

MARVIN MEMORIAL PUBLIC LIBRARY

RICHLAND COUNTY

**JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017
AGREED UPON PROCEDURES**



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Board of Trustees
Marvin Memorial Public Library
29 W. Whitney Avenue
Shelby, Ohio 44875

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Marvin Memorial Public Library, Richland County, prepared by Julian & Grube, Inc., for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Marvin Memorial Public Library is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

April 6, 2018

This page intentionally left blank.



Julian & Grube, Inc.
Serving Ohio Local Governments

333 County Line Rd. West, Westerville, OH 43082 Phone: 614.846.1899 Fax: 614.846.2799

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Marvin Memorial Public Library
Richland County
29 W. Whitney Avenue
Shelby, Ohio 44875

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Trustees and the management of Marvin Memorial Public Library (the Library) and the Auditor of State, on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Library's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Library. The Library is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Library. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report to the December 31, 2015 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2017 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report to the December 31, 2016 balances in the Cash Summary by Fund Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2017 and 2016 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed via telephone a certain December 31, 2017 bank account balance with the Library's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We observed certain year-end bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation without exception.

5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.
6. We traced interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2016 to the accounting records and bank statements [or **reconciliation**] to determine if they were properly recorded. We found no exceptions.
7. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Public Library Fund Receipts

We haphazardly selected two Public Library Fund (PLF) receipts from the Richland County Vendor Invoice List from 2017 and two from 2016.

- a. We compared the amount from the Richland County Vendor Invoice List to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report and observed these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We inspected the Receipt Register Report and observed the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to determine whether it included one PLF receipt per month for 2017 and 2016. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts

1. We haphazardly selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2017 and one from 2016:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to confirm whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2017 and 2016. The Revenue Ledger Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected all two receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2017 and all two from 2016.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to determine whether that these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2015.
2. We inquired of management and inspected the Revenue Ledger Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2017 or 2016 or debt payment activity during 2017 or 2016. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2017 or 2016.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2017 and one payroll check for five employees from 2016 from the Wage Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files and minute record. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we compared the employees' personnel files and minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Department(s) and fund(s) to which the check should be charged
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

3. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2017 to confirm whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2017. We observed the following:

| Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable) | Date Due | Date Paid | Amount Due | Amount Paid |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system) | January 15, 2018 | January 10, 2018 | \$2,259.88 | \$2,259.88 |
| State income taxes | January 15, 2018 | January 10, 2018 | \$409.04 | \$409.04 |
| Local income tax | January 31, 2018 | January 11, 2018 | \$390.46 | \$390.46 |
| School district income tax | January 15, 2018 | January 10, 2018 | \$256.11 | \$256.11 |
| OPERS retirement | January 31, 2018 | January 26, 2018 | \$6,247.25 | \$6,247.25 |

4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Wage Detail Report:
 - a. Accumulated leave records
 - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
 - c. The Library's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2017 and ten from the year ended 2016 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and determined that the proceeds from the levy passed under Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.23, were used for the purposes stated in the resolution.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2017 and 2016 for the following funds: General fund and the Building and Repair fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 for the General and Building and Repair fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We observed that no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

Other Compliance

Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires libraries to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, in addition to filing extensions granted for extenuating circumstances, allow for refile complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System for December 31, 2017 and 2016 fiscal year ends included in 2015-2016 or 2016-2017 agreed-upon procedure engagements, subsequent to the Library's deadline where the initial filing was filed on time but incomplete. We confirmed the Library filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Library's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Julian E. Grube, Inc.".

Julian & Grube, Inc.
February 23, 2018

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

MARVIN MEMORIAL PUBLIC LIBRARY

RICHLAND COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
APRIL 19, 2018**