313 Second St. Marietta, 0H 45750 740.373.0056

1907 Grand Central Ave. Vienna, WV 26105 304.422.2203

104 South Sugar St. St. Clairsville, OH 43950 740.695.1569

1310 Market Street, Suite 300 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.232.1358



VILLAGE OF BLOOMVILLE
SENECA COUNTY
Agreed-Upon Procedures
For the Years Ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

www.perrycpas.com

... "bringing more to the table"

Tax-Accounting - Audit - Review - Compilation - Agreed Upon Procedure - Consultation - Bookkeeping - Payroll Litigation Support - Financial Investigations

Members: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

- Ohio Society of CPAs West Virginia Society of CPAs Association of Certified Fraud Examiners
 - · Association of Certified Anti Money Laundering Specialists ·



Village Council Village of Bloomville 10 Beeghly Avenue Bloomville, Ohio 44818

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Bloomville, Seneca County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2016. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Bloomville is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

July 31, 2017



VILLAGE OF BLOOMVILLE SENECA COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1



313 Second St. Marietta, 0H 45750 740.373.0056

1907 Grand Central Ave. Vienna, WV 26105 304.422.2203

104 South Sugar St. St. Clairsville, OH 43950 740.695.1569

1310 Market St., Suite 300 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.232.1358

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

June 23, 2017

Village of Bloomville Seneca County 10 Beeghly Avenue Bloomville, Ohio 44818

To the Village Council, Mayor, and Management:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which were agreed to by the Village Council, Mayor, and the management of the **Village of Bloomville**, Seneca County, Ohio (the Village) and the Auditor of State, on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Village's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) to the December 31, 2014 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) to the December 31, 2015 balances in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis). We found no exceptions.

www.perrycpas.com ..."bringing more to the table"

Tax - Accounting - Audit - Review - Compilation - Agreed Upon Procedure - Consultation - Bookkeeping - Payroll - Litigation Support - Financial Investigations

Members: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

. Ohio Society of CPAs . West Virginia Society of CPAs . Association of Certified Fraud Examiners . Association of Certified Anti-Money Laudering Specialists

Cash and Investments (Continued)

- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2016 and 2015 fund cash balances reported in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis). The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2016 bank account balances with the Village's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.
- 6. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We haphazardly selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2016 and one from 2015:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Posted Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Posted Transaction Report to confirm whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Posted Transaction Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2016 and 2015. The Posted Transaction Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- We inspected the Posted Transaction Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2016 and 2015. The Posted Transaction Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We haphazardly selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2016 and five from 2015. We also haphazardly selected five receipts from the Seneca County Auditor Trail by Vendor Report from 2016 and five from 2015.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Posted Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Posted Transaction Report to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Posted Transaction Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Water and Sewer Fund

- 1. We haphazardly selected 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2016 and 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2015 recorded in the Posted Transaction Report and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount per the Posted Transaction Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Customer History Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Customer History Report for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
 - Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We observed the Aged Trial Balance Report.
 - a. This report listed \$11,986 and \$6,428 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
 - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, \$11,699 and \$5,548 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.
- 3. We observed the Billing Journal Report.
 - a. This report listed a total of \$949 and \$281 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
 - b. We haphazardly selected five non-cash adjustments from 2016 and five non-cash adjustments from 2015, and observed adjustments selected were not approved. We recommend the Village implement a formal approval policy for all adjustments made to accounts.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we observed the following loans outstanding as of December 31, 2014. These amounts agreed to the Villages January 1, 2015 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2014:
Ohio Water Development Authority Loan	\$800,601
Ohio Public Works Commission Loan	\$64,456

- 2. We inquired of management, and inspected the Posted Transaction Report for evidence of debt issued during 2016 or 2015 or debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015. All debt observed agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of the loan debt activity for 2016 and 2015 and agreed principal and interest payments reported from the related debt amortization schedules to the Water Operating Fund and Debt Service Fund payments reported in the Posted Transaction Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2016 and one payroll check for five employees from 2015 from the Posted Transaction Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Posted Transaction Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files or minute record. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2016 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employers share, where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2016. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due		Amount Paid	
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2017	January 6, 2017	\$	5,011.54	\$	5,011.54
State income taxes	January 17, 2017	January 9, 2017	\$	352.18	\$	352.18
School income tax	January 17, 2017	January 9, 2017	\$	243.50	\$	243.50
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2017	January 20, 2017	\$	3,280.51	\$	3,280.51

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Posted Transaction Report for the year ended December 31, 2016 and ten from the year ended 2015 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Appropriation Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found two instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not inspect all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

Compliance – Budgetary

- 1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Comparison of Budgeted and Actual Report for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The amounts on the *Certificate* agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system, except for the General and Street, Construction, Maintenance and Repair Funds in 2015. The Comparison of Budgeted and Actual Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund of \$101,764 and the Street, Construction, Maintenance and Repair Fund of \$34,630. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$102,334 and \$36,330, respectively. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Council may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and to monitor spending.
- 2. We inspected the appropriation measures adopted for 2016 and 2015 to determine whether, for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Funds, the Council appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Comparison of Disbursements and Encumbrances for 2016 and 2015 for the following funds: General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Comparison of Disbursements and Encumbrances except for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Funds in 2015. The Appropriation Status Report recorded appropriations in the General Fund of \$145,555, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair Fund of \$52,400, and State Highway Fund of \$15,500 for 2015. However, the approved appropriations reflected \$144,455, \$50,700, and \$14,500, respectively. We noted no other exceptions.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair, and State Highway Funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. We observed no funds for which appropriations exceeded estimated resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair and State Highway Funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We inspected the Posted Transaction Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2016 and 2015. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We observed no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

- 7. For funds existing in prior years, inspected the fund activity to determine whether the fund is still being used for the statutorily approved purpose and that all the required funds were established 2017 OCS 1-4. All the required funds were established and no funds for which the statutorily approved purpose was no longer valid were included on the accounting records.
- 8. We inspected the 2016 and 2015 Posted Transaction Report for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$3,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 9. We inquired of management and inspected the Appropriation Status Ledgers to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.
- 10. We inspected the Combined and Combining Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and inspected the Posted Transaction Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an enginner, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

Other Compliance

- Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system with 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2016-007, within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. No exceptions noted.
- 2. We inquired of the fiscal officer and/or inspected the Fiscal Integrity Act Portal to determine whether the fiscal officer obtained the training required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 507.12 and 733.81. Fiscal Officer obtained 6 of the required 12 hours of training.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is for the use of the Village to assist in evaluating its receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

Perry and Associates

Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

Gerry Marcutez CAS A. C.

Marietta, Ohio



VILLAGE OF BLOOMVILLE

SENECA COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED AUGUST 10, 2017