



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

Ohio Medicaid Program

*Audit of Medicaid Reimbursements Made to
Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc.*

A Compliance Audit by the:

Medicaid/Contract Audit Section



Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

December 31, 2009

Dr. Timothy Wheeler, President
Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc.
1563 Winchester Ave.
Ashland, Kentucky 41101

Dear Dr. Wheeler:

Attached is our report on Medicaid reimbursements made to Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc., Medicaid provider number 0780212, for the period April 1, 2004 to October 31, 2006. Our audit was performed in accordance with Section 117.10 of the Ohio Revised Code and our letter of arrangement with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS). We identified \$1,145,142.70 in findings plus \$228,652.05 in interest accruals totaling \$1,373,794.75 that are repayable to ODJFS. The findings in the report are a result of non-compliance with Medicaid reimbursement rules published in the Ohio Administrative Code. After December 31, 2009, additional interest will accrue at \$250.99 per day until repayment occurs. Interest is calculated pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code 5101:3-1-25.

We are forwarding this report to ODJFS because as the state agency charged with administering Ohio's Medicaid program, ODJFS is responsible for making a final determination regarding recovery of the findings and any accrued interest. However, if you are in agreement with the findings contained herein, you may expedite repayment by contacting ODJFS' Office of Legal Services at (614) 466-4605.

Copies of this report are being sent to Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc.; the Director and Legal Divisions of ODJFS; the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit of the Ohio Attorney General's Office; the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services/Office of Inspector General; and the Ohio Medical Transportation Board. In addition, copies are available on the Auditor of State website at (www.auditor.state.oh.us).

Dr. Timothy Wheeler
December 31, 2009
Page 2

Questions regarding this report should be directed to Jeffrey Castle, Chief Auditor of the Medicaid/Contract Audit Section, at (614) 466-7894 or toll free at (800) 282-0370.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Taylor".

Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

cc: Kenny Boggs, Statutory Agent, Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc.
Director, Ohio Department of Job and Family Services
Legal Division, Ohio Department of Job and Family Services
Medicaid Fraud Control Unit, Ohio Attorney General
U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services/Office of Inspector General
Ohio Medical Transportation Board

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY OF RESULTS	1
BACKGROUND	1
PURPOSE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY	2
RESULTS	3
Results of Exception Testing	3
Transports Greater than 50 Miles	3
Duplicate Payments	5
Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare.....	6
Base and Attendant Codes Billed for More Than One Unit	7
Transportation Services Billed for Hospital Inpatients.....	7
Duplicate Claims for Ambulance Services Paid for by Both Medicaid and Medicare	8
Summary of Exception Testing	10
Results of Statistical Samples	10
Ambulance Services Sample – Detailed Results	11
Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity	11
Transportation Services Lacking Supporting Documentation.....	13
Incomplete Point of Transport Information	14
Transports Furnished by Non-permitted Vehicles.....	14
Billing for Services Not Performed	15
Incorrectly Billed Mileage	16
Summary of Ambulance Sample Findings	16
Ambulette Services Sample – Detailed Results.....	17
Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity	17
Transportation Services Lacking Supporting Documentation.....	19
Transports Furnished by Non-permitted Vehicles.....	20
Incorrectly Billed Mileage	20
Billing for Services Not Performed	21
Summary of Ambulette Sample Findings.....	21
Summary of Findings.....	22
Matters for Attention.....	22
Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare.....	22
Incomplete Patient Certification on Ambulette CMNs.....	23
Other Observations	23
Required Documentation Lacking for Drivers	24
Lack of Required Vehicle Inspections.....	29
PROVIDER’S RESPONSE.....	29
APPENDIX I	30
APPENDIX II.....	31

ACRONYMS

AOS	Auditor of State
CMN	Certification of Medical Necessity
CMS	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
CPT	Current Procedural Terminology
HCPCS	Healthcare Common Procedural Coding System
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
MMIS	Medicaid Management Information System
ODJFS	Ohio Department of Job and Family Services
Ohio Admin.Code	Ohio Administrative Code
Ohio Rev.Code	Ohio Revised Code
RDOS	Recipient Date of Service

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The Auditor of State performed an audit of Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc., (hereafter called the Provider), provider number 0780212, headquartered at 1563 Winchester Avenue, Ashland, Kentucky, 41101. Within the Medicaid program, the Provider is listed as an ambulance service provider, furnishing both ambulance and ambulette services. An ambulance is defined as a vehicle that is designed to transport individuals in a supine position, while an ambulette is designed to transport individuals sitting in a wheelchair.

We performed our audit in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.10 and our letter of arrangement with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS). As a result of this audit, we identified \$1,145,142.70 in findings, based on reimbursements that did not meet the rules of the Ohio Administrative Code.¹ Additionally, we assessed accrued interest of \$228,652.05, in accordance with Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-25, for a total of \$1,373,794.75 which is repayable to ODJFS as of the release of this audit report. Additional interest of \$250.99 per day will accrue after December 31, 2009, until repayment.

BACKGROUND

Title XIX of the Social Security Act, known as Medicaid, provides federal cost-sharing for each state's Medicaid program. Medicaid provides health coverage to families with low incomes, children, pregnant women, and people who are aged, blind, or who have disabilities. In Ohio, the Medicaid program is administered by ODJFS.

Hospitals, long-term care facilities, managed care organizations, individual practitioners, laboratories, medical equipment suppliers, and others (all called "providers") render medical, dental, laboratory, and other services to Medicaid recipients. The rules and regulations that providers must follow are specified by ODJFS in the Ohio Administrative Code and the Ohio Medicaid Provider Handbook. A fundamental concept underlying the Medicaid program is medical necessity of services: defined as services which are necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of disease, illness, or injury, and which, among other things, meet requirements for reimbursement of Medicaid covered services.² The Auditor of State, working with ODJFS, performs audits to assess Medicaid providers' compliance with reimbursement rules to ensure that services billed are properly documented and consistent with professional standards of care; medical necessity; and sound fiscal, business, or medical practices.

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-29(B)(2) states: " 'Waste and abuse' are defined as practices that are inconsistent with professional standards of care; medical necessity; or sound fiscal, business, or medical practices; and that constitute an over utilization of Medicaid covered services and result in an unnecessary cost to the Medicaid program."

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2(D) states that providers are required: "To maintain all records necessary and in such form so as to fully disclose the extent of services provided and significant

¹ Compliance testing was based on the rules as they existed at the time the service was rendered.

