



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Holland
Lucas County
1245 Clarion Avenue
Holland, Ohio 43528-8394

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Village Council and Mayor, and the management of the Village of Holland, Lucas County, Ohio (the Village), have agreed, solely to assist the Council and Mayor in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management, the Mayor, and / or the Council are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances to the December 31, 2010 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances to the December 31, 2011 balances in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balances reported in the Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Fund Balances. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2012 bank account balances with the Village's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2012 bank reconciliation:

- a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2012 and 2011 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.
7. We tested investments held at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to determine that they:
- a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2012 and one from 2011:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transaction Report. We also traced the advances noted on the Statement to the Detail Revenue Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper fund as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Detail Revenue Transactions Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts plus seven advances for 2012 and 2011. We noted the Detail Revenue Transactions Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2012 and five from 2011. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2012 and five from 2011.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Detail Revenue Transaction Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Income Tax Receipts

1. We compared the allocation of income tax receipts for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 to the Village's funds according to the allocation requirements of Ordinance No. 33-2008 and 37-2011. The allocation agreed with the percentages the Ordinances require.
2. We selected five income tax returns filed during 2011 and five from 2012.
 - a. We compared the payment amount recorded on the tax return to the amount recorded on the Bank Deposit for Income Tax Department Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We compared the Bank Deposit for Income Tax Department Report total from step a. to the amount recorded as income tax receipts in the Detail Revenue Transaction Report for that date. The amounts agreed.
3. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
4. We selected five income tax refunds from 2011 and five from 2012.
 - a. We compared the refund paid from Refund Processing Report to the refund amount requested in the tax return. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We noted each of the refunds was approved by Tax Administrator.
 - c. We noted the refunds were paid from the Income Tax Refund Fund as is required.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following bond and OPWC loans outstanding as of December 31, 2010. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2011 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2010:
OPWC Holloway and Hall Streets	\$13,226
OPWC Clark Street	43,009
Special Assessment Bond	55,000

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Transaction Listing and Detail Expense Transaction Report for evidence of debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of debt activity for 2012 and 2011 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to debt service fund payments reported in the Detail Expense Transaction Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2012 and one payroll check for five employees from 2011 from the Payroll Detail History Report and:

- a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Detail History Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' time cards. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2012. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes and Medicare	January 31, 2013	December 28, 2012	\$2,378.07	\$2,378.07
State income taxes	January 15, 2013	December 28, 2012	1,779.52	1,779.52
Village of Holland income taxes	January 31, 2013	December 28, 2012	1,582.56	1,582.56
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2013	January 4, 2013	4,956.78	4,956.78
OP&F retirement	January 31, 2013	January 4, 2013	5,902.81	5,902.81
School District income taxes	January 15, 2013	December 28, 2012	104.91	104.91
Ohio Child Support	January 4, 2013	December 28, 2012	147.77	147.77

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Detail Expense Transaction Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2011 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Detail Expense Transaction Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resource*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the MTD/YTD Revenue Report for the General, Streets, and HBC Debt funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The amounts agreed.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2012 and 2011 to determine whether, for the General, Streets, and HBC Debt funds, the Council appropriated separately for “each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services,” as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Current MTD/YTD Expense Report for 2012 and 2011 for the following funds: General, Streets, and HBC Debt. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Current MTD/YTD Expense Report.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Streets, and HBC Debt funds for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for the General, Streets, and HBC Debt funds, as recorded in the Current MTD/YTD Expense Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Detail Revenue Transaction Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2012 and 2011 Detail Revenue Transaction Report and Detail Expense Transaction Report for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$1,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriations Resolution to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.

Compliance – Contracts and Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Detail Expense Transaction Report for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an engineer, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Village, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

May 10, 2013



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF HOLLAND

LUCAS COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
JUNE 4, 2013