	11,442,284	12,623,945	13,220,817
805,196	964,619	615,506	762,782	734,253	1,200,272
134,612,758	130,758,039	129,875,379	134,283,288	145,296,548	150,154,062
18,580,276	14,247,954	12,267,626	11,264,860	8,816,261	8,645,525
3,331,519	5,457,490	(5,709,818)	(6,662,611)	(3,190,247)	1,774,960
21,911,795	19,705,444	6,557,808	4,602,249	5,626,014	10,420,485
3,377,953	5,253,943	9,402,297	1,703,878	45,133	6,359,637
\$159,902,506	\$155,717,426	\$145,835,484	\$140,589,415	\$150,967,695	\$166,934,184

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT OPERATING EXPENSES BY TYPE LAST TEN YEARS

	1997	1998	1999	2000
Salaries and Wages	\$25,033,703	\$24,437,135	\$23,662,565	\$23,892,150
Benefits	6,621,439	6,492,369	6,746,180	7,197,179
Power	9,751,968	9,490,602	9,126,719	8,953,181
Materials and Supplies	2,936,944	2,750,734	3,261,897	4,677,056
Collection Fees	4,666,139	4,863,083	4,947,264	5,002,072
Gas	2,744,234	2,557,611	2,391,660	3,601,449
Chemicals	808,661	831,880	944,648	742,727
Repairs and Maintenance	1,437,440	1,700,997	2,004,747	1,722,806
Solids Handling	1,010,364	1,082,460	1,373,228	1,439,390
Water	768,811	858,857	871,216	954,713
Professional Services	1,070,494	1,303,469	1,990,640	1,426,942
Insurance	541,835	580,001	583,644	864,156
All Other Expenses	2,097,277	2,240,893	2,176,672	2,473,286
Capitalized Construction Costs	(3,393,015)	(3,637,103)	(3,122,880)	(3,053,217)
Depreciation	29,929,463	28,335,108	29,028,480	30,320,517
Total Operating Expenses	\$86,025,757	\$83,888,096	\$85,986,680	\$90,214,407

<sup>(1) 2002</sup> restated for December 31, 2002 prior period adjustments. Depreciation decreased \$2,639,240 from the amount originally reported, and accrued payroll expenses increased by \$144,800.

<sup>(2)</sup> Years 1997 through 2000 restated for reclassification of bad debt expense as a reduction of revenue.

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
\$24,647,491	\$25,977,019	\$27,542,022	\$29,127,972	\$31,283,367	\$33,021,551
6,774,095	7,790,909	8,521,645	9,916,038	10,944,618	11,225,384
9,267,991	9,147,231	9,370,436	9,230,045	9,612,943	9,698,130
3,375,647	3,383,852	3,599,091	3,981,807	4,136,824	4,467,163
5,110,654	4,977,231	5,598,772	4,977,801	5,344,377	5,577,407
4,926,932	3,805,104	3,587,102	4,085,469	6,311,146	6,411,117
765,279	742,794	777,478	735,715	1,156,059	1,419,372
3,641,665	2,435,869	2,505,875	2,287,614	2,649,356	3,109,610
1,495,717	1,181,476	782,822	989,915	1,665,083	1,282,171
831,926	500,030	1,128,576	1,242,143	1,101,448	1,036,930
1,484,679	2,097,685	2,488,843	3,132,075	3,228,625	4,083,289
819,952	908,484	1,005,164	1,087,302	1,138,447	1,139,139
2,686,842	2,668,495	2,857,775	3,301,927	3,432,862	4,262,270
(3,096,585)	(3,564,485)	(3,432,918)	(3,287,046)	(3,225,433)	(3,678,392)
31,495,906	29,749,276	34,167,297	35,668,913	35,968,351	40,093,478
\$94,228,191	\$91,800,970	\$100,499,980	\$106,477,690	\$114,748,073	\$123,148,619

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT COMMUNITIES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT AND ESTIMATED POPULATION SERVED DECEMBER 31, 2006

DECEMBER 31, 2000	Estimated Service			Estimated Service	
Municipality	Population (1)	_	<u>Municipality</u>	Population (1)	-
SUBDISTRICT 1	441 202				
Cleveland	441,302				
SUBDISTRICT 2	0	(2)	NA: 4.41 - 1 II - : -1-4-	16.007	
Bath Township	12.464	(3)	Middleburg Heights	16,087	
Beachwood	13,464	(2)	Newburgh Heights	2,423	
Bedford	0	(3)	North Randall	820	(2)
Bedford Heights	0	(2)	North Royalton	6,301	(2)
Berea	18,545	(4)	Northfield	3,800	
Boston Heights	568	(4)	Northfield Center Township	4,370	(2)
Bratenahl	1,294		Oakwood	3,034	(2)
Brecksville	14,691		Olmsted Falls	8,432	
Broadview Heights	17,502		Olmsted Township	6,629	(2)
Brook Park	19,228		Orange	537	(2)
Brooklyn	11,258		Parma	81,923	
Brooklyn Heights	1,636		Parma Heights	21,472	
Cleveland Heights	46,645		Pepper Pike		(2)
Columbia Township	937	(3)	Richfield Village	3,231	
Cuyahoga Heights	508		Richfield Township	1,093	(4)
East Cleveland	21,045		Richmond Heights	3,655	(2)
Euclid	1,982	(2)	Sagamore Hills Township	7,906	
Garfield Heights	29,192		Seven Hills	11,607	
Gates Mills	321	(2)	Shaker Heights	27,478	
Highland Heights	9,782		Solon	159	(2)
Highland Hills	1,283		South Euclid	22,786	
Hudson	9,198	(2)	Strongsville	27,235	(2)
Independence	7,582		Twinsburg	0	(3)
Lakewood	0	(3)	Twinsburg Township	203	(3)
Linndale	99		University Heights	13,260	
Lyndhurst	14,314		Valley View	2,181	
Macedonia	8,186	(2)	Walton Hills	2,385	
Maple Heights	24,768		Warrensville Heights	14,213	
Mayfield Heights	18,582		Willoughby Hills		(2)
Mayfield Village	3,346				• ` ′
, c			Total Subdistrict 2	591,368	-
Total estimated service population				1,032,670	=