² See Ohio Admin Code 5101:3-1-01(A) and (A)(6).

business transactions. The provider will maintain such records for a period of six years from the date of receipt of payment based upon those records or until any audit initiated within the six year period is completed.”

In addition, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-29(A) states in part: “...In all instances of fraud, waste, and abuse, any amount in excess of that legitimately due to the provider will be recouped by the department through its surveillance and utilization review section, the state auditor, or the office of the attorney general.”

PURPOSE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether the Provider’s Medicaid claims for reimbursement of medical services were in compliance with regulations and to identify, if appropriate, any findings resulting from non-compliance.

Following a notification letter, we held an entrance conference at the Provider’s headquarters on April 15, 2008, to discuss the purpose and scope of our audit. The scope of our audit was limited to claims (not involving Medicaid co-payments for Medicare) for which the Provider rendered services to Medicaid patients and received payment during the period of April 1, 2004 to October 31, 2006. The Provider was reimbursed \$1,148,532.65 for 20,097 services rendered on 6,627 recipient dates of service. A recipient date of service (RDOS) is defined as all services received by a particular recipient on a specific date.

We used the Ohio Revised Code and the Ohio Administrative Code as guidance in determining the extent of services and applicable reimbursement rates. We obtained the Provider’s paid claims history from ODJFS’ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS), which contains an electronic file of services billed to and paid by the Medicaid program. This claims data included but was not limited to: patient name, patient identification number, date of service, and service rendered. Services are billed using Healthcare Common Procedural Coding System (HCPCS) codes issued by the federal government through the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).³

Prior to beginning our fieldwork, we performed a series of computerized tests on the Provider’s Medicaid payments for non-emergency ambulance and ambulette claims to determine if reimbursements were made for potentially inappropriate services or service code combinations. Of these tests, the following resulted in potential overpayments:

³ These codes have been adopted for use as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) transaction data set and are required to be used by states in administering Medicaid. There are three levels to the HCPCS. The first level is the five (5) digit Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) coding system for physician and non physician services promulgated by the American Medical Association. The second level entails alpha-numeric codes for physician and non physician services not included in the CPT codes and are maintained jointly by CMS and other medical and insurance carrier associations. The third level is made up of local level codes needed by contractors and state agencies in processing Medicare and Medicaid claims. Under HIPAA, the level three codes are being phased out but may have been in use during our audit period.

- Claims reimbursed with one-way mileage greater than 50 miles.
- Potential duplicate payments where payments were made for the same recipient on the same date of service with the same procedure code and modifier.
- Ambulance services billed to Medicaid that are potentially covered by Medicare for dually eligible recipients.
- Base and attendant codes billed for more than one unit.
- Claims for transport services billed while the recipient was a hospital inpatient.
- Potential duplicate claims for ambulance transport services billed to both the Medicaid and Medicare programs as the primary insurer for the same recipient, on the same date of service, for the same procedure codes, procedure code modifiers and units.

When performing our audit fieldwork, we reviewed all available documentation for claims identified by our exception testing (i.e., 100 percent review). All claims analyzed as part of our exception testing were separated from the remainder of the Provider's population of claims so as not to double count and overstate any potential findings.

To facilitate an accurate and timely audit of the Provider's remaining medical services, we selected two statistically random samples: one for ambulance services consisting of 254 RDOS and one for ambulette services consisting of 176 RDOS. The total results were then projected across the entire population to determine the total findings.

When performing our audit fieldwork, we requested the Provider's supporting documentation for the sampled RDOS and all potentially inappropriate service code combination claims identified by our exception analyses.

Our fieldwork was primarily performed between April 2008 and January 2009.

RESULTS

We identified findings of \$126,852.70 for services in our exception testing. Additionally, we identified findings from our samples that when projected total \$1,018,290. Together, our findings from our exception testing and projected samples total \$1,145,142.70, the bases of which are discussed below.

Results of Exception Testing

We performed exception testing on the Provider's paid claims for the following issues: claims reimbursed with one-way mileage greater than 50 miles, duplicate payments, ambulance services billed to Medicaid that are potentially covered by Medicare for dually eligible recipients, based and attendant codes billed for more than one unit, services billed while recipient was a hospital inpatient, and duplicate claims for ambulance services paid for by both the Medicaid and Medicare programs as the primary insurer. The results of our review are as follows.

Transports Greater than 50 Miles

Ohio Admin Code section 5101:3-15-03 states in pertinent part:

(H) Medical transportation providers, when providing a non-emergency ground ambulance, or ambulette service must document the reason for transport when the destination occurs outside of the patient's community, (a fifty mile radius from the patient's residence). Mileage greater than fifty miles will not be covered if the provider is unable to produce the documentation which gives the reason for the transport to be out of the patient's community.

We initially identified 1,460 services for trips exceeding 50 one-way miles. Our analysis revealed 168 mileage services that lacked documentation to justify the transport to be out of the patient's community. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for mileage in excess of 50 miles (one-way). For the remaining services we identified the following 3,778 errors that resulted in findings:

- 760 services where the Provider did not supply a certificate of medical necessity (CMN), which certifies the basis for the necessity of the transport;
- 708 services lacked documentation (e.g., trip report) to support that the service billed had actually been rendered;
- 480 services where the CMN received lacked the medical condition to support the medical necessity of the transport;
- 396 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- 362 services where the CMN was completed by an unauthorized practitioner per the Ohio Admin.Code;
- 302 services where the documentation in support of the transport did not contain complete address of the pick-up or drop-off location;
- 262 services where the CMN was not signed by the attending practitioner or an illegible signature was not accompanied with identifying information;
- 236 services provided by a non-permitted vehicle;
- 76 services where the CMN provided does not cover the date of service;
- 74 services where the Provider billed for services rendered by its sister company (i.e., Urgent Care Transport, Inc.);
- 60 services where the CMN was not dated by the attending practitioner;
- 48 services where the number of miles paid exceeded the amount supported in the Provider's documentation;
- 6 services where the patient was transported by ambulette; however, the Provider submitted an ambulance CMN;
- 4 services where the Provider appeared to have billed a duplicate transport; and
- 4 cancelled services where the Provider did not use the required U6 modifier to indicate the appointment was cancelled nor obtain the required documentation.

