



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Ohio Department of Medicaid
50 West Town Street, Suite 400
Columbus, Ohio 43215

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM), on the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report of Cuyahoga County Board of Developmental Disabilities – Albion House (hereafter referred to as the Provider) for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014. The Provider's management is responsible for preparing the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of ODM. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Occupancy and Usage

1. We compared the number of patient days for Medicaid and non-Medicaid patients from the Provider's Monthly Census Reports to those reported on *Schedule A-1, Summary of Inpatient Days* to determine if the Provider's patient days were greater than those reported. We also footed the Provider's census report for accuracy.

We found no variances.

2. We haphazardly selected five residents' medical records and compared the total days of care for December 2014 with the inpatient days reported on the Monthly Census Reports and *Schedule A-1, Summary of Inpatient Days* to determine if total patient days were greater than those reported. We also determined if the Provider included any waiver respite days as Medicaid or Medicare days, and if bed hold days in excess of 30 in a calendar year, it received the proper authorization on form JFS 09402 in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-08.

We found no variances and no misclassified waiver respite days or unauthorized bed hold days.

3. We compared the number of reimbursed Medicaid days per the Medicaid Information Technology System (MITS) with the total Medicaid days reported on *Schedule A-1, Summary of Inpatient Days* to identify if reimbursed Medicaid days were greater than total Medicaid days.

We found that total Medicaid days reported exceeded Medicaid reimbursed days.

Medicaid Paid Claims

1. We selected paid claims for five residents for December 2014 from MITS and compared the reimbursed days to the days documented per the resident's medical records. We determined if the Provider's documentation met the general requirements of CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 23, and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-12, if the days billed met the specific requirements of Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-08(C) to (I) as an occupied or bed hold day, and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-15 for the payment adjustment requirements for resident's admission, discharge or death.

We found no instances of non-compliance.

Revenue

1. We compared all revenues on the Provider's General Ledger - Account Activity and Summary Schedule reports with those revenues reported on *Attachment 1, Revenue Trial Balance* to determine if all revenues were reported in accordance with the Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-16 and CMS Publication 15-1 to identify variances exceeding \$500.

We reported variances in Appendix A.

2. We scanned the Provider's General Ledger - Account Activity report for any revenue offsets or applicable credits which were not reported on *Attachment 2, Adjustments to Trial Balance* or *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2* or *Schedule C* to offset corresponding expenses in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Chapters 1, 6, and 8 and exceeding \$500.

We found worker's compensation rebates on *Attachment 1, Revenue Trial Balance*. Although the Provider followed the Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities guidance in document titled "BWC payout and Cost Reports" which was distributed in October 2014 in regards to reporting worker's compensation refunds, we reported these amounts as applicable credits/revenue offsets in Appendix A in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, §§ 804, 805 and 2302.5, and Title 42 CFR § 413.98 (3)(c).

Non-Payroll Expenses

1. We compared all non-payroll expenses reported on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; and Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center* to the Provider's General Ledger - Account Activity report and other supporting documentation to identify any variances exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances exceeding \$500.

2. We selected 20 non-payroll expenses from *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; Schedule C, Indirect Cost Center* and *Exhibit 3, Home Office Trial Balance*. We determined if these expenses had supporting documentation, were properly allocated and classified and were allowable expenses per Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1 to identify any variances exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances exceeding \$500.

3. We reviewed the allocation methodology used in the Provider's Home Office Allocation schedule allocating costs on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; and Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center* and determined if it was reasonable, allowable, related to residential care, and properly classified in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1, Section 2150. We reported any reclassifications and adjustments resulting in decreased costs exceeding five percent on any schedule.

We reviewed the Provider's methodology to allocate Home Office costs between like and unlike facilities and we found the Provider allocated costs based on revenues and we could not determine the reasonableness of the allocated costs in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Section 2102.1. Therefore, we asked the Provider to recalculate the Home Office costs using a total cost allocation methodology. We reviewed the Provider's recalculated Home Office costs report and noted that it resulted in decreased costs on *Schedules C*.

We reported variances in Appendix A.

Non-Payroll Expenses (Continued)

4. We scanned the Provider's General Ledger - Account Activity report for non-payroll expenses reported on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; and Schedule C, Indirect Cost Center* for non-federal reimbursable costs or costs not classified in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1. We also scanned for any contract costs which would require reporting on *Schedule C-3, Costs of Services from Related Parties*. We identified any reclassifications between schedules and adjustments exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs on any schedule or unreported contracts.

We found no differences or unreported contracts.

5. We compared the 2014 non-payroll costs reported on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center* and *Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center* by chart of account code to similar reported costs in 2013 and obtained the Provider's explanation for five non-payroll variances that increased by more than five percent and \$500:

- Heat, Light, Power and Water and Sewage costs increased on *Schedule B-1* due to rate increases;
- Active Treatment Off-site Day Programming costs increased on *Schedule B-2* due to an increase in per-individual cost;
- Consulting and Management Fees - Indirect costs increased on *Schedule C* due to a budget increase; and
- Insurance costs increased on *Schedule C* due to premium increases.

We reported no variances.

Property

1. We compared the Provider's procedures regarding capitalization of fixed assets used for preparing *Schedule D, Capital Cost Center; Schedule D-1, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment; and Schedule D-2, Capital Additions/Deletions* with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1.

