

***VILLAGE OF GLENFORD***

**PERRY COUNTY, OHIO**

**AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

**For the Years Ended December 31, 2016 and 2015**







# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Village Council  
Village of Glenford  
P.O. Box 22  
Glenford, Ohio 43739

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Glenford, Perry County, prepared by Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc., for the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2016. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Glenford is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost  
Auditor of State

July 19, 2017

**This page intentionally left blank.**

VILLAGE OF GLENFORD  
PERRY COUNTY  
Agreed-Upon Procedures  
For the Years Ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1

**This page intentionally left blank.**

**INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Village of Glenford  
Perry County  
P.O. Box 22  
Glenford, Ohio 43739

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Village Council, Mayor and the management of Village of Glenford (the Village) and the Auditor of State, on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Village's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

**Cash**

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by fund Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by fund Report to the December 31, 2015 balances in the Cash Summary by fund Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2016 and 2015 fund cash balances reported in the Cash Summary by fund Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2016 bank account balance with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected the only reconciling credit (such as deposits in transit) from the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced the credit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We agreed the credit amount to the Revenue Ledger Report. The credit was recorded as a December receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.

### **Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We haphazardly selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2016 and one from 2015:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to confirm whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to confirm whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2016 and 2015. The Revenue Ledger Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We haphazardly selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2016 and five from 2015. We also haphazardly selected five receipts from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2016 and five from 2015.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to determine whether that these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Water and Sewer Fund**

1. We haphazardly selected 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2016 and 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2015 recorded in the Cash Receipts Journal and determined whether the:
  - a. Receipt amount per the Cash Receipts Journal agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Utility Trial Balance Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
    - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Utility Billing Trial Balance Report for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
    - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
  - c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
2. We observed the Utility Billing Trial Balance.
  - a. This report listed \$11,535 and \$11,712 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
  - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, \$4,471 and \$1,008 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.
3. We observed the Monthly Cash Receipts Journal.
  - a. This report listed a total of \$370 and \$120 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
  - b. We haphazardly selected five non-cash adjustments from 2016 and five non-cash adjustments from 2015, and noted that the Mayor approved each adjustment.



**Debt.**

1. From the prior audit documentation, we observed the following loans were outstanding as of December 31, 2014. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2015 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2014:</b>
Ohio Water Development Authority (6730)	\$ 4,672
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan (CT867)	\$ 32,507
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan (CR04Q)	\$ 639,086

2. We inquired of management, and inspected the Revenue Leger Report and Cash Journal Report for evidence of debt issued during 2016 or 2015 or debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of loan debt activity for 2016 and 2015 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to debt service fund payments reported in the Cash Journal Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.
4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Sewer fund per the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
5. For new debt issued during 2016 and 2015, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Village must use the proceeds for the sewer plant & hookups. We inspected the Cash Journal Report and noted the Village constructed a Sewer Plant.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2016 and one payroll check for five employees from 2015 from the Wage Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2016 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2016. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2017	January 3, 2017	\$ 405.47	\$ 405.47
State income taxes	January 31, 2017	January 27, 2017	\$ 27.41	\$ 27.41
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2017	January 3, 2017	\$ 240.00	\$ 240.00

### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Cash Journal Report for the year ended December 31, 2016 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2015 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Cash Journal Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
  - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

### Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Street Construction and State Highway funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The amounts agreed.
2. We inspected the appropriation measures adopted for 2016 and 2015 to determine whether, for the General, Street Construction Fund and State Highway funds, the Council appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2016 and 2015 for the following funds: General, Street Construction and State Highway funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Construction and State Highway funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. We observed no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.

5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for the General, Street Construction and State Highway funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We observed no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We inspected the Revenue Ledger Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2016 and 2015. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We observed no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.
7. For funds existing in prior years, inspect the fund activity to determine whether the fund is still being used for the statutorily approved purpose and that all the required funds were established. We noted all the required funds were established and no funds for which the statutorily approved purpose was no longer valid were included on the accounting records.
8. We inspected the 2016 and 2015 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$1,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
9. We inquired of management and inspected the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. The Village did not establish these reserves.
10. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having negative cash fund balances.

#### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**


We inquired of management and inspected the Cash Journal Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an engineer, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

#### **Other Compliance**

Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2016-007, within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 in the Hinkle System. No exceptions noted.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is for the use of the Village to assist in evaluating its receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Charles E. Harris Associates".

**Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.**  
June 15, 2017



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF GLENFORD

PERRY COUNTY

## CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED  
AUGUST 1, 2017