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$120,090.69 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

Duplicate Payments

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

We identified 249 services where the Provider appeared to have billed for more than one transport for the same recipient on the same date of service. Our analysis revealed 11 services where there was insufficient documentation to verify the services occurred, which could indicate services not rendered or potentially duplicate billed services. For the remaining services we identified the following 601 errors that resulted in findings:

- 87 services where the provider did not supply a CMN;
- 87 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- 79 services lacked documentation (e.g., trip report) to support that the services billed had actually been rendered;
- 79 services where the CMN was completed by an unauthorized practitioner per the Ohio Admin.Code;
- 79 services where the documentation in support of the transport did not contain complete address of the pick-up or drop-off location;
- 71 services where the CMN received lacked the medical condition to support the medical necessity of the transport;
- 63 services provided by a non-permitted vehicle;
- 20 services where the CMN was not dated by the attending practitioner;
- 20 services where the CMN provided does not cover the date of service;
- 12 services where the CMN was not signed by the attending practitioner or an illegible signature was not accompanied with identifying information;
- 2 services where the number of miles paid exceeded the amount supported in the Provider's documentation; and
- 2 services where the Provider billed for services rendered by its sister company (i.e., Urgent Care Transport, Inc.).

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$5,935.51 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-05 states in pertinent part:

(C) When the medicaid consumer is covered by other third party payers, in addition to medicare, medicaid is the payer of last resort. Whether or not medicare is the primary payer, providers must bill all other third party payers prior to submitting a crossover claim to ODJFS in accordance with rule 5101:3-1-08 of the Administrative Code.

We identified ambulance transport services that were provided to dually eligible recipients (persons who are eligible to receive benefits through Medicaid and are also eligible to receive benefits through Medicare Part B for ambulance transportation services). We removed the services rendered to the dually eligible patients from the remaining ambulance exception reports, the ambulance sample, and the sampled ambulance population to avoid double impact. We sent the Provider an exception report detailing those services potentially covered by Medicare that were still within 17 months of their date of service. The letter notified the Provider of our potential findings for 33 ambulance transport services, and requested supporting documentation showing proper billing to and reimbursement by Medicaid. However, after adjusting our audit period and refining our analysis, the number of potential dually eligible services was reduced to 10.

Based on our review of records and the Provider's written response, a total of 10 services were identified with Medicare eligibility and we took findings as follows:

- 6 services where the Provider did not provide a Medicare denial (i.e., explanation of benefit) or supporting substantive documentation explaining why Medicaid should have been billed as the primary payer; and
- 4 services where the Provider had subsequently billed and received payment from Medicare after receiving payment from Medicaid.

In addition, we found another 13 errors associated with these services as follows:

- 8 services where the provider did not supply a CMN or it was virtually incomplete;
- 3 services lacked documentation (e.g., trip report) to support that the services billed had actually been rendered; and

- 2 services where the Provider billed for services rendered by its sister company (i.e., Urgent Care Transport, Inc.).

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$478.14 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

Base and Attendant Codes Billed for More Than One Unit

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part:

(A) To...submit claims only for services actually performed...

(D) To maintain all records necessary and in such form so as to fully disclose the extent of services provided and significant business transactions. The provider will maintain such records for a period of six years from the date of receipt of payment based upon those records or until any audit initiated within the six year period is completed.

Further, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-01, Medical Transportation Services, Definitions, states in pertinent part:

(A)(5) "Attendant" is defined as an individual employed by the transportation provider separate from the basic crew of the ambulance or ambulette vehicle who...is present to aid in the transfer of Medicaid covered patients...

We identified 10 services where the Provider appeared to have billed more than one unit for a transportation base code or an attendant code where only one unit is normally billed. For all 10 services the Provider did not furnish any documentation or CMN to establish that the service was rendered. Findings totaling \$238.32 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

Transportation Services Billed for Hospital Inpatients

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part:

...A provider agreement is a contract between the Ohio department of job and family services and a provider of medicaid covered services. By signing this agreement the provider agrees to comply with the terms of the provider agreement, Revised Code, Administrative Code, and federal statutes and rules; and the provider certifies and agrees:

(A) To...submit claims only for services actually performed...

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03 states in pertinent part:

(E) Service Limitations

The following services are not covered:

(1) Unloaded transports (i.e., no Medicaid patient in the vehicle) ...

Our initial claims analysis identified four transportation services where the Provider appeared to have billed for an ambulance transport while the patient was a hospital inpatient. Our review of documentation identified the following 12 errors that resulted in findings:

- Four services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- Four services provided by a non-permitted vehicle; and
- Four services where the CMN was completed by an unauthorized practitioner per the Ohio Admin.Code.

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$94.44 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

Duplicate Claims for Ambulance Services Paid for by Both Medicaid and Medicare

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-05 states in pertinent part:

(A) Definitions.

- (1) “Medicare” is a federally financed program of hospital insurance (part A) and supplemental medical insurance (also called SMI and/or part B) for aged and disabled persons.

- (6) “Dual Eligibles or Dually Eligible Consumers” are individuals who are entitled to medicare hospital insurance and/or SMI and are eligible for medicaid to pay some form of medicare cost sharing...

- (7) “Medicare Crossover Claim” means any claim that has been submitted to the Ohio department of job and family services (ODJFS) for medicare cost sharing payments after the claim has been adjudicated and paid by the medicare central processor, medicare carrier/intermediary or the medicare managed care plan and the medicare central processor or medicare carrier/intermediary has determined the deductible, coinsurance and/or co-payment amounts. Claims denied by the medicare carrier/intermediary or the medicare managed care plan are not considered medicare crossover claims...

(B) Medicare crossover process.

- (1) The medicare program determines the portion of medicare cost sharing, if any, due to the provider based on medicare’s business rules...

- (3) For medicare crossover claims, the total sum of the payments made by ODJFS, medicare and/or all other third party payers is considered payment in full...

- (b) If payment (other than the cost sharing amounts) is inadvertently received from both medicare and medicaid for the same service, the ODJFS claims adjustment unit must be notified in accordance with the provisions set forth in rule 5101:3-1-19.8 of the Administrative Code.

Furthermore, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

Finally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03(A)(2)(j) states,

Ambulance services to all eligible medicare patient are to be billed to medicare. If the patient has medicare coverage, the department will reimburse only part-B co-insurance and deductible amounts.

