



Mary Taylor, CPA  
Auditor of State

---

## Ohio Medicaid Program

*Audit of Medicaid Reimbursements Made to  
Mid-Ohio Ambulance Service, Inc.*

---

*A Compliance Audit by the:*

**Medicaid/Contract Audit Section**





# Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

March 31, 2009

James W. Smith, President  
Mid-Ohio Ambulance Service, Inc.  
P.O. Box 985  
Lancaster, Ohio 43130

Dear Mr. Smith:

Attached is our report on Medicaid reimbursements made to Mid-Ohio Ambulance Service, Inc. Medicaid provider number 0325080, for the period July 1, 2003 to June 30, 2006. Our audit was performed in accordance with Section 117.10 of the Ohio Revised Code and our letter of arrangement with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS). We identified \$10,868.69 in findings plus \$2,248.78 in interest accruals totaling \$13,117.47 that is repayable to ODJFS. The findings in the report are a result of non-compliance with Medicaid reimbursement rules published in the Ohio Administrative Code. After March 31, 2009, additional interest will accrue at \$2.38 per day until repayment occurs. Interest is calculated pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code Section 5101:3-1-25.

We are forwarding this report to ODJFS because as the state agency charged with administering Ohio's Medicaid program, ODJFS is responsible for making a final determination regarding recovery of the findings and any accrued interest. However, if you are in agreement with the findings contained herein, you may expedite repayment by contacting ODJFS' Office of Legal Services at (614) 466-4605.

Copies of this report are being sent to Mid-Ohio Ambulance Service, Inc.; the Director and Legal Divisions of ODJFS; the Ohio Attorney General; Health and Human Services/Office of Inspector General, and the Ohio Medical Transportation Board. In addition, copies are available on the Auditor of State website at ([www.auditor.state.oh.us](http://www.auditor.state.oh.us)).

James W. Smith  
March 31, 2009  
Page 2

Questions regarding this report should be directed to Jeffrey Castle, Chief Auditor of the Medicaid/Contract Audit Section, at (614) 466-7894 or toll free at (800) 282-0370.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Taylor".

Mary Taylor, CPA  
Auditor of State

cc: Mid-Ohio Ambulance Service, Inc.  
Director, Ohio Department of Job and Family Services  
Legal Division, Ohio Department of Job and Family Services  
Ohio Attorney General  
Health and Human Services/Office of Inspector General  
Ohio Medical Transportation Board

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY OF RESULTS .....	1
BACKGROUND .....	1
PURPOSE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY .....	2
RESULTS .....	3
Results of Exception Testing .....	4
Roundtrip Mileage Combined on Single One-way Mileage Service.....	4
Same Day Services for Both Ambulance and Ambulette .....	5
Transports Greater than 50 miles .....	6
Ambulance Base Codes and Attendant Codes Billed for More Than One Unit.....	6
Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare.....	7
Duplicate Claims for Ambulance Services Paid for by Both Medicaid and Medicare .....	8
Duplicate Claims.....	10
Summary of Exception Testing .....	10
Results of Statistical Samples .....	11
Ambulance Services Sample – Detailed Results .....	11
Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity .....	11
Transportation Services Lacking Supporting Documentation.....	13
Roundtrip Mileage Combined on Single One-way Mileage Service.....	14
Summary of Ambulance Sample Findings .....	14
Ambulette Services Sample – Detailed Results.....	15
Patient Not Certified as Meeting Conditions for Covered Transport .....	15
Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity .....	16
Roundtrip Mileage Combined on Single One-way Mileage Service.....	17
Summary of Ambulette Sample Findings.....	18
Summary of Findings.....	18
Matters for Attention.....	18
Incomplete Patient Certification on Ambulette CMNs.....	19
Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare.....	19
PROVIDER’S RESPONSE .....	20

**ACRONYMS**

AOS	Auditor of State
CMN	Certification of Medical Necessity
CMS	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
CPT	Current Procedural Terminology
HCPCS	Healthcare Common Procedural Coding System
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
MMIS	Medicaid Management Information System
ODJFS	Ohio Department of Job and Family Services
Ohio Admin.Code	Ohio Administrative Code
Ohio Rev.Code	Ohio Revised Code
RDOS	Recipient Date of Service

---

## **SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

---

The Auditor of State performed an audit of Mid-Ohio Transportation Services (hereafter called the Provider), provider number 0325080, doing business at 655 S. Columbus Street Lancaster, Ohio 43130. Within the Medicaid program, the Provider is listed as an ambulance and ambulette service provider. Ambulances are defined as vehicles designed to transport individuals in a supine position, while ambulettes are designed to transport individuals sitting in wheelchairs.

We performed our audit in accordance with Ohio Rev.Code §117.10 and our letter of arrangement with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS). As a result of this audit, we identified \$10,868.69 in findings, based on reimbursements that did not meet the rules of the Ohio Administrative Code.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, we assessed accrued interest of \$2,248.78, in accordance with Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-25, for a total of \$13,117.47, which is repayable to ODJFS as of the release of this audit report. Additional interest of \$2.38 per day will accrue after March 31, 2009, until repayment.

---

## **BACKGROUND**

---

Title XIX of the Social Security Act, known as Medicaid, provides federal cost-sharing for each state's Medicaid program. Medicaid provides health coverage to families with low incomes, children, pregnant women, and people who are aged, blind, or who have disabilities. In Ohio, the Medicaid program is administered by ODJFS.