We found an inconsistency as the Provider's did not determine a salvage value when calculating depreciation as required by CMS Publication 15-1, 104.19, which states "Virtually all assets have a salvage value substantial enough to be included in calculating depreciation, and only in rare instance is salvage value so negligible that it may be ignored."

Recommendation:

We recommend the Provider calculate a salvage value equal to 10 percent of historical cost when determining the initial net book value to be depreciated for each new capital asset purchase.

2. We compared capital assets and corresponding depreciation listed on *Schedule D, Capital Cost Center, Schedule D-1, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment* and *Schedule D-2, Capital Additions/Deletions* to the Provider's Depreciation Schedule to identify variances exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances.

Property (Continued)

3. We selected three deletions reported on *Schedule D-1, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment* and *Schedule D-2, Capital Additions/Deletions* and determined if the cost basis, useful life and depreciation expense were reported in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7. We determined if assets were used in residential care or should be reclassified as the Costs of Ownership in accordance with Ohio Admin § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1 to identify variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances.

4. ODM asked that we review the rent and lease agreements and determine if any related party lease costs were recorded in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Section 1011.5 and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-24(D) and that non-related leases meet the requirements of FASB 13 and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-24(B) and related FASB guidance on leasehold improvements, if costs were recorded in *Schedule D, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment* in Lease and Rent Accounts 8060 or 8065 to identify any variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We did not perform this procedure as there were no costs recorded on *Schedule D* in accounts 8060 or 8065.

5. We compared the renovation and financing costs in the Non-extensive Renovation Letter to *Schedule D-1, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment*, if costs were recorded in *Schedule E, Balance Sheet* (Account 1300, Renovations) to identify variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances.

6. We reviewed the Depreciation Schedule to ensure transportation expenses were reasonable, allowable and related to patient care as defined in CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 9 for transportation costs recorded on *Schedule D-1, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment*. ODM asked that if any corporate officers and owners exclusively used vehicles, we review the W-2s to determine if they reported additional compensation or were adjusted from allowable expenses pursuant to CMS Publication 15-1 to identify any variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances.

Payroll

1. We compared all salary, fringe benefits and payroll tax entries and hours worked reported on the Provider's General Ledger - Account Activity report to the amounts reported on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs*; *Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center*; *Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center*; *Schedule C-1, Administrator's Compensation* and *Schedule C-2, Owner's Relatives Compensation* to identify any variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs.

We found no variances.

Payroll (Continued)

2. We selected a sample of five employees reported on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; Schedule C, Indirect Cost Center and Exhibit 3, Home Office Trial Balance* and compared the Provider's job descriptions to the schedule in which each employee's salary and fringe benefit expenses were reported. We determined if the payroll costs were allowable under CMS Publication 15-1, were properly classified, allocated and allowable in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 9 and Section 2150 to identify any variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances exceeding \$500.

3. We compared the 2014 payroll costs reported on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center; Schedule C-1, Administrator's Compensation and Schedule C-2, Owner's Relatives Compensation* by chart of account code to similar costs in 2013 and obtained the Provider's explanation for two payroll variances that increased by more than five percent and \$500. The Qualified Mental Retardation Professional costs increased on *Schedule B-2* due to a vacant position being filled; and Home Office Costs/Indirect Care costs increased on *Schedule C* due to retirement payouts.

We reported no variances.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Provider and ODM, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

May 8, 2017

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix A
Cuyahoga County Board of Developmental Disabilities - Albion House
2014 Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report Adjustments

	Reported		Corrected	
	Amount	Correction	Amount	Explanation of Correction
Schedule C Indirect Care Cost Center				
48. Home Office Costs/Indirect Care - 7310 (1) Salary Facility Employed	\$ 8,619	\$ (448)	\$ 8,171	To correct home office allocation
48. Home Office Costs/Indirect Care - 7310 (1) Other/Contract Wages	\$ 81,150	\$ (169)	\$ 80,981	To correct home office allocation
Attachment 1				
59. Other Revenue - 5400 (2) Total	\$ -	\$ 4,344	\$ 4,344	To match revenue report
Attachment 2				
1. Worker's Compensation Refund (1) Revenue Chart of Account #		5400		To offset BWC refund
1. Worker's Compensation Refund (3) Other Increase (Decrease)	\$ -	\$ 4,225	\$ 4,225	To offset BWC refund
1. Worker's Compensation Refund (5) Expense Chart of Account #		6520		To offset BWC refund
1. Worker's Compensation Refund (6) Revenue Reference Attachment 1 Line		59		To offset BWC refund
2. Worker's Compensation Refund (1) Revenue Chart of Account #		5400		To offset BWC refund
2. Worker's Compensation Refund (3) Other Increase (Decrease)	\$ -	\$ 119	\$ 119	To offset BWC refund
2. Worker's Compensation Refund (5) Expense Chart of Account #		7510		To offset BWC refund
2. Worker's Compensation Refund (6) Revenue Reference Attachment 1 Line		59		To offset BWC refund

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

CUYAHOGA COUNTY BOARD OF DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES – ALBION HOUSE

CUYAHOGA COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
MAY 30, 2017**