Our exception test identified three claims where the Provider appeared to bill both Medicaid and Medicare as the primary payer for the same patient and service. We identified these services by matching claims where Medicaid paid the Medicare co-insurance and deductible amounts with those where Medicaid was billed directly and paid as primary insurer. The matching was done by recipient, date of service, procedure code and procedure code modifier. Our review revealed that Medicaid was billed for these claims. Medicaid properly denied the base code due to Medicare coverage but paid the billed mileage for all three claims, resulting in duplicate payments. Because Medicaid is considered “the payer of last resort,” it paid for services already covered by Medicare. Additionally, the Provider was unable to furnish supporting trip documentation for two of these services and it billed for one of these services that was actually rendered by its sister company (i.e., Urgent Care Transport, Inc.).

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$15.60 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

Summary of Exception Testing

Total combined findings of \$126,852.70 resulted from our exception tests. Some of the more common errors identified during our exception testing included transportation services lacking supporting documentation including a CMN to justify the medical necessity of the service; services where the CMNs provided were not completed by authorized practitioners, were missing required information (e.g., medical condition), or indicated that the patients did not meet conditions for a covered transport; services provided by non-permitted vehicles; and services billed by the Provider but furnished by its sister company.

Results of Statistical Samples

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-27 (B)(1) states:

“Audit” means a formal postpayment examination, made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, of a medicare provider’s records and documentation to determine program compliance, the extent and validity of services paid for under the medicare program and to identify any inappropriate

payments. The department shall have the authority to use statistical methods to conduct audits and to determine the amount of overpayment. An audit may result in a final adjudication order by the department.

We selected two statistically random samples that were stratified based on the amount paid for services. One sample was for ambulance services and the other was for ambulance services. Our samples were chosen from the remaining population of services after removing all claims associated with our exception testing.

The findings were then projected across the total sampled populations, resulting in a total finding of \$1,018,290.

Ambulance Services Sample – Detailed Results

Our stratified random sample of 254 ambulance RDOS (involving 914 services) identified 244 RDOS (876 services) with a combination of 2,182 errors resulting in a projected population overpayment of \$547,951. There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. The bases for these errors are presented below.

Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-01 states in pertinent part:

- (A) The following definitions are applicable to this chapter:
 - (6) “Attending practitioner” is defined as the practitioner (i.e., primary care practitioner or specialist) who provides care and treatment to the patient on an ongoing basis and who can certify the medical necessity for the transport. ...Practitioners must hold a valid and current license or certification to practice as at least one of the following:
 - (a) A doctor of medicine
 - (b) A doctor of osteopathy
 - (c) A doctor of podiatric medicine
 - (d) An advance practice nurse (APN).

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (E), Documentation requirements states in pertinent part:

- (1) Providers of air ambulance, ambulance and ambulance services must maintain records which fully describe the extent of services provided. Services are not eligible for reimbursement if documentation specified is

not maintained prior to billing the department and maintained in accordance with paragraphs (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule.

- (2) Records which must be maintained include, but are not limited to, the records listed in paragraph (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule.

- (b) The original “practitioner certification form”, completed by the attending practitioner documenting the medical necessity of the transport, in accordance with this rule; and...

- (4) Practitioner certification form

- (a) The attending practitioner, or a hospital discharge planner or a registered nurse acting under the orders of the attending practitioner in accordance with paragraph (E)(4)(b) of this rule, must complete a “Practitioner Certification Form” for all medical transportation services...

- (b)...a registered nurse, with an order from the attending practitioner, may write the practitioner’s name on the ordering line of the practitioner certification form, sign his or her own full name and the professional letters “R.N.” after the practitioner’s name on the signature line and enter the date of the signature. The professional letters “R.N.” must follow the nurse’s last name or;

A hospital discharge planner with a written order from the attending practitioner, may write the practitioner’s name on the ordering line of the practitioner certification form, sign his or her own full name on the signature line and enter the date of signature...

- (c) Medical condition

The practitioner certification form must state the specific medical conditions related to the ambulatory status of the patient which contraindicate transportation by any other means on the date of the transport, and use the correct form which specifies the mode of medical transportation (ambulance or ambulette) the patient will

require. The attending practitioner must clearly specify the condition of the patient which renders transport by ambulance or ambulette medically necessary.

- (d) The practitioner certification form is required to certify that ambulance and ambulette services are medically necessary. The completed practitioner certification form must be signed and dated no more than one hundred eighty days after the first date of transport. The completed, signed and dated practitioner certification form must be obtained by the transportation provider before billing the department for the transport. The date of signature entered on the practitioner certification form must be the date that the practitioner certification form was actually signed and must be prior to the date of the claim submission.

During our review of the documentation submitted by the Provider, we found numerous errors with practitioner certification forms (i.e., CMNs), which certify the basis for the necessity of the transport. Based on our review, we took findings due to the following 1,295 errors:

- 606 services where the Provider did not supply a CMN;
- 184 services where the CMN received lacked the medical condition to support the medical necessity of the transport;
- 153 services where the CMN was completed by an unauthorized practitioner per the Ohio Admin.Code;
- 133 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- 93 services where the CMN was not signed by an authorized practitioner per the Ohio Admin.Code or an illegible signature was not accompanied with identifying information;
- 60 services where the CMN supplied did not cover the date of service;
- 56 services where the signature of the practitioner signing the CMN was not dated; and
- 10 services where the patient was transported by ambulance and the Provider billed for an ambulance service; however, an ambulette CMN was supplied as support.

Without a properly completed CMN, we could not determine the medical necessity of the transportation service. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for those services with an improperly completed CMN and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Transportation Services Lacking Supporting Documentation

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (E)(2)(a) states:

A record or set of records for all transports on that date of service which documents time of scheduled pick up and drop off, full name(s) of attendant(s), full name(s) of patient(s), Medicaid patient number, full name of driver, vehicle

identification, full name of the Medicaid covered service provider which is one of the Medicaid covered point(s) of transport, pick-up and drop-off times, complete Medicaid covered point(s) of transport addresses, the type of transport provided, and mileage; and

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 (D) states:

To maintain all records necessary and in such form so as to fully disclose the extent of services provided and significant business transactions. The provider will maintain such records for a period of six years from the date of receipt of payment based upon those records or until any audit initiated within the six year period is completed.