- (1) Based on 2000 U.S. Census Block Data as presented in the Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency (NOACA) Magic 2001 Database, using the Service Area Boundary determined by the District's Regional Plan for Sewerage and Drainage Phase I study revised 01/11/02.
- (2) Estimated population for that portion of the municipality within the service area of the District.
- (3) Service population not applicable. District serves commercial properties only.
- (4) Part of the community is not within the District service area. Service population to be determined by ongoing service area verification project.

Source: District administrative records.



#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT LARGEST CUSTOMERS OF THE DISTRICT AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006 and NINE YEARS PRIOR

and WIVE TEAKS I KIOK	2006				
	Consumation	A 0		Percentage of	
	Consumption MCF (1)	Amount Billed	Rank	Operating Revenue	
SUBDISTRICT 1	WICI <sup>*</sup> (1)	Diffed	Kalik	Revenue	
Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Agency (2)	108,455.7	\$3,918,588	1	2.6%	
Cleveland Clinic (2)	45,295.5	1,637,735	3	1.1%	
Mittal Steel (ISG Cleveland)	34,731.1	1,290,943	4	0.9%	
City of Cleveland Water Filtration Plants	32,338.4	1,273,761	5	0.8%	
Case Western Reserve University	26,522.4	964,857	8	0.6%	
Dominion Cleveland Thermal Energy	22,775.0	861,814	9	0.6%	
Cleveland Board of Education (2)	23,362.3	845,713	10	0.6%	
University Hospitals (2)	23,026.9	817,305	11	0.5%	
Cuyahoga County (2)	21,508.8	784,054	12	0.5%	
Ferro Corporation (2)	20,092.1	716,920	13	0.5%	
Metro Health Medical Centers (2)	18,608.2	674,044	14	0.4%	
Cleveland State University (2)	11,756.2	447,309	16	0.3%	
Veterans Administration Hospital (2)	8,583.1	307,642	17	0.2%	
Columbus Road Realty	6,466.5	229,764	18	0.2%	
General Electric Company (2)	6,347.2	227,966	19	0.2%	
Property Management Rental	5,589.6	202,142	21	0.1%	
Holiday Inn	5,725.1	190,401	22	0.1%	
LTV Steel	-	-	-	-	
Research Oil Company	-	-	-	-	
Sherwin Williams Company	-	-	-	-	
Longwood Apartments Inc.	-	-	-	-	
Clean Harbors of Cleveland Inc.	-	-	-	-	
Cleveland Metroparks		_	_		
Total Subdistrict 1	421,184.1	\$15,390,958	_	10.3%	
SUBDISTRICT 2					
Ford Motor Corporation	62,091.0	\$2,043,426	2	1.4%	
Aluminum Corporation of America	34,564.4	1,149,661	6	0.8%	
NASA John H Glenn Research Center	31,742.5	1,034,669	7	0.7%	
Forest City Management	16,503.2	556,386	15	0.4%	
Zehman & Wolfe Management	6,408.0	211,241	20	0.1%	
General Motors Corporation	5,579.0	181,694	23	0.1%	
The Pearl Management Company	5,553.0	179,087	24	0.1%	
Total Subdistrict 2	162,441.1	\$5,356,164	= =	3.6%	
Grand Total	583,625.2	\$20,747,122	<b>=</b>	13.8%	

<sup>(1)</sup> One (1) MCF = one thousand cubic feet = 7,480 gallons.

Customer is listed in the Subdistrict where majority of consumption occurs.

Source: District accounting records and City of Cleveland Division of Water billing records.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amount represents Subdistrict 1 and Subdistrict 2 billings combined.

			Percentage of
Consumption	Amount		Operating
MCF (1)	Billed	Rank	Revenue
153,261.4	\$3,430,666	1	2.9%
53,663.7	1,017,082	5	0.9%
-	-	-	-
30,782.3	996,506	6	0.9%
23,292.0	517,188	10	0.4%
26,794.8	599,185	9	0.5%
27,861.0	613,415	8	0.5%
42,516.5	920,934	7	0.8%
22,535.2	425,903	12	0.4%
13,939.5	311,708	17	0.3%
28,352.8	514,311	11	0.4%
10,907.9	219,599	22	0.2%
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
11,173.3	252,106	18	0.2%
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
87,803.8	1,755,544	2	1.5%
7,524.0	414,474	13	0.4%
15,170.1	340,276	16	0.3%
10,972.1	243,954	19	0.2%
3,869.8	237,831	20	0.2%
9,355.3	210,588	23	0.2%
579,775.5	\$13,021,270	_	11.1%
72,289.1	\$1,608,643	3	1.4%
53,104.4	1,066,673	4	0.9%
8,961.9	236,192	21	0.2%
17,931.8	399,839	14	0.3%
-	-	-	-
17,742.0	394,176	15	0.3%
- 170.020.2	-	-	-
170,029.2	\$3,705,523	_	3.2%
749,804.7	\$16,726,793	<b>=</b>	14.3%

