



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Logan County Soil and Water Conservation District
Logan County
324 County Road 11
Bellefontaine, Ohio 43311

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Supervisors (the Board) and the management of the Logan County Soil and Water Conservation District (the District) on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the District's cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the District. The District is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the District. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report.

Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the District's Balance Sheet and Trial Balance to the December 31, 2015 balances in the prior year documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2017 beginning fund balances recorded in the District's Balance Sheet to the December 31, 2016 balances in the District's Balance Sheet. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the District Fund bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2017 and 2016 fund cash balances reported in the District's Balance Sheet for the District Fund. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2017 bank account depository balances for the District Fund with the District's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We compared the December 31, 2017 Special Fund depository balance from the *Cash Basis Annual Financial Report* to the amount reported in the Logan County Fund Summary Report. We found no exceptions.

Cash and Investments (Continued)

6. We selected all four reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We footed the supporting outstanding check list and compared it to the cash reconciliation. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced each check to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.

7. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

We applied the following procedures for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

1. We traced the total of the receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) and the total of the receipts from the County Auditor's Detail Expense Report to the total amounts recorded in the respective receipt classification in the Special Fund in the Profit and Loss Detail Report. The amounts agreed.

2. We haphazardly selected the only other confirmable receipt from the year ended December 31, 2017 and five other confirmable receipts from the year ended December 31, 2016 in the Profit and Loss Detail Report from funds other than the *Special Fund* such as grants, municipal and district funds.
 - a. We agreed to supporting documentation the amounts paid from the U.S. Department of Interior and the U.S. Department of Agriculture to the District. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the Profit and Loss Detail Report to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.

All Other Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 other cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2017 and 10 other cash receipts from the year ended 2016 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and:

1. Agreed the receipt amount to the amount recorded in the General Ledger Report. The amounts agreed.

2. Confirmed the amount charged complied with rates in force during the period, if applicable. We found no exceptions.

3. Inspected the Profit and Loss Detail Report to determine the receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected one payroll check for all three employees from 2017 and one payroll check for all three employees from 2016 from the Payroll Warrant Register Report and:

- a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Warrant Register Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
- b. We inspected the Profit and Loss Detail Report to determine whether salaries and benefits were paid only from the *Special Fund*, as required by the SWCD Administrative Handbook Chapter 5. We found no exceptions.
- c. We inspected the Profit and Loss Detail Report to determine whether the check was classified as *salaries* and was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected five disbursements from the *Special Fund* and five disbursements from the *District Fund* from the Profit and Loss Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2017 and five from the *Special Fund* and five from the *District Fund* for the year ended 2016 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. For *District Fund* disbursements, we determined whether:
 - i. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the similar data recorded in the Profit and Loss Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- c. For *Special Fund* disbursements, we determined whether:
 - i. The payee name and amount recorded on the voucher submitted to the County Auditor agreed to the payee name and amount recorded in the Profit and Loss Detail Report and County Detail Expense Report. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. The names and amounts on the voucher agreed to supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - iii. The voucher was signed by the fiscal officer and approved by a majority of the Board of Supervisors. We found no exceptions.

Special Fund Budgetary Compliance

1. We inspected the District's Special Fund Budget Request submitted to the County Commissioners for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The request included the Special Fund's *Needs, Income* and *Balances* anticipated for carry over from the current year, as required by the SWCD Administrative Handbook, Chapter 5. We also compared the budget amounts to the amounts reported in the County Summary Revenue and Expense Reports for the original budget. The budget expenditures agreed in both 2016 and 2017; however the budget revenue did not agree. The budget revenue was \$146,800 and \$139,870 in 2017 and 2016, respectively; however the County reports stated \$160,800 and \$140,000 in 2017 and 2016, respectively. To improve financial monitoring, the District and County should ensure proper budgeted amounts are reported.

Special Fund Budgetary Compliance (Continued)

2. We compared the total estimated receipts reported on the Special Fund Budgetary Activity footnote of the Cash Basis Annual Financial Report to the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), and to the amounts recorded in the County Summary Revenue Report for the Special Fund for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The estimated receipts reported on the footnote in 2017 and 2016 were \$135,636 and \$176,800, respectively, however, the certificate reported \$189,805 and \$166,000 in 2017 and 2016, respectively. Additionally, the amounts reported in the County report were \$193,805 and \$166,000 in 2017 and 2016, respectively. To improve monitoring and financial reporting, the District and County should ensure estimated receipts between the certificate, accounting system, and notes agree.
3. We inspected the appropriation measures adopted for 2017 and 2016 to determine whether the Supervisors appropriated separate amounts within the Special Fund for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
4. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the County Summary Expense Report for the Special Fund for 2017 and 2016, and to the appropriations reported on the Special Fund Budgetary Activity footnote of the Cash Basis Annual Financial Report. Total appropriations agreed to the amounts recorded in the County reports for 2017 and 2016; however the amounts did not agree to the footnotes. The appropriations and county reports stated \$213,860 and \$290,658 in 2017 and 2016, respectively; however the footnotes reported \$150,906 and \$202,436 in 2017 and 2016, respectively. To improve monitoring and financial reporting, the District should ensure the footnotes agree to approved appropriations.
5. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.28(B)(2)(C) prohibit appropriations from exceeding estimated resources. We compared total appropriations to total estimated resources for the Special Fund for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. Appropriations did not exceed estimated resources for the Special Fund in 2017. The District's appropriations of \$290,658 exceeded its estimated resources of \$251,320 in 2016. To help prevent overspending, the District should ensure appropriations do not exceed estimated resources.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus year-end certified commitments (i.e. encumbrances)) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total disbursements plus outstanding year-end encumbrances to total appropriations for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 for the "Special" Fund, as recorded in the County Summary Expense Report. Expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the Special Fund.
7. We inspected the Annual Cash Basis Financial Report for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 for negative cash fund balances. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. No funds had negative cash fund balances.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and inspected the Profit and Loss Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 to determine if the District purchased equipment and services allowed by ORC 1515.09 or purchased goods or services allowed by ORC 1515.08(H)(1) whose cost, other than personal service compensation or office space rent, exceeded \$50,000. There were no purchases exceeding \$50,000.

Other Compliance

Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires Districts to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, in addition to filing extensions granted for extenuating circumstances, allow for refiling complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System for December 31, 2017 and 2016 fiscal year ends included in 2015-2016 or 2016-2017 agreed up on procedure engagements, subsequent to the District's deadline where the initial filing was filed on time but incomplete. We confirmed the District filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy, within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the District's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the District's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State
Columbus, Ohio

July 12, 2018

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

LOGAN COUNTY SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

LOGAN COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
AUGUST, 7 2018