We determined that 613 services lacked documentation (e.g., trip report) to support the service billed had actually been rendered. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Incomplete Point of Transport Information

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (E), Documentation requirements, states in pertinent part:

- (1) Providers of air ambulance, ambulance and ambulette services must maintain records which fully describe the extent of services provided. Services are not eligible for reimbursement if the documentation specified is not obtained prior to billing the department . . .
- (2) Records which must be maintained include, but are not limited to, the records listed in paragraph (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule. . . .
 - (a) A record or set of records for all transports on that date of service which documents time of scheduled pick up and drop off, full name(s) of attendant(s), full name(s) of patient(s), medicaid patient number, full name of driver, vehicle identification, full name of the medicaid covered service provider which is one of the medicaid covered point(s) of transport, pick-up and drop-off times, complete medicaid covered point(s) of transport addresses, the type of transport provided, and mileage; and

We identified 194 services where the Provider did not provide complete addresses for points of transport origin or destination. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Transports Furnished by Non-permitted Vehicles

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(A)(2) states:

Federal, state and local laws and regulations

Providers of air ambulance, ambulance and ambulette services must operate in accordance with all applicable local, state, and federal laws and regulations, including any applicable requirements developed by the Ohio medical transportation board as provided in Chapter 4765. of the Revised Code or applicable requirements developed for transportation in accordance Chapter 4766. of the Revised Code.

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(B)(1) states in pertinent part:

Certification Requirements

All providers of ground ambulance services must be certified under and participating in Medicare. All Ohio providers of ground ambulance services must be licensed in accordance with Chapter 4766. of the Revised Code and comply with all specifications of Chapter 4766. of the Revised Code, unless the provider is exempt from licensure as specified in section 4766.09 of the Revised Code...

Additionally, Ohio Rev.Code Section 4766.07(A) states in pertinent part:

Except as otherwise provided by rule of the Ohio medical transportation board, each emergency medical service organization, nonemergency medical service organization, and air medical service organization subject to licensure under this chapter shall possess a valid permit for each ambulance, ambulette, rotorcraft air ambulance, fixed wing air ambulance, and nontransport vehicle it owns or leases that is or will be used by the licensee to perform the services permitted by the license...

We identified 36 services where the Provider furnished ambulance services with vehicles that did not have permits from the Ohio Medical Transportation Board as required by law. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Billing for Services Not Performed

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

We identified 29 services where the Provider billed for services rendered by its sister company (i.e., Urgent Care Transport, Inc.). We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Incorrectly Billed Mileage

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-01(A)(14) states in pertinent part:

“Loaded mileage” is defined as the number of miles a patient is transported in the ambulance or ambulette to or from a Medicaid covered service....

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

We identified 15 services where the Provider was overpaid mileage for transports. In these instances, we determined that the Provider billed in excess of what its records supported. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for the excess billed mileage and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Summary of Ambulance Sample Findings

The overpayments identified for 244 of 254 RDOS (involving 876 of 914 services) from our stratified random sample of ambulance transportation services were projected across the Provider’s population of ambulance paid recipient dates of service, excluding those already selected for 100 percent review. This resulted in a projected overpayment amount of \$547,951 with a precision of plus or minus \$17,603 (3.21 percent) at the 95 percent confidence level. However, due to moderate skewness in the sample results, an adjustment was made to the confidence interval, resulting in an adjusted precision of +/- \$33,450 (6.10 percent). A detailed summary of our statistical sample and projection results is presented in Appendix I.

Ambulette Services Sample – Detailed Results

Our stratified random sample of 176 ambulette RDOS (involving 681 services) identified 164 RDOS (631 services) with a combination of 1,639 errors resulting in a projected population overpayment of \$470,339. There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. The bases for these errors are presented below.

Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-01 states in pertinent part:

- (A) The following definitions are applicable to this chapter:
- (6) “Attending practitioner” is defined as the practitioner (i.e., primary care practitioner or specialist) who provides care and treatment to the patient on an ongoing basis and who can certify the medical necessity for the transport. ...Practitioners must hold a valid and current license or certification to practice as at least one of the following:
- (a) A doctor of medicine
 - (b) A doctor of osteopathy
 - (c) A doctor of podiatric medicine
 - (d) An advance practice nurse (APN).

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (E), Documentation requirements states in pertinent part:

- (1) Providers of air ambulance, ambulance and ambulette services must maintain records which fully describe the extent of services provided. Services are not eligible for reimbursement if documentation specified is not maintained prior to billing the department and maintained in accordance with paragraphs (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule.
- (2) Records which must be maintained include, but are not limited to, the records listed in paragraph (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule.

- (b)The original “practitioner certification form”, completed by the attending practitioner, documenting the medical necessity of the transport, in accordance with this rule;

- (4) Practitioner certification form

- (a) The attending practitioner, or a hospital discharge planner or a registered nurse acting under the orders of the attending practitioner in accordance with paragraph (E)(4)(b) of this rule, must complete a "Practitioner Certification Form" for all medical transportation services...
- (b)...a registered nurse, with an order from the attending practitioner, may write the practitioner's name on the ordering line of the practitioner certification form, sign his or her own full name and the professional letters "R.N." after the practitioner's name on the signature line and enter the date of the signature. The professional letters "R.N." must follow the nurse's last name or;

A hospital discharge planner with a written order from the attending practitioner, may write the practitioner's name on the ordering line of the practitioner certification form, sign his or her own full name on the signature line and enter the date of signature...

- (c) Medical condition

The practitioner certification form must state the specific medical conditions related to the ambulatory status of the patient which contraindicate transportation by any other means on the date of the transport, and use the correct form which specifies the mode of medical transportation (ambulance or ambulette) the patient will require. The attending practitioner must clearly specify the condition of the patient which renders transport by ambulance or ambulette medically necessary.

- (d) The practitioner certification form is required to certify that ambulance and ambulette services are medically necessary. The completed practitioner certification form must be signed and dated no more than one hundred eighty days after the first date of transport. The completed, signed and dated practitioner certification form must be obtained by the transportation provider before billing the department for the transport. The date of signature entered on the practitioner certification form must be the date that the practitioner certification form was actually signed and must be prior to the date of the claim submission.

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03 (B)(2), Covered ambulette transports states in pertinent part:

- (a) The ambulette services must be medically necessary...

