

Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

# VILLAGE OF BETHESDA BELMONT COUNTY Agreed-Upon Procedures For the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016



Village Council Village of Bethesda P.O. Box 95 Bethesda, Ohio 43719

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Bethesda, Belmont County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Bethesda is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

August 23, 2018



# VILLAGE OF BETHESDA BELMONT COUNTY

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1



www.perrycpas.com

313 Second St Marietta, OH 45750 740.373.0056

1907 Grand Central Ave. Vienna, WV 26105 304,422,2203

104 South Sugar St St. Clairsville, OH 43950 740.695.1569

1310 Market Street, Suite 300 Wheeling, WV 26003 304,232,1358

749 Wheeling Ave., Suite 300 Cambridge, OH 43725 740.435.3417

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

June 20, 2018

Village of Bethesda **Belmont County** P.O. Box 95 Bethesda, Ohio 43719

To the Village Council, Mayor, and Management:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Village Council, Mayor and the management of Village of Bethesda, Belmont County (the Village) and the Auditor of State on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Villages cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

# **Cash and Investments**

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 bank reconciliations. At December 31, 2016, we noted the bank reconciliation did not recalculate due to the Village recording the incorrect balances of its investments on December 31, 2016. This resulted in a overstatement of bank balances of \$1,144. We found no other exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) to the December 31, 2015 balances in the prior year audited statements. We noted the amounts for the Agency Fund did not agree due to the Village not recording Mayor's Court in the accounting system and the amounts for the Special Revenue Fund did not agree due to checks written in 2015 that were voided in 2016. We also agreed the January 1, 2017 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) to the December 31, 2016 balances in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis). We noted that amounts for the Special Revenue and Enterprise Funds did not agree due to checks written in 2016 that were voided in 2017. We found other no exceptions.

www.perrycpas.com ... "bringing more to the table"

# **Cash and Investments (Continued)**

- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2017 and 2016 fund cash balances reported in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis). The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2017 bank account balances with the Village's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.
- 6. We traced interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2017 and 2016 to the accounting records and bank statements to determine if they were properly recorded. We found no exceptions.
- 7. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

#### **Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts**

- 1. We haphazardly selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2017 and one from 2016:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to confirm whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to confirm whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2017 and 2016. The Revenue Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for each year. However, we noted rollback receipts were improperly classified as Property and Other Local Taxes instead of Intergovernmental in 2017 and 2016.

# **Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts (Continued)**

- 3. We haphazardly selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2017 and five from 2016. We also haphazardly selected five receipts from the Belmont County Cross Reference Report from 2017 and five from 2016.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions. However, we noted State grant receipts were improperly classified as Miscellaneous instead of Intergovernmental in 2017.
  - c. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

# **Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2017 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2016 recorded in the Revenue Ledger and:

- a. Agreed the receipt amount to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Confirmed the amounts charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine the receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### Water Revenue and Sewer Revenue Funds

- 1. We haphazardly selected 10 Water Revenue and Sewer Revenue Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2017 and 10 Water Revenue and Sewer Revenue Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2016 recorded in the Revenue Ledger and determined whether the:
  - a. Receipt amount per the Payment Detail Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Account Aging Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
    - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Account Aging Report for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
    - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
  - c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We observed the Account Aging Report.
  - a. This report listed \$64,255.61 and \$67,443.48 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.
  - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding procedure, \$11,809.81 and \$8,060.65 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.

# Water Revenue and Sewer Revenue Funds (Continued)

- 3. We observed the Adjustment History Report.
  - a. This report listed a total of \$1,945.26 and \$5,558.85 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.
  - b. We haphazardly selected five non-cash adjustments from 2017 and five non-cash adjustments from 2016, and observed that the Village Administrator approved each adjustment.

#### Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following loans outstanding as of December 31, 2015. These amounts agreed to the Villages January 1, 2016 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2015:
6504 OWDA – Water System Replacement	\$2,376,724
2014 Garage Building Loan	\$95,833
2015 Fire Truck Loan	\$91,334
2015 OPWC – Street Resurfacing	\$12,760

- We inquired of management, and inspected the Revenue Ledger and Appropriation Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2017 or 2016 or debt payment activity during 2017 or 2016. All debt agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of the bond and loan debt activity for 2017 and 2016 and agreed principal and interest payments reported from the related debt amortization schedules to the General, Street Levy, Fire Levy, Water Operating, Sewer Operating, Water Debt Service, and Street Paving Debt Service Fund payments reported in the Appropriation Ledger. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions. However, we noted payments were not always properly classified as principal and interest.
- 4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the General fund per the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed. However, we noted debt proceeds were improperly recorded as Miscellaneous Revenue instead of Debt Proceeds in 2017. The Village did not properly record the proceeds in a bond fund (i.e. capital projects fund) as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09(E).
- 5. For new debt issued during 2017 and 2016, we inspected the debt legislation, which stated the Village must use the proceeds to purchase an Ultra-violet Disinfection System, Police Vehicle, Road Equipment, and Building Improvements. We inspected the Payment Register Detail Report and observed the Village purchased an Ultra-violet Disinfection System, Police Vehicle, Road Equipment, and Building Improvements in June of 2017.

