



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY
HOCKING COUNTY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE _____ **PAGE**

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures..... 1

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Hocking County Agricultural Society
Hocking County
P.O. Box 142
Logan, Ohio 43138

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of the Hocking County Agricultural Society, Hocking County, Ohio (the Society), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2011 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2011 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the December 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2012 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balance reported in the Annual Financial Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2012 bank account balances with the Society's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December, January and February bank statements, except for one, which did not clear, but was reissued and subsequently returned in the system.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.

Intergovernmental Cash Receipts

1. There were no receipts on the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2012 and 2011; however we confirmed all state receipts with the Ohio Office of Budget and Management. We also selected two receipts from the County Auditor's Appropriation History Report from 2012 and two from 2011.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account code. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Admission/Grandstand Receipts

We haphazardly selected one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30 2012 and one day of admission/grandstand cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the receipts ledger and determined whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets/cash register tapes, etc). The amounts agreed for the day we tested from 2012.

For September 17, 2011, the amount recorded in the receipts ledger for September 17, 2011 was \$35,958.

- a. The ticket sales recapitulation reported 5,183 tickets sold on that date.
- b. The admission price per ticket was \$7.
- c. Therefore the recapitulation sheet multiplied by the admission price supports admission receipts of \$36,281 for September 17, 2011, which exceeds the amount recorded by \$323.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the receipt ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we noted the following loan outstanding as of November 30, 2010. This amount agreed to the Society's December 1, 2011 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

| Issue | Principal Outstanding as of November 30, 2010 |
|-------------------------|---|
| 2008 Citizens Bank Loan | \$71,451 |

Debt (Continued)

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. We noted no new debt issuances during 2012 or 2011. All debt payment activity noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of debt service payments owed during 2012 and 2011 and agreed these payments from the Custom Detail Transaction Report to the related debt amortization schedule. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Society made the payments. We found no exceptions.
4. We inquired of management, scanned the receipt ledger, and scanned the prior agreed upon procedures report and determined that the Society had a loan outstanding from a prior year as permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 1711.13(B). We examined the Society's computation supporting that the total net indebtedness from loans and credit did not exceed twenty-five percent of its annual revenues. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for four employees from 2012 and one payroll check for three employees from 2011 from the Payroll Register and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Register to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
 - d. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding
 - e. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a – e above, except the federal, state and local income tax withholding forms were not maintained for one employee. However, the payroll register did disclose federal, state and local withholdings for this employee.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended November 30, 2012 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2012. We noted the following:

| Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable) | Date Due | Date Paid | Amount Due | Amount Paid |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Federal Income Taxes and Medicare | 01/31/13 | 12/06/12 | \$ 189.66 | \$ 189.66 |
| State Income Taxes | 01/31/13 | 01/08/13 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 26.94 |
| Local Income Tax | 01/31/13 | 01/24/13 | \$ 47.25 | \$ 47.25 |

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Expenditure Report for the year ended November 30, 2012 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Expenditure Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

May 10, 2013



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

HOCKING COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY

HOCKING COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JUNE 4, 2013**