During our review of the documentation submitted by the Provider, we found numerous errors with the practitioner certification form (i.e., CMN), which certifies the basis for the necessity of the transport. Based on our review, we took findings due to the following 1,051 errors:

- 483 services where the Provider did not supply a CMN;
- 122 services where the CMN was completed by an unauthorized practitioner per the Ohio Admin.Code;
- 114 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- 102 services where the CMN received lacked the medical condition to support the medical necessity of the transport;
- 102 services where the documentation in support of the transport did not contain complete address of the pick-up or drop-off location;
- 50 services where the CMN was not signed by an authorized practitioner per the Ohio Admin.Code or an illegible signature was not accompanied with identifying information;
- 40 services where the CMN supplied did not cover the date of service;
- 32 services where the signature of the practitioner signing the CMN was not dated; and
- 6 services where the patient was transported by ambulette and the Provider billed for an ambulette service; however, an ambulance CMN was supplied as support.

Without a properly completed CMN, we could not determine the medical necessity of the transportation service. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for those services with an improperly completed CMN and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Transportation Services Lacking Supporting Documentation

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (E)(2)(a) states:

A record or set of records for all transports on that date of service which documents time of scheduled pick up and drop off, full name(s) of attendant(s), full name(s) of patient(s), Medicaid patient number, full name of driver, vehicle identification, full name of the Medicaid covered service provider which is one of the Medicaid covered point(s) of transport, pick-up and drop-off times, complete Medicaid covered point(s) of transport addresses, the type of transport provided, and mileage; and

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 (D) states:

To maintain all records necessary and in such form so as to fully disclose the extent of services provided and significant business transactions. The provider will maintain such records for a period of six years from the date of receipt of

payment based upon those records or until any audit initiated within the six year period is completed.

We determined that 431 services lacked documentation (e.g., trip report) to support the service billed had actually been rendered. The amounts reimbursed for these services were used in calculating the projected finding.

Transports Furnished by Non-permitted Vehicles

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(A)(2) states:

Federal, state and local laws and regulations

Providers of air ambulance, ambulance and ambulette services must operate in accordance with all applicable local, state, and federal laws and regulations, including any applicable requirements developed by the Ohio medical transportation board as provided in Chapter 4765. of the Revised Code or applicable requirements developed for transportation in accordance Chapter 4766. of the Revised Code.

Additionally, Ohio Rev.Code Section 4766.07(A) states in pertinent part:

Except as otherwise provided by rule of the Ohio medical transportation board, each emergency medical service organization, nonemergency medical service organization, and air medical service organization subject to licensure under this chapter shall possess a valid permit for each ambulance, ambulette, rotorcraft air ambulance, fixed wing air ambulance, and nontransport vehicle it owns or leases that is or will be used by the licensee to perform the services permitted by the license...

We identified 138 services where the Provider furnished ambulette services with vehicles that did not have permits from the Ohio Medical Transportation Board as required by law. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Incorrectly Billed Mileage

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-01(A)(14) states in pertinent part:

“Loaded mileage” is defined as the number of miles a patient is transported in the ambulance or ambulette to or from a Medicaid covered service....

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

We identified 11 services where the Provider was over paid mileage for transports. In these instances, we determined that the Provider billed in excess of what its records supported. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for the excess billed mileage and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Billing for Services Not Performed

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

We identified eight services where the Provider billed for services rendered by its sister company (i.e., Urgent Care Transport, Inc.). We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and used this amount in calculating the projected finding.

Summary of Ambulette Sample Findings

The overpayments identified for 164 of 176 RDOS (involving 631 of 681 services) from our stratified random sample of ambulette transportation services were projected across the Provider's population of ambulance paid recipient dates of service, excluding those already selected for 100 percent review. This resulted in a projected overpayment amount of \$470,339 with a precision of plus or minus \$17,771 (3.78 percent) at the 95 percent confidence level. However, due to moderate skewness in the sample results, an adjustment was made to the confidence interval, resulting in an adjusted precision of +/- \$30,359 (6.46 percent). A detailed summary of our statistical sample and projection results is presented in Appendix II.

Summary of Findings

A total of \$1,145,142.70 in findings was identified. These findings result from the combination of our exception testing (\$126,852.70) and our statistical sample projections (\$1,018,290). For those services selected in our exception testing and samples, we reviewed all corresponding records in their entirety (i.e., 100 percent review).

Matters for Attention

Although the following matters did not result in monetary findings during the current audit, we are bringing them to the attention of the Provider as areas of non-compliance as they could result in future findings. We are also bringing these issues to the attention of ODJFS as the state single agency responsible for the Medicaid program in Ohio, ODJFS is well positioned to educate providers and improve system controls to curtail these issues.

Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-05 states in pertinent part:

(C) When the medicaid consumer is covered by other third party payers, in addition to medicare, medicaid is the payer of last resort. Whether or not medicare is the primary payer, providers must bill all other third party payers prior to submitting a crossover claim to ODJFS in accordance with rule 5101:3-1-08 of the Administrative Code.

Based on our testing, we initially identified 362 ambulance services that were provided to dually eligible recipients that were paid for by Medicaid that were potentially covered by Medicare Part B. With Medicare Part B reimbursement, Medicaid would have been the secondary payor, and would have only reimbursed the Provider for the Medicare coinsurance and deductible amounts. However, since 352 of these services were beyond the time period in which they could have been re-billed to Medicare, no final determination could be made or finding collected. Medicaid could have paid as much as \$15,441.35 for these services.

In order to avoid future findings in this area, we recommend that the Provider review its procedures to ensure that recipient eligibility is fully determined and that Medicare and other insurance carriers are billed prior to Medicaid, as it is the payor of last resort.

Incomplete Patient Certification on Ambulette CMNs

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03(B)(2) states in pertinent part:

Covered ambulette transports

Except as provided elsewhere in this chapter, ambulette services are covered only when all the requirements are met.

- (a) The ambulette services must be medically necessary as specified below:
 - (i) The individual has been determined and certified by the attending practitioner to be nonambulatory at the time of transport as defined in paragraph (A)(20) of rule 5101:3-15-01 of the Administrative Code; and
 - (ii) The attending practitioner has certified that the individual does not require ambulance services: the individual does not use passenger vehicles as defined in paragraph (A)(20) of rule 5101:3-15-01 of the Administrative Code as transport to non-Medicaid services.; and the individual is physically able to be safely transported in a wheelchair.

During the course of our audit, we identified 398 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that an ambulance was not required on the ambulette CMN supplied by the Provider, per the Ohio Admin.Code. A majority of these services occurred in conjunction with other errors, including those related to the CMN.

In order to avoid potential future findings in this area, we recommend that the Provider review its procedures to ensure that ambulette CMNs used to support services billed are completed in their entirety.

