



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Paint Bloomingburg Joint Cemetery Fayette County P.O. Box 217 Bloomingburg, Ohio 43106

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Paint Bloomingburg Joint Cemetery, Fayette County, Ohio (the Cemetery), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- We agreed the January 1, 2010 beginning balance recorded in the Cash Journal to the December 31, 2009 balances documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2011 and 2010 cash balances reported in the Cash Journal. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2011 bank account balances with the Cemetery's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected all reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2011 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates written to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

- 6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2011 and one from 2010:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper fund as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- We scanned the Receipt Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2011 and 2010. We noted the Receipts Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2011 and all from 2010. We also selected all receipts from the County Auditor's Vendor Listing from 2011 and all from 2010.
 - a. We compared the amount from the DTL to the amount recorded in the Receipt Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account codes. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Sales of Lots and Charges for Services

We selected 10 cash receipts for sales of lots and charges for services from the year ended December 31, 2011 and haphazardly selected 10 cash receipts for sales of lots and charges for services from the year ended 2010 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipts Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper account code, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

- 1. The prior agreed-upon procedures report disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2009.
- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Ledger and Disbursements Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2011 or 2010 or debt payment activity during 2011 or 2010. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2011 or 2010.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2011 and one payroll check for five employees from 2010 from the Payroll Journal and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Journal to supporting documentation (timecard or legislatively-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. For any new employees selected in step 1 we determined whether the following information in the minute record was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. -f. above.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2011 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2011. We noted the following:

| Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable) | Date Due | Date Paid | Amount Due | Amount Paid |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|
| Federal income taxes & Medicare | January 31, 2012 | January 4, 2012 | \$706.64 | \$706.64 |
| State income taxes | January 15, 2012 | January 4, 2012 | \$99.88 | \$99.88 |
| OPERS retirement | January 30, 2012 | December 30, 2011 | \$282.49 | \$282.49 |

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. From the Disbursement Ledger, we re-footed checks recorded as disbursements for *supplies* for 2011. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Disbursement Ledger for the year ended December 31, 2011 and ten from the year ended 2011 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Disbursement Journal and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions, except one check did not include the name of the payee. We noted this check was endorsed by the payee as shown on the Disbursement Ledger and other supporting documents. However, because we did not test all nonpayroll cash disbursements our report provides no assurance regarding whether or not other similar errors occurred.
 - c. The payment was posted to a proper account code. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Disbursement Ledger report for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 for projects requiring the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. We identified no projects subject to the aforementioned prevailing wage requirements.

Officials' Response

The Paint Bloomingburg Joint Cemetery was having flooding problems and we had to prepare for a funeral and needed to rent equipment that day. I gave the signed check to the caretaker to get the equipment and he thought the business had a payee stamp. The checks are not returned through the bank, only copies. I did not catch the error.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Cemetery's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Government, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost

Auditor of State

March 26, 2012





PAINT BLOOMINGBURG JOINT CEMETERY

FAYETTE COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED APRIL 12, 2012