



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Peru Township
Huron County
1103 Peru Hollow Road
Norwalk, OH 44857

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Trustees (the Board) and the management of Peru Township, Huron County, Ohio (the Township), on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Township's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Township. The Township is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Township. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report.

Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2015 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2016 bank account balances with the Township's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.

Cash and Investments (Continued)

- b. We traced the amounts and dates to the Outstanding Payments Report, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.
6. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental, and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We haphazardly selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the *Statement*) for 2016 and one from 2015:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to confirm the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to confirm whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2016 and 2015. The Receipt Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2016 and all from 2015. We also haphazardly selected five receipts from the Huron County Local Government Confirmation from 2016 and five from 2015.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed except for the 2016 second half rollbacks receipt and the 2015 first and second half rollbacks receipts. The second half 2016 rollbacks receipt was posted at net, resulting in General and Road and Bridge fund receipts and disbursements being understated by \$19 and \$16, respectively. The first and second half 2015 rollbacks receipts were posted at net, resulting in General and Road and Bridge fund receipts and disbursements being understated by \$34 and \$28, respectively. However, because we did not inspect all intergovernmental receipts, our report provides no assurance regarding whether or not other similar errors occurred. We recommend rollback receipts be posted at gross as indicated on the respective *Statement*. The administrative fees should then be posted as offsetting disbursements.
 - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine that these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Receipts Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental, and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts (Continued)

4. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Ohio Public Works Commission (the Commission) on behalf of the Township during 2015 with the Commission. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2014.
2. We inquired of management, and inspected the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2016 or 2015 or debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015. All debt agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of loan debt activity for 2016 and agreed principal payments from the related debt amortization schedule to General Fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. To the extent that the General Fund contains moneys that are not subject to any limitation against expenditure for road and bridge purposes, those moneys may be expended directly for road and bridge purposes, provided that those moneys can be separately identified and have not been comingled with other moneys that cannot be used for road and bridge purposes. Since the Township's General Fund co-mingles moneys that both can and cannot be used for road and bridge purposes, we recommend disbursements related to debt service for the Ohio Public Works Commission Hettle Road Improvement loan first be transferred from the Township's General Fund to its Road and Bridge Fund with the disbursement then being made from the Road and Bridge Fund. There is no effect on ending fund balances, so no adjustments to the accounting system are necessary. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Township made the payments. We found no exceptions.
4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Public Works Commission Project Fund per the Revenue Ledger Report.
5. For new debt issued during 2015, we inspected the debt legislation, which stated the Township must use the proceeds to complete Hettle Road improvements. We inspected the Payment Register Detail Report and observed the Township recorded the disbursement made by the Ohio Public Works Commission on behalf of the Township to Erie Blacktop Inc. for the project.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2016 and one payroll check for five employees from 2015 from the Wage Withholdings Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the salary recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report to supporting documentation (statutorily-approved salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the fund and account code to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as required by statute. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2016 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2016. We observed the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes and Medicare	January 31, 2017	December 30, 2016	\$259	\$259
State income taxes	January 17, 2017	December 30, 2016	239	239
School District income tax	January 31, 2017	December 30, 2016	205	205
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2017	December 30, 2016	848	848

3. We inquired of management and inspected the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 to determine if the Fiscal Officer and/or members of the Board were reimbursed for out-of-pocket insurance premiums. No such reimbursements occurred.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2016 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2015 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. During 2015 we found one disbursement in the amount of \$18,862 for the Township's share of the Ohio Public Works Commission Hettle Road Improvement project that was paid from the General Fund. To the extent that the General Fund contains moneys that are not subject to any limitation against expenditure for road and bridge purposes, those moneys may be expended directly for road and bridge purposes, provided that those moneys can be separately identified and have not been comingled with other moneys that cannot be used for road and bridge purposes. Since the Township's General Fund co-mingles moneys that both can and cannot be used for road and bridge purposes, we recommend disbursements related to the Township's share of the Ohio Public Works Commission Hettle Road Improvement project first be transferred from the Township's General Fund to its Road and Bridge Fund with the disbursement then being made from the Road and Bridge Fund. There is no effect on ending fund balances, so no adjustments to the accounting system are necessary. However, because we did not inspect all non-payroll disbursements, our report provides no assurance regarding whether or not similar errors occurred.
- d. The Fiscal Officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Road and Bridge, and Special Levy - Ambulance funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The amounts agreed.
2. We inspected the appropriation measures adopted for 2016 and 2015 to determine whether, for the General, Road and Bridge, and Special Levy - Ambulance funds, the Board appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2016 and 2015 for the following funds: General, Road and Bridge, and Special Levy – Ambulance. The amounts on the appropriation measures agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibit appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road and Bridge, and Special Levy – Ambulance funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. There were no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for the General, Road and Bridge, and Special Levy – Ambulance funds, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. There were no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We inspected the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2016 and 2015. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. The Township established the Public Works Commission Project Fund during 2015 to segregate Ohio Public Works Commission grant receipts and disbursements, in compliance with Section 5705.09.
7. For funds existing in prior years, we inspected the fund activity to determine whether the fund is still being used for the statutorily approved purpose and that all of the required funds were established. All of the required funds were established and no funds for which the statutorily approved purpose was no longer valid were included on the accounting records.
8. We inspected the 2016 and 2015 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
9. We inquired of management and inspected the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. The Township did not establish these reserves.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

10. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for negative cash fund balances. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts and Expenditures

We inquired of management and inspected the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 to determine if the Township proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project \$15,000-\$45,000) or to construct or reconstruct Township roads (cost of project \$5,000-\$15,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the County Engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the County Engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

Other Compliance

Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires townships to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, in addition to filing extensions granted for extenuating circumstances, allow for refiling complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the HINKLE System for December 31, 2017 and 2016 fiscal year ends included in 2015-2016 or 2016-2017 agreed up on procedure engagements, subsequent to the Township's deadline where the initial filing was filed on time but incomplete. We confirmed the Township filed its complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 in the HINKLE system. There were no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is for the use of the Township to assist in evaluating its receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in its cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "D".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

August 10, 2017

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

PERU TOWNSHIP

HURON COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
SEPTEMBER 12, 2017