Other Observations

We reviewed a selection of the Provider's employee files and other documentation maintained to determine if the Provider complied with select driver and vehicle requirements per the Ohio Administrative Code. We selected drivers for testing from those who were identified as part of our exception and sample tests, using a random number generator.

We found numerous problems with those drivers tested that would have precluded the driver from being allowed to render services under Medicaid rules. However, in those instances where a service could be tied to an ineligible driver, the reimbursements were ultimately disallowed for other reasons in all cases. Further, failure to comply with applicable regulations could place patients in harm's way and jeopardize the Provider's status with the Medicaid program.

Required Documentation Lacking for Drivers

We reviewed the Provider's employment files for 26 drivers (14 ambulance drivers, 7 ambulette drivers, and 5 drivers who provided both service types) to determine if required procedures were followed and required documentation was kept on file. Our results are as follows:

Lack of Driving Record Reviews

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(B)(2)(f) states:

Effective January 1, 2004, each ambulance driver must provide from the bureau of motor vehicles his/her driving record at the time of application for employment and annually thereafter. The date of the driving record submitted at the time of application must be no more than fourteen calendar days prior to the date of application for employment. Persons having six or more points on their driving record in accordance with section 4507.02 of the Revised Code cannot be an ambulance driver. Providers may use documentation from their commercial insurance carrier as proof the standard in this paragraph has been met.

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(C)(3)(a)(vi) states:

Each ambulette driver must provide from the bureau of motor vehicles his/her driving record at the time of application for employment and annually thereafter. The date of the driving record submitted at the time of application must be no more than fourteen calendar days prior to the date of application for employment. Persons having six or more points on their driving record in accordance with section 4507.02 of the Revised Code cannot be an ambulette driver. Providers may use documentation from their commercial insurance carrier as proof the standard in this paragraph has been met.

Our review of 26 personnel files revealed that all 26 lacked evidence of a BMV or equivalent driving record review or that they were obtained annually.

Driver's Licenses

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(E)(2) states in pertinent part:

Records which must be maintained include but are not limited to, the records listed in paragraph (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule. All records and documentation required by this rule must be retained in accordance with rules 5101:3-1-17.2 and 5101:3-1-27 of the Administrative Code.

(d) Copies of the pilot's/driver's/attendant's certification or licensure, which must be current at the time of transport, in accordance with paragraph (D)(2) of this rule for air ambulance, paragraph (B)(2) of this rule for ambulance and paragraph (C)(3) of this rule for ambulette.

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(B)(2) states in pertinent part:

Driver and attendant qualifications

Providers of ambulance services must maintain on file records verifying that drivers and attendants meet the following requirements on the date of the transportation service:

(a) Each individual who functions primarily as an ambulance driver complies with local, state and federal laws and regulations.

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (C)(3)(a)(viii) states:

Each ambulette driver must have a valid driver's license and be 18 years or older.

Our review of 26 personnel files revealed that 14 lacked evidence of a valid driver's license.

Lack of Criminal Background Checks for Drivers

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(C)(3) states in pertinent part:

(a)(iii)

Each ambulette driver and each attendant must submit himself for criminal background checks in accordance with section 109.572 of the Revised Code. Any applicant or employee who has been convicted of or pleaded guilty to violations cited in divisions (A)(1)(a), (A)(2)(a), (A)(4)(a), and/or (A)(5)(a) of section 109.572 of the Revised Code shall not provide services to Medicaid patients unless the exceptions set forth in paragraphs (A) and (B) of rule 3701-13-06 of the Administrative Code apply.

(b) A provider may employ an applicant on a temporary provisional basis pending the results of the required information set forth in paragraphs (C)(3)(a) (iii), (C)(3)(a)(iv) and (C)(3)(a)(v) of this rule if the following conditions are met . Providers who are in the process of becoming an enrolled provider cannot hire applicants on a temporary provisional basis.

(i) The length of the temporary provisional period shall be sixty days or the period established by another state government agency or board with the authority under Ohio law to regulate providers of ambulance services, whichever is greater.

(ii) No applicant shall be accepted for permanent employment as an ambulance driver or attendant unless all the requirements of paragraph (C)(3)(a) of this rule have been met.

Our review of the personnel records of the 12 drivers who provided ambulance services revealed that 10 lacked evidence that a criminal background check was performed.

Lack of Driver and Attendant EMT Qualification

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (B)(2) states in pertinent part:

Driver and attendant qualifications

Providers of ambulance services must maintain on file records verifying that drivers and attendants meet the following requirements on the date of the transportation service:

- (a) Each individual who functions primarily as an ambulance driver complies with local, state and federal laws and regulations.
- (b) Qualifications of each ambulance driver meets the specifications set forth in Chapters 4765. and 4766. of the Ohio Revised Code; and
- (c) Each ambulance attendant must have a current emergency medical technician (EMT) certification card issued by the division of emergency medical services (EMS) under the Ohio department of public safety; and

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03 (A)(2)(e) states:

The transport must be staffed with the appropriate basic crewmembers corresponding to the level of service billed.

- (i) The basic crew for a basic life support ambulance is defined as at least two emergency medical technicians (EMTs) as

described in section 4765.43 of the Revised Code and the driver if the driver is not one of the two emergency medical technicians.

(ii) The basic crew for an advanced life support ambulance is defined as at least two emergency medical technicians (EMTs) as described in section 4765.43 of the Revised Code and the driver if the driver is not one of the two emergency medical technicians.

(iii) The basic crew for specialty care transport must be in accordance with Chapters 4765. and 4766. of the Revised Code.

Our review of personnel records for 19 drivers who provided ambulance services found that 5 lacked evidence of an EMT certification.

Lack of CPR/First Aid Certification

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (C)(3)(a)(ii) states:

Each driver and each attendant must have a current card issued and signed by a certified trainer as proof of successful completion of the “American Red Cross” (or equivalent certifying organization) basic course in first aid and a CPR certificate or EMT certification. A copy of both sides of the card must be maintained by the provider and provided upon request to the department or their designee. All current employees must provide their current card (not a copy) for inspection upon request to ODJFS or its designee. Providers of ambulance services may keep and produce the current card on behalf of the employee upon request to ODJFS or its designee.

Our review of personnel records for 12 drivers who provided ambulance services found that 3 lacked evidence of certification in first aid, CPR or as an EMT.