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT RATIO OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE LAST TEN YEARS

	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>
Debt by Type, In Thousands				
Revenue Bond Issues				
\$97,830 Series 1995	\$92,740	\$89,695	\$86,525	\$83,220
\$68,280 Series 2005 (A)	0	0	0	0
Total Revenue Bonds	92,740	89,695	86,525	83,220
Ohio Water Development Authority Loans	18,974	15,631	12,059	8,244
Water Pollution Control Loans	193,704	228,402	247,869	267,148
Other	89	66	45	28
				_
Total All Debt	\$305,507	\$333,794	\$346,498	\$358,640
<b>Number of Customer Accounts (1)</b>	316,491	319,129	322,163	319,608
Outstanding Daht Par Customer Assaunt	\$965	\$1,046	\$1,076	\$1,122
<b>Outstanding Debt Per Customer Account</b>	\$903	\$1,040	\$1,070	\$1,122
(1)For this schedule, Number of Customer Accounts				
is adjusted for Master Meter Communities as follows.*				
Historical Number of Customer Accounts (pages 68 & 69)	302,606	304,557	306,270	304,517
Less Master Meter Communities	(3)	(4)	(4)	(4)
Add estimated number of customers in Master				
Master Meter Communities	13,888	14,576	15,897	15,095
Number of Customer Accounts	316,491	319,129	322,163	319,608

Note: This schedule should be read in conjunction with Note 6 to the Audited Financial Statements for December 31, 2006.

(A) The Series 1995 Bonds were refunded on December 20, 2005 and replaced by the Series 2005 Bonds.

<sup>\*</sup>The Master Meter Communities bill their customers separately. Master Meter Communities are East Cleveland, Hudson, Richfield Village and Summit County.

<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
\$79,775	\$76,175	\$72,415	\$68,415	\$0	\$0
0	0	0	0	68,280	63,525
79,775	76,175	72,415	68,415	68,280	63,525
4,580	1,907	1,599	1,274	932	573
296,459	329,332	346,073	350,908	387,380	417,777
13	0	0	0	0	0
\$380,827	\$407,414	\$420,087	\$420,597	\$456,592	\$481,875
326,146	325,022	325,292	330,190	328,417	325,389
\$1,168	\$1,253	\$1,291	\$1,274	\$1,390	\$1,481
• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
310,600	308,809	308,197	313,043	312,093	308,769
(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
15,550	16,217	17,099	17,151	16,328	16,624
326,146	325,022	325,292	330,190	328,417	325,389
, -	7-	,	, - •	7	, ,-

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS LAST TEN YEARS

	Estimated	Cuyahoga County				
Year	Population Served (1)	Unemployment Rate (2)	Total Personal Income (000's) (3)	Per Capita Personal Income (3)		
1997	1,052,614	5.8%	\$39,974,039	\$28,329		
1998	1,067,516	4.4%	42,147,135	29,984		
1999	1,067,516	4.6%	43,153,567	30,829		
2000	1,031,336	4.5%	45,276,523	32,522		
2001	1,085,204	4.5%	45,282,921	32,753		
2002	1,085,204	6.7%	45,703,641	33,322		
2003	1,085,071	6.8%	45,655,393	33,590		
2004	1,085,071	6.3%	47,818,353	35,521		
2005	1,041,392	6.1%	49,335,286	37,082		
2006	1,032,670	5.5%	n/a	n/a		

Note - Items indicated "n/a" were not available as of the date of this report.

<sup>(1)</sup> Sources are District administrative records and U.S. Census Block Data as presented in the Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency Magic 2001 Database.

<sup>(2)</sup> Sources are District administrative records, County of Cuyahoga, Ohio Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for 2005 and U.S. Department of Labor/Bureau of Labor Statistics. Cuyahoga County is significantly the same as the District's service area.

<sup>(3)</sup> U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. Cuyahoga County is significantly the same as the District's service area.

#### 5

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006 and NINE YEARS PRIOR

	2006		1997			
	Number of		Percentage	Number of		Percentage
	Employees (1)		of Total	Employees (1)		of Total
	FTE*		County	FTE*		County
Employer Name (1)	1-1-2007	Rank (1)	Employment (2)	1-1-1998	Rank (1)	Employment (2)
Cleveland Clinic Health System	28,461	1	4.54%	19,327	1	3.03%
University Hospitals Health System	15,904	2	2.54%	9,687	3	1.52%
Cuyahoga County	9,295	3	1.48%	9,443	4	1.48%
U.S. Office of Personnel Management	9,172	4	1.46%	10,776	2	1.69%
Progressive Corporation	8,796	5	1.40%	-	-	-
City of Cleveland	8,327	6	1.33%	8,645	5	1.36%
Cleveland Municipal School District	7,442	7	1.19%	8,477	6	1.33%
KeyCorp	6,615	8	1.06%	6,980	7	1.10%
National City Corporation	6,563	9	1.05%	-	-	-
MetroHealth System	5,627	10	0.90%	-	-	-
U.S. Postal Service, Cleveland & Akron Districts	-	-	-	6,545	8	1.03%
Ford Motor Company	-	-	-	6,540	9	1.03%
LTV Corporation		-		5,700	10	0.89%
Total	106,202		16.95%	92,120		14.45%
Total Cuyahoga County Employment (2)			626,700			637,400

<sup>\*</sup> Full-Time Equivalent.

<sup>(1)</sup> Sources are *Crain's Cleveland Business*, March 12, 2007 and March 8, 1998. Cuyahoga County is significantly the same as the District's service area.