# **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2017 and one payroll check for five employees from 2016 from the Wage Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Reports to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2017 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2017. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2018	January 8, 2018	\$ 7,347.62	\$ 7,347.62
State income taxes	January 15, 2018	January 11, 2018	\$ 1,318.76	\$ 1,318.76
OP&F retirement	January 30, 2018	January 5, 2018	\$ 6,340.36	\$ 6,340.36
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2018	January 5, 2018	\$ 4,367.46	\$ 4,367.46

- 3. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Wage Detail Report:
  - a. Accumulated leave records
  - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
  - c. The Village's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

#### **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Appropriation Ledger for the year ended December 31, 2017 and ten from the year ended 2016 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Appropriation Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
  - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found fourteen instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not inspect all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

# **Mayors Court Transactions and Cash Balances**

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We compared the reconciled cash totals as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 to the Mayor's Court Agency Fund balance reported in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis). The balances did not agree due to the Village not recording Mayor's Court activity in the accounting system.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of December 31, 2017 and 2016 listing of unpaid distributions as of each December 31. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2017 bank account balance with the Mayor's Court financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We haphazardly selected five cases from the court cash book and agreed the payee and amount posted to the:
  - a. Duplicate receipt book.
  - b. Docket, including comparing the total fine paid to the judgment issued by the judge (i.e. mayor)
  - c. Case file.

The amounts recorded in the cash book, receipts book, docket and case file agreed.

# **Mayors Court Transactions and Cash Balances (Continued)**

- 6. From the cash book, we haphazardly selected one month from the year ended December 31, 2017 and one month from the year ended 2016 and determined whether:
  - a. The monthly sum of fines and costs collected for those months agreed to the amounts reported as remitted to the Village, State or other applicable government in the following month. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The totals remitted for these two months per the cash book agreed to the returned canceled checks. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the cash book.

#### **Compliance – Budgetary**

- 1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Improvement Funds for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The amounts on the *Certificate* agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system, except for the General and Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair Funds in 2017. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General and Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair Funds of \$586,050 and 54,700, respectively. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$577,764 and \$54,243, respectively. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Council may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and to monitor spending.
- 2. We inspected the appropriation measures adopted for 2017 and 2016 to determine whether, for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Improvement Funds, the Council appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2017 and 2016 for the following funds: General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Improvement Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report except for the General Fund in 2017. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations in the General Fund of \$685,455 in 2017. However, the approved appropriations reflected \$355,455. We noted no other exceptions.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Improvement Funds for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. There were no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.

# **Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)**

- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair and State Highway Improvement Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We observed General Fund expenditures for 2017 exceeded total appropriations by \$300,031, contrary to Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B). The Fiscal Officer should not certify the availability of funds and should deny payment requests exceeding appropriations. The Fiscal Officer may request the Council to approve increased expenditure levels by increasing appropriations and amending estimated resources, if necessary, and if resources are available.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We inspected the Revenue Ledger for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2017 and 2016. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We noted in 2017 the Village received proceeds from the sale of bonds and did not properly establish a bond fund to record the proceeds. We noted no other exceptions.
- 7. For funds existing in prior years, inspect the fund activity to determine whether the fund is still being used for the statutorily approved purpose and that all the required funds were established. All the required funds were established and no funds for which the statutorily approved purpose was no longer valid were included on the accounting records.
- 8. We inspected the 2017 and 2016 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$1,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 9. We inquired of management and inspected the Appropriation Status Report to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. The Village did not establish these reserves.
- 10. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

#### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

We inquired of management and inspected the Appropriation Ledger for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

# **Other Compliance**

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, in addition to filing extensions granted for extenuating circumstances, allow for refiling complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System for December 31, 2017 and 2016 fiscal year ends included in 2015-2016 or 2016-2017 agreed up on procedure engagements, subsequent to the Village's deadline where the initial filing was filed on time but incomplete. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Village's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

**Perry and Associates** 

Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

Very Marcutes CAS A. C.

Marietta, Ohio





#### **VILLAGE OF BETHESDA**

#### **BELMONT COUNTY**

# **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED SEPTEMBER 4, 2018