Lack of Passenger Assistance Training

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(C)(3) states in pertinent part:

(a)(vii) Each ambulance driver and each attendant must have completed a passenger assistance training course to include at a minimum the basic characteristics of major disabling conditions affecting ambulation, basic considerations for

functional factors, management of wheelchairs, assistance and transfer techniques, environmental considerations, and emergency procedures.

Our review of personnel records for 12 drivers who provided ambulette services found that all 12 lacked evidence of having completed the required passenger assistance training.

Lack of Medical and Drug Screening

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02(C)(3) states in pertinent part:

(a) (iv) Each ambulette driver and each attendant must provide a signed statement from a licensed physician declaring that they do not have a medical condition, a physical condition, including a vision impairment (not corrected), and a hearing impairment (not corrected), or mental condition which could interfere with safe driving, safe passenger assistance, the provision of emergency treatment activity, or could jeopardize the health or welfare of patients being transported.

(v) Each ambulette driver must undergo testing for alcohol and controlled substances by a laboratory certified for such testing under CLIA and be determined to be drug and alcohol free...

(b) A provider may employ an applicant on a temporary provisional basis pending the results of the required information set forth in paragraphs (C)(3)(a) (iii), (C)(3)(a)(iv) and (C)(3)(a)(v) of this rule if the following conditions are met. Providers who are in the process of becoming an enrolled provider cannot hire applicants on a temporary provisional basis.

(i) The length of the temporary provisional period shall be sixty days or the period established by another state government agency or board with the authority under Ohio law to regulate providers of ambulette services, whichever is greater.

(ii) No applicant shall be accepted for permanent employment as an ambulette driver or attendant unless all the requirements of paragraph (C)(3)(a) of this rule have been met.

Our review of personnel records for 12 drivers who provided ambulette services found that all 12 lacked evidence of having completed the required drug screening and medical evaluations.

Lack of Required Vehicle Inspections

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 states in pertinent part:

(C) Eligible providers of ambulette services.

(2) All providers of ambulette services must comply with the following regulations and provide documentation of compliance to the department upon request:

(a) Each provider must conduct daily inspection and testing of the hydraulic lift or access ramp prior to transporting any wheelchair bound patient; and

(b) Each provider must complete vehicle inspection documentation in the form of a checklist to include at a minimum that the following was performed: the daily inspection and testing of the wheelchair restraints, wheelchair lifts and/or access ramps, the lights, the windshield wipers/washers, the emergency equipment, mirrors, and the brakes; and

(c) Each provider must provide evidence that at least an annual vehicle inspection was completed on each vehicle by the Ohio state highway patrol safety inspection unit, or a certified mechanic, and the vehicle has been determined to be in good working condition.

While the Provider stated that it performs daily vehicle inspections, the Provider did not maintain documentation of the daily inspections. Our analysis further revealed that there was no evidence in the Provider's daily inspection reports that wheelchair lifts and access ramps are tested prior to transporting wheelchair-bound patients.

PROVIDER'S RESPONSE

Detailed lists of services for which we took findings were mailed to the Provider on June 24, 2009. The Provider was afforded 10 business days from receipt of the detailed lists to furnish additional documentation to substantiate the services for which we took findings or otherwise respond in writing. Upon receipt of the detailed lists, the Provider's legal counsel indicated that the Provider would not furnish any additional information. A draft audit report was then mailed to the Provider on August 28, 2009, for final review and response. Prior to AOS finalizing the audit, the Provider ceased operations. The Provider did not submit any written or verbal response to the audit report.

APPENDIX I

Summary of Sample Record Analysis for Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc.
For the period April 1, 2004 through October 31, 2006
Ambulance Sample Population – Provider Number 0780212

Description	Audit Period [April 1, 2004 – Oct. 31, 2006]
Type of Examination	Stratified Random Sample
Description of Population Sampled	All paid ambulance services excluding Medicare co-payments, and exceptions tests
Total Medicaid Amount Paid For Population Sampled	\$615,631
Number of Population Recipient Dates of Service	3,582
Number of Population Services Provided	12,661
Amount Paid for Services Sampled	\$46,773
Number of Recipient Dates of Service Sampled	254
Number of Services Sampled	914
Estimated Overpayment using Point Estimate	\$547,951
Upper Limit Overpayment Estimate at 95% Confidence Level (corrected for skewness)	\$581,391
Lower Limit Overpayment Estimate at 95% Confidence Level (corrected for skewness)	\$519,039
Adjusted Precision: Upper Limit	+/- \$33,450 (6.10%)
Lower Limit	+/- \$28,903 (5.27%) ⁴
Finding Amount	\$547,951

⁴ Correction to upper and lower limit confidence levels using method described in "Sampling Methods for the Auditor, an Advanced Treatment" by Herbert Arkin, McGraw-Hill, 1982. This technique uses tables provided by E.S. Pearson and H.O. Hartley, Biometrika Tables for Statisticians, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1954, table 42.

APPENDIX II

Summary of Sample Record Analysis for Portsmouth Ambulance Service, Inc.
For the period April 1, 2004 through October 31, 2006
Ambulette Sample Population – Provider Number 0780212

Description	Audit Period [April 1, 2004 – Oct. 31, 2006]
Type of Examination	Stratified Random Sample
Description of Population Sampled	All paid ambulette services excluding Medicare co-payments, and exceptions tests
Total Medicaid Amount Paid For Population Sampled	\$505,930
Number of Population Recipient Dates of Service	7,401
Number of Population Services Provided	29,545
Amount Paid for Services Sampled	\$12,466
Number of Recipient Dates of Service Sampled	176
Number of Services Sampled	681
Estimated Overpayment using Point Estimate	\$470,339
Upper Limit Overpayment Estimate at 95% Confidence Level (corrected for skewness)	\$500,673
Lower Limit Overpayment Estimate at 95% Confidence Level (corrected for skewness)	\$445,267
Adjusted Precision: Upper Limit	+/- \$30,359 (6.46%)
Lower Limit	+/- \$25,047 (5.33%) ⁵
Finding Amount	\$470,339

⁵ Correction to upper and lower limit confidence levels using method described in "Sampling Methods for the Auditor, an Advanced Treatment" by Herbert Arkin, McGraw-Hill, 1982. This technique uses tables provided by E.S. Pearson and H.O. Hartley, Biometrika Tables for Statisticians, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1954, table 42.

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

PORTSMOUTH AMBULANCE SERVICE, INC.

SCIOTO COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
DECEMBER 31, 2009**