<sup>(2)</sup> Sources of total employment for Cuyahoga County, Ohio are District administrative records, Cuyahoga County, Ohio Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for 2005, and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING STATISTICS LAST TEN YEARS


	1997	1998	1999	2000
TREATMENT PLANT FLOW				
Billions of gallons of sewage				
treated per plant:	44.0	2	20.0	40.0
Easterly	41.0	36.5	38.0	40.9
Southerly	47.5	43.3	40.0	39.2
Westerly	13.8	12.7	11.4	12.0
Total	102.3	92.5	89.4	92.1
Total sewage treated, in MCFs (1)	13,676,471	12,366,310	11,951,872	12,312,834
MCFs billed, total District	5,963,566	6,004,778	5,785,288	5,600,215
West a someth town District	3,703,500	0,001,770	3,703,200	3,000,213
CMA PRIVACA PARTA C				
STAFFING LEVELS				
Number of employees (2):				
Plant Operations & Maintenance	370	467	435	420
Support Services	186	0	0	0
Engineering	47	45	46	45
Finance	39	38	38	35
Human Resources (3, 6)	0	0	0	0
Information Technology (3)	0	0	0	0
District Administration	13	66	66	73
Legal	8	8	9	9
Communications & Community Relations	0	0	0	0
Total	663	624	594	582
<b>Budgeted employees, total District</b>	704	644	620	625
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

- (1) Conversion factor: 1 MCF (thousand cubic feet) = 7,480 gallons.
- (2) Includes all positions filled during the year. In 1998, Support Services budget centers were redistributed between Operations and District Administration.
- (3) Reclassified from District Administration beginning in 2002.
- (4) Restated 2001 for consumption adjustment in the City of Cleveland, Subdistrict 1.
- (5) Restated 2005 for City of Cleveland Subdistrict 2 and City of Cleveland Heights.
- (6) Safety and Security reclassified from Human Resources to District Administration beginning in 2005.

Source: Various District records.

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
36.7	37.3	40.4	40.6	38.4	34.4
38.9	37.2	43.1	44.2	46.6	45.8
11.1	10.4	11.0	10.5	10.4	9.6
86.7	84.9	94.5	95.3	95.4	89.8
11,590,909	11,350,267	12,633,690	12,740,642	12,754,011	12,005,348
5 342 696 (4)	5.191.506	5.094 136	4.930.817	4,969,948 (5	() 4 745 269
2,3 12,030	2,171,200	3,00 1,100	1,550,017	1,505,510	1,7 10,205
400	382	383	404	412	404
0	0	0	0	0	0
48	54	61	66	66	70
35	48	49	50	49	50
0	41	44	47	21	17
0	19	20	18	19	20
76	20	18	17	43	44
10	9	8	8	9	8
0	0	0	0	4	7
569	573	583	610	623	620
595	634	637	677	672	676

# NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT CUMULATIVE ASSET GROWTH LAST TEN YEARS





#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS LAST TEN YEARS

Number of Buildings		1997	1998	1999
Southerly Plant         36         36         36           Easterly Plant         17         17         17           Westerly Plant         16         16         17           Outlying Pump Buildings         6         6         6           Total Operations         75         75         76           Administration         2         2         2           District Administration         2         2         2           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         3         3         3           Total Offices         5         5         5         5           Total Buildings         80         80         81           Miles of Interceptor Sewers Installed Annually*         1.33         3.67         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         8         80         80         81           Number of Outlying Systems         20         20         20           Inter-community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2         2 </td <td>Number of Buildings</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Number of Buildings			
Easterly Plant	<u>Operations</u>			
Westerly Plant         16         16         17           Outlying Pump Buildings         6         6         6           Total Operations         75         75         76           Administration         2         2         2         2           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         3         3         3         3           Total Offices         5         5         5         5         5           Total Buildings         80         80         81         81           Miles of Interceptor Sewers Installed Annually*         1.33         3.67         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         8         80         80         81           Number of Outlying Systems         20         20         20           Inter-ceptor Sewers & Tunnels         20         20         20           Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         0         0         <	Southerly Plant	36	36	36
Outlying Pump Buildings         6         6         6           Total Operations         75         75         76           Administration         2         2         2           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         3         3         3           Total Offices         5         5         5           Total Buildings         80         80         81           Number of Outlying Systems           Sewage Collection System         3         3.67         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         20         20         20           Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         0         0         0           Floatables Control Sites         5         5         5           Biofilter Odor Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25	Easterly Plant	17	17	17
Total Operations         75         75         76           Administration         2         2         2         2           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         3         3         3         3           Total Offices         5         2 <t< td=""><td>Westerly Plant</td><td>16</td><td>16</td><td>17</td></t<>	Westerly Plant	16	16	17
Administration         2         2         2         2           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         3         3         3         3           Total Offices         5         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         <	Outlying Pump Buildings	6	6	6
District Administration         2         2         2           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         3         3         3           Total Offices         5         5         5           Total Buildings         80         80         81           Miles of Interceptor Sewers Installed Annually*         1.33         3.67         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         Sewage Collection System         3         2         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         20         20         20           Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         0         0         0           Floatables Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Outlying Systems         31         <	Total Operations	75	75	76
Total Offices	<u>Administration</u>			
Total Offices         5         5         5           Miles of Interceptor Sewers Installed Annually*         1.33         3.67         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         Sewage Collection System         Sewage Collection System         3.67         2.88           Inter-Coptor Sewers & Tunnels         20         20         20           Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         0         0         0           Biofilter Odor Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land         0         10         10         10           Operations         2         32.5         32.5         31.5           Southerly Plant	District Administration	2	2	2
Miles of Interceptor Sewers Installed Annually*         1.33         3.67         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         Sewage Collection System           Inter-Ceptor Sewers & Tunnels         20         20         20           Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         0         0         0           Floatables Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Support Facilities         31         31         31           Total Outlying Systems         31         31         31           Acres of Land         Operations         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         32.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7	Environmental Maintenance and Services Center			
Miles of Interceptor Sewers Installed Annually*         1.33         3.67         2.88           Number of Outlying Systems         Sewage Collection System           Interceptor Sewers & Tunnels         20         20         20           Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71         71           Support Facilities         0<	Total Offices	5	5	
Number of Outlying Systems           Sewage Collection System         Interceptor Sewers & Tunnels         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         29         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20	Total Buildings	80	80	81
Sewage Collection System         20         20         20           Inter-Copmunity Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         0         0         0           Floatables Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1         1           Total Support Facilities         31         31         31         31           Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land         Operations         Southerly Plant         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center	Miles of Interceptor Sewers Installed Annually*	1.33	3.67	2.88
Interceptor Sewers & Tunnels         20         20         20           Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         0         0         0           Biofilter Odor Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Support Facilities         31         31         31           Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land         Operations         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         32.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5      <	Number of Outlying Systems			
Inter-Community Relief Sewers         12         13         13           Automated Regulators         29         29         29           Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         0         0         0           Biofilter Odor Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Support Facilities         31         31         31           Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land         Operations         Southerly Plant         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           District Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         4.5         14.7 <td>Sewage Collection System</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Sewage Collection System			
Automated Regulators       29       29       29         Pumping Stations       7       7       7         Force Mains       2       2       2         Total Sewage Collection System       70       71       71         Support Facilities       0       0       0         Floatables Control Sites       5       5       5         Biofilter Odor Control Sites       5       5       5         Rain Gauge Sites       25       25       25         Lakeview Dam       1       1       1         Total Support Facilities       31       31       31         Total Outlying Systems       101       102       102         Acres of Land         Operations         Southerly Plant       322.5       322.5       311.2         Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         District Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	Interceptor Sewers & Tunnels	20	20	20
Pumping Stations         7         7         7           Force Mains         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         8         8         8         8         8         9         0	Inter-Community Relief Sewers	12	13	13
Force Mains         2         2         2           Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         8         8         8         9         0	Automated Regulators	29	29	29
Total Sewage Collection System         70         71         71           Support Facilities         30         0         0           Floatables Control Sites         0         0         0           Biofilter Odor Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Support Facilities         31         31         31           Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land           Operations         Southerly Plant         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           District Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         4.5         14.7         14.7	Pumping Stations	7	7	7
Support Facilities         0         0         0           Floatables Control Sites         0         0         0           Biofilter Odor Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Support Facilities         31         31         31           Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land           Operations           Southerly Plant         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           District Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         4.5         14.7         14.7	Force Mains	2	2	2
Floatables Control Sites         0         0         0           Biofilter Odor Control Sites         5         5         5           Rain Gauge Sites         25         25         25           Lakeview Dam         1         1         1           Total Support Facilities         31         31         31           Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land           Operations         Southerly Plant         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           District Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         4.5         14.7         14.7	Total Sewage Collection System	70	71	71
Biofilter Odor Control Sites       5       5       5         Rain Gauge Sites       25       25       25         Lakeview Dam       1       1       1         Total Support Facilities       31       31       31         Total Outlying Systems       101       102       102         Acres of Land         Operations         Southerly Plant       322.5       322.5       311.2         Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         District Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	Support Facilities	-	-	
Rain Gauge Sites       25       25       25         Lakeview Dam       1       1       1         Total Support Facilities       31       31       31         Total Outlying Systems       101       102       102         Acres of Land         Operations         Southerly Plant       322.5       322.5       311.2         Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         District Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	Floatables Control Sites	0	0	0
Lakeview Dam       1       1       1         Total Support Facilities       31       31       31         Total Outlying Systems       101       102       102         Acres of Land         Operations         Southerly Plant       322.5       322.5       311.2         Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         District Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	Biofilter Odor Control Sites	5	5	5
Total Support Facilities       31       31       31         Total Outlying Systems       101       102       102         Acres of Land         Operations       Southerly Plant       322.5       322.5       311.2         Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	Rain Gauge Sites	25	25	25
Total Outlying Systems         101         102         102           Acres of Land         Operations           Southerly Plant         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         4.5         14.7         14.7	Lakeview Dam	1_	1_	1_
Acres of Land         Operations       322.5       322.5       311.2         Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	Total Support Facilities	31	31	31
Operations         322.5         322.5         311.2           Easterly Plant         77.7         77.7         77.7           Westerly Plant         13.4         13.4         13.4           Administration         1.5         1.5         1.5           Environmental Maintenance and Services Center         4.5         14.7         14.7	<b>Total Outlying Systems</b>	101	102	102
Southerly Plant       322.5       322.5       311.2         Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       5       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	Acres of Land			
Easterly Plant       77.7       77.7       77.7         Westerly Plant       13.4       13.4       13.4         Administration       1.5       1.5       1.5         Environmental Maintenance and Services Center       4.5       14.7       14.7	<u>Operations</u>			
Westerly Plant13.413.413.4Administration1.51.51.5District Administration1.51.51.5Environmental Maintenance and Services Center4.514.714.7	Southerly Plant	322.5	322.5	311.2
Administration1.51.51.5District Administration1.51.51.5Environmental Maintenance and Services Center4.514.714.7	Easterly Plant	77.7	77.7	77.7
District Administration1.51.51.5Environmental Maintenance and Services Center4.514.714.7	Westerly Plant	13.4	13.4	13.4
Environmental Maintenance and Services Center 4.5 14.7 14.7	Administration			
	District Administration	1.5	1.5	1.5
Total Acres, Operations and Administration 419.6 429.8 418.5	Environmental Maintenance and Services Center	4.5	14.7	14.7
	<b>Total Acres, Operations and Administration</b>	419.6	429.8	418.5

<sup>\*</sup>Total interceptor sewers are in excess of 200 miles.

Source: District accounting and engineering records.

36         36         36         36         35         36         36           17         17         16         18         18         18         18           16         16         17         17         17         17         17         16           6         6         6         6         5         5         5         5           75         75         75         77         75         76         75           2         2         2         2         1         1         1           4         2         9         2         2         1         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17 16 6	17 16 6	16 17 6	18 17 6	18 17 5	18 17 5	18 16 5
20         20         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         24         29         29         27         27         25         27         27         26<	<u>4</u> 6	6	<u>4</u> 6	<u>4</u> 6	45	5	5
13         17         17         17         18         21         24           29         29         27         27         25         25         25           7         7         7         7         6         6         6         6           3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3           72         76         75         75         73         76         79         79           5         5         5         5         5         10	3.25	3.18	0	3.75	0.53	5.04	3.52
5         5         5         7         8         8           25         25         25         25         25         25           1         1         1         1         1         1         1           36         36         36         36         38         44         44           108         112         111         111         111         120         123           311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2           77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.7	13 29 7 3 72	17 29 7 3 76	17 27 7 3 75	17 27 7 3 75	18 25 6 3 73	21 25 6 3 76	24 25 6 3 79
25         26         24         24         44         44         44         44         44         44         44         44         41         20         21         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         23         24         25         25         26         26         26<							
36         36         36         36         38         44         44           108         112         111         111         111         120         123           311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2           77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7           13.4         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5           1.5         1.5         1.5         2.6         2.6         2.6           14.7         14.7         14.7         14.7         14.7         14.7	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
108         112         111         111         111         120         123           311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         311.2         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         77.7         13.4         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.5         14.7							
77.7       77.7       77.7       77.7       77.7       77.7         13.4       14.5       14.5       14.5       14.5       14.5       14.5         1.5       1.5       1.5       1.5       2.6       2.6       2.6         14.7       14.7       14.7       14.7       14.7       14.7							
<u>14.7</u> <u>14.7</u> <u>14.7</u> <u>14.7</u> <u>14.7</u> <u>14.7</u> <u>14.7</u> <u>14.7</u>	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7





#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT HISTORICAL NUMBER OF CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS LAST TEN YEARS

	1997	1998	1999	2000
Subdistrict 1				
Cleveland (1)	134,819	134,228	136,234	132,801
Subdistrict 2				
Master Meter - Suburbs (2)	3	4	4	4
Direct Service - Suburbs (1)	167,784	170,325	170,032	171,712
Subtotal	167,787	170,329	170,036	171,716
Total Customers	302,606	304,557	306,270	304,517

<sup>(1) 2000</sup> through 2006 exclude inactive accounts.

<sup>(2)</sup> The Master Meter Suburbs, which bill their customers separately, had approximately 16,624 accounts at the end of 2006. Master Meter communities are East Cleveland, Hudson, Richfield Village and Summit County. The City of Hudson became a Master Meter community in 1998.

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
136,332	133,611	132,541	137,746	133,054	129,428
4	4	4	4	4	4
174,264	175,194	175,652	175,293	179,035	179,337
174,268	175,198	175,656	175,297	179,039	179,341
310,600	308,809	308,197	313,043	312,093	308,769

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT ANNUAL METERED BILLING QUANTITIES LAST TEN YEARS (IN THOUSANDS OF CUBIC FEET)

	1997	1998	1999	2000
Subdistrict 1 Cleveland	3,173,571	3,176,655	2,919,241	2,815,865
Subdistrict 2 Direct Service - Suburbs	2,519,858	2,559,852	2,553,481	2,427,096
Master Meter - Suburbs (1)	270,137	268,271	312,566 (2)	357,254
Subtotal	2,789,995	2,828,123	2,866,047	2,784,350
Total Service Area	5,963,566	6,004,778	5,785,288	5,600,215

- (1) Consumption of Master Meter Suburbs is presented at 80% of total consumption which is the billing basis.
- (2) 1999 includes the City of Hudson for the first time. Although Hudson joined the District in late 1998, they did not receive sewer bills and they were not included on the District billing roster until 1999.
- (3) Restated 2005 and 2001 for consumption adjustment.

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
2,665,282 (3)	2,662,602	2,495,126	2,379,632	2,370,567	2,209,563
2,387,609	2,231,962	2,287,825	2,241,819	2,288,026	(3) 2,215,147
289,805	296,942	311,185	309,366	311,109	320,559
2,677,414	2,528,904	2,599,010	2,551,185	2,599,135	2,535,706
5,342,696	5,191,506	5,094,136	4,930,817	4,969,702	4,745,269

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT SUMMARY OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, DEBT SERVICE AND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE

#### LAST TEN YEARS

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>
Operating Revenue (1)	\$116,867	\$126,474	\$132,631
Non-Operating Revenue	8,592 (2)	16,434	9,971
Total Revenues	125,459	142,908	142,602
Operating Expenses (Exclusive of depreciation) (4)	56,096	55,553	56,958
Net Revenues Available for Debt Service	\$69,363	\$87,355	\$85,644
Total Revenue Bond Debt Service (3), (5)	\$7,932	\$7,932	\$7,934
Coverage on Revenue Bond Debt Service	8.74	11.01	10.79
Total All Debt Service (3)	\$25,640	\$26,253	\$28,331
Coverage on Total Debt Service	2.71	3.33	3.02

<sup>(3)</sup> Net Revenues are first used to pay debt service on Revenue Bonds and second to pay debt service on loans from the Ohio Water Development Authority (OWDA) and Water Pollution Control Loan Fund (WPCLF). The annual Debt Service Requirements on these obligations for the ten years ended December 31, 2006 were:

	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>
Revenue Bond Issues and Debt Service			
\$97,830 Series 1995	7,932	7,932	7,934
\$68,280 Series 2005 (A)	0	0	0
Total Revenue Bond Debt Service	7,932	7,932	7,934
OWDA Debt Service	5,479 (B)	4,643	4,643
WPCLF Debt Service	12,229	13,678	15,754
Total All Debt Service	\$25,640	\$26,253	\$28,331

<sup>(</sup>A) The Series 1995 Bonds were refunded on December 20, 2005 and replaced by the Series 2005 Bonds.

<sup>(1)1997</sup> through 2000 restated for reclassification of bad debt expense as a reduction of revenue. This has no effect on debt service.

<sup>(2)</sup> Restated 1997 to record investments at fair value.

<sup>(</sup>B) Includes \$836 repayment of grant as result of final Ohio EPA audit.

<sup>(4) 2002</sup> restated for December 31,2002 prior period adjustment of \$144,800 accrued payroll.

<sup>(5)</sup> Bonds and loans are secured by a pledge of and lien on revenues of the District, after payment of operating and maintenance costs, and on monies and investments conprising the Construction Funds and Revenue Bond Debt Service Deposit. Loans are subordinate to the Bonds.

<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
\$131,331	\$134,613	\$130,758	\$129,875	\$134,283	\$145,297	\$150,154
23,032	21,143	18,388	6,434	3,577	4,850	6,204
154,363	155,756	149,146	136,309	137,860	150,147	156,358
59,894	62,732	62,052	66,333	70,808	78,780	83,055
\$94,469	\$93,024	\$87,094	\$69,976	\$67,052	\$71,367	\$73,303
\$7,936	\$7,932	\$7,934	\$7,930	\$7,935	\$7,935	\$7,490
\$7,936 11.90	\$7,932 11.73	\$7,934 10.98	\$7,930 8.82	\$7,935 8.45	\$7,935 8.99	\$7,490 9.79
. ,	. ,	. ,	,	,	. ,	,
11.90	11.73	10.98	8.82	8.45	8.99	9.79

<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
7,936	7,932	7,934	7,930	7,935	7,935	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7,490
7,936	7,932	7,934	7,930	7,935	7,935	7,490
4,643	4,079	3,136	408	409	409	409
21,830	22,855	24,914	27,548	33,685	34,208	36,358
\$34,409	\$34,866	\$35,984	\$35,886	\$42,029	\$42,552	\$44,257

## NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT SEWAGE SERVICE RATES RATE HISTORY - LAST TEN YEARS

Fiscal Years		SUBDISTRICT 1	TRICT 1			<b>SUBDISTRICT 2</b>	TRICT 2	
During Which	Standa	dard	Homes	Homestead (2)	Standard	ndard	Homes	Homestead (2)
Rates Were Effective	Rate (1)	% Change	Rate (1)	% Change	Rate (1)	% Change	Rate (1)	% Change
1997	\$ 17.15	7.5%	\$ 11.80	6.3%	\$ 22.25		\$ 14.75	6.5%
1998	18.25	6.4	12.55	6.4	23.45	5.4	15.70	6.4
1999	19.60	7.4	13.35	6.4	24.50	4.5	16.70	6.4
2000 - 2002	21.10	7.7	14.25	6.7	26.60	8.6	17.80	9.9
2003	22.70	7.6	15.30	7.4	27.95	5.1	18.70	5.1
2004	24.40	7.5	16.45	7.5	29.40	5.2	19.70	5.3
2005	26.20	7.4	17.70	7.6	30.95	5.3	20.80	5.6
2006	28.10	7.3	18.95	7.1	32.60	5.3	21.90	5.3

<sup>(1)</sup> Per thousand cubic feet (MCF) of water consumed.

# Industrial Waste Surcharge

An additional charge is billed to industrial and other types of customers discharging wastewater which contains substances requiring more extensive treatment than effluent from residential customers. The amount of this Industrial Waste Surcharge is calculated in accordance with formulas set forth in the Rate Resolution which take into account concentrations of suspended solids, biological oxygen demand and chemical oxygen demand based on waste loading determined by analysis or otherwise.

# Minimum Quarterly Billing

Each customer of the District is charged a minimum quarterly amount for sewage service equal to the class of service rate applicable to the metered water usage of 1,000 cubic feet (7,480 gallons).

<sup>(2)</sup> These rates were first established in the 1991 fiscal year.

NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
USES AND SOURCES OF FUNDS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 THROUGH 2011
(In Thousands of Dollars)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	TOTAL
USES OF FUNDS (1) Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements						
Westerly	\$500	\$200	\$200	\$200	\$200	\$1,300
Southerly	13,175	70,300	83,200	7,800	24,500	198,975
Easterly	5,950	7,000	25,700	1,200	14,700	54,550
Sub-Total	19,625	77,500	109,100	9,200	39,400	254,825
Interceptors						
Cuyahoga Valley	5,500	4,450	0	0	0	9,950
Inter-Community Relief Sewers	4,250	0	0	0	0	4,250
Sub-Total	9,750	4,450	0	0	0	14,200
Combined Sewer Overflow and						
Interceptor Rehabilitation	35,490	72,150	0	30,280	125,760	263,680
Other Projects	23,875	4,700	5,600	10,225	3,200	47,600
TOTAL	\$88,740	\$158,800	\$114,700	\$49,705	\$168,360	\$580,305
SOURCES OF FUNDS						
WPCLF Loans (2)	\$4,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$104,000
Net Bond Proceeds	77,240	129,800	87,700	22,705	143,360	460,805
Internally Generated Funds	7,500	4,000	2,000	2,000	0	15,500
TOTAL	\$88,740	\$158,800	\$114,700	\$49,705	\$168,360	\$580,305

<sup>(1)</sup> Five years are presented instead of ten because the District prepares five year projections. Ten year projected information is not available.

<sup>(2)</sup> Subject to appropriation and allocation and can not be expected with any degree of certainty.

#### Map and Graphics

John C. Gonzalez, Communications Specialist

#### **Project Manager**

Mary C. Mink, Finance Manager

#### **Other Contributors**

James Carrell, Environmental Health & Safety Coordinator
Monica Day, Engineering Aide
Francis P. Greenland, Director of Capital Programs
Janetta R. Griffin, Administrator of Revenue and User Support
Edward Haller, Assistant Superintendent
Mary Maciejowski, Environmental Planner
Brian Page, Engineer
James C. Ringenbach, Accountant
Denis Zaharija, Engineer

3900 euclid avenue cleveland, ohio 44115 216.881.6600 f: 216.881.8018 finance@neorsd.org www.neorsd.org

Single Audit Reports December 31, 2006

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

#### **Table of Contents**

<u>Title</u>	Page
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1-2
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3-4
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Schedule of Findings OMB Circular A-133 Section .505	7
Corrective Action Plan OMB Circular A-133 Section .315(c)	8
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings OMB Circular A-133 Section .315(b)	9



#### Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Board of Trustees Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

We have audited the financial statements of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District ("the District") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 2, 2007, wherein we noted that the District adopted *Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 43, 44, 45, 46 and 47*. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control over Financial Reporting


In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.







1

Board of Trustees Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

Cumi & Panichi Inc.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, Management, the Auditor of State' Office and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Cleveland, Ohio May 2, 2007



#### Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

Board of Trustees Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District


#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District ("the District") with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2006. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on District's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2006.





#### Internal Control over Compliance

The management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A control deficiency in the District's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

& Panichi Inc.

We have audited the basic financial statements of the District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 2, 2007, wherein we noted that the District adopted *Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 43, 44, 45, 46, and 47*. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, Management, the Auditor of State' Office and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Cleveland, Ohio May 2, 2007

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA <u>Number</u>	Grant Number	Federal <u>Expenditures</u>
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Surveys, Studies, Investigations and Special Purpose Grants	66.606	XP-985464-03-0	\$ 6,359,637
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	66.460	C997550001-0	48,424
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Pass-through Programs from Ohio Environmental Protection Agency: Capitalization Grants for State			
Revolving Funds	66.458	CS391972-05	182,290
		CS391126-18	231,126
		CS392039-03	187,714
		CS391972-07	16,403,801
		CS392381-01	2,236,802
		CS392460-01	49,537
		CS392586-01	73,154
		CS391972-08	2,238,934
		CS392638-01	1,134,400
		CS391867-03	298,143
		CS391867-03	6,784,032
		CS392588-01	5,989,091
		CS392588-01	8,156,597
		CS392702-01	3,324,022
		CS391126-19	2,532,578
		CS392793-01	1,075,292
		CS391126-19	<u>199,587</u>
Subtotal Pass-through Programs			51,097,100
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ <u>57,505,161</u>

#### Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

#### **Note 1 – Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the District and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

Schedule of Findings OMB Circular A-133 Section .505

#### **December 31, 2006**

#### 1. Summary of Auditors' Results

(d)(I)(i)	Type of Financial Statement Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(I)(ii)	Were there any material control weakness conditions reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(I)(ii)	Were there any other significant control deficiencies reported at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(I)(iii)	Was there any material reported noncompliance at the financial statement level (GAGAS)?	No
(d)(I)(iv)	Were there any material internal control weakness conditions reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(I) (iv)	Were there any other significant control deficiencies reported for major federal programs?	No
(d)(I) (v)	Type of Major Programs' Compliance Opinion	Unqualified
(d)(I)(vi)	Are there any reportable findings under Section .510?	No
(d)(I)(vii)	Major Program	Capitalization Grants for State Revolving Funds (CFDA No. 66.458)
		Surveys, Studies, Investigations and Special Purpose Grants (CFDA No. 66.606)
(d)(I)(viii)	Dollar Threshold: Type A/B Programs	Type A:>\$ 1,725,155 Type B: All Others
(d)(I)(ix)	Low Risk Auditee?	Yes

## 2. Findings Related To the Financial Statements Required To Be Reported in Accordance With GAGAS

None

3. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards

None.

Corrective Action Plan OMB Circular A-133 Section .315(c)

#### **December 31, 2006**

The December 31, 2006 audit reported no audit findings as defined in OMB Circular A-133, Section .510. Therefore, preparation of a corrective action plan is not applicable.

Schedule of Prior Audit Findings OMB Circular A-133 Section .315(b)

#### **December 31, 2006**

The December 31, 2005 audit reported no audit findings as defined in OMB Circular A-133, Section .510. Therefore, there are no prior findings on which to report.



## Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

#### NORTHEAST OHIO REGIONAL SEWER DISTRICT

#### **CUYAHOGA COUNTY**

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED JULY 10, 2007