Hospitals, long-term care facilities, managed care organizations, individual practitioners, laboratories, medical equipment suppliers, and others (all called "providers") render medical, dental, laboratory, and other services to Medicaid recipients. The rules and regulations that providers must follow are specified by ODJFS in the Ohio Administrative Code and the Ohio Medicaid Provider Handbook. A fundamental concept underlying the Medicaid program is medical necessity of services: defined as services which are necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of disease, illness, or injury, and which, among other things, meet requirements for reimbursement of Medicaid covered services.<sup>2</sup> The Auditor of State, working with ODJFS, performs audits to assess Medicaid providers' compliance with reimbursement rules to ensure that services billed are properly documented and consistent with professional standards of care; medical necessity; and sound fiscal, business, or medical practices.

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-29(B)(2) states: " 'Waste and abuse' are defined as practices that are inconsistent with professional standards of care; medical necessity; or sound fiscal, business, or medical practices; and that constitute an overutilization of Medicaid covered services and result in an unnecessary cost to the Medicaid program."

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2(D) states that providers are required: "To maintain all records necessary and in such form so as to fully disclose the extent of services provided and significant business transactions. The provider will maintain such records for a period of six years from the

---

<sup>1</sup> Compliance testing was based on the rules as they existed at the time the service was rendered.

<sup>2</sup> See Ohio Admin Code 5101:3-1-01(A) and (A)(6).

date of receipt of payment based upon those records or until any audit initiated within the six year period is completed.”

In addition, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-29(A) states in part: “...In all instances of fraud, waste, and abuse, any amount in excess of that legitimately due to the provider will be recouped by the department through its surveillance and utilization review section, the state auditor, or the office of the attorney general.”

---

## **PURPOSE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY**

---

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether the Provider’s Medicaid claims for reimbursement of medical services were in compliance with regulations and to identify, if appropriate, any findings resulting from non-compliance.

Following a notification letter, we held an entrance conference at the Provider’s headquarters on October 30, 2007, to discuss the purpose and scope of our audit. The scope of our audit was limited to claims (not involving Medicaid co-payments for Medicare) for which the Provider rendered services to Medicaid patients and received payment during the period of July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2006. The Provider was reimbursed \$681,601.20 for 6,936 services rendered on 6,715 recipient dates of service. A recipient date of service (RDOS) is defined as all services received by a particular recipient on a specific date.

We used the Ohio Revised Code and the Ohio Administrative Code as guidance in determining the extent of services and applicable reimbursement rates. We obtained the Provider’s paid claims history from ODJFS’ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS), which contains an electronic file of services billed to and paid by the Medicaid program. This claims data included but was not limited to: patient name, patient identification number, date of service, and service rendered. Services are billed using Healthcare Common Procedural Coding System (HCPCS) codes issued by the federal government through the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).<sup>3</sup>

Prior to beginning our fieldwork, we performed a series of computerized tests on the Provider’s Medicaid payments to determine if reimbursements were made for potentially inappropriate services or service code combinations. These included tests for the following exceptions:

---

<sup>3</sup> These codes have been adopted for use as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) transaction data set and are required to be used by states in administering Medicaid. There are three levels to the HCPCS. The first level is the five (5) digit Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) coding system for physician and non physician services promulgated by the American Medical Association. The second level entails alpha-numeric codes for physician and non physician services not included in the CPT codes and are maintained jointly by CMS and other medical and insurance carrier associations. The third level is made up of local level codes needed by contractors and state agencies in processing Medicare and Medicaid claims. Under HIPAA, the level three codes are being phased out but may have been in use during our audit period.



- Ambulance base codes and attendant codes billed for more than one unit.
- Claims where both ambulance and ambulette services were billed for the same patient on the same day.
- Claims reimbursed with one-way mileage greater than 50 miles.
- Potential duplicate claims where payments were made for the same recipient on the same date of service, for the same procedure codes and procedure code modifiers; and for the same dollar amount.
- Ambulance services billed to Medicaid that are potentially covered by Medicare for dually eligible recipients.
- Potential duplicate claims for ambulance transport services for the same recipient, on the same date of service, for the same procedure codes and procedure code modifiers billed to both the Medicaid and Medicare programs as the primary insurer.

From our exception testing we identified potentially incorrect reimbursements for ambulance base codes and attendant codes billed for more than one unit, duplicate claims, claims where both ambulance and ambulette services were billed for the same patient on the same day, claims reimbursed with one-way mileage greater than 50 miles, ambulance services billed to Medicaid that are potentially covered by Medicare for dually eligible recipients, and duplicate claims for ambulance services paid for by both the Medicaid and Medicare programs as the primary insurer.

Additionally, while performing our review of the Provider's paid claims with one-way mileage greater than 50 miles, we noticed a large number of claims where mileage for a roundtrip was combined on a single one-way mileage service, resulting in an overpayment. We therefore segregated similar services not already analyzed into a separate exception test. When performing our audit fieldwork, we reviewed all available documentation for claims identified by our exception testing (i.e., 100 percent review). All claims analyzed as part of our exception testing were separated from the remainder of the Provider's population of claims so as not to double count and overstate any potential findings.

To facilitate an accurate and timely audit of the Provider's remaining medical services, we selected a statistically random sample of 116 RDOS for ambulance services and a statistically random sample of 155 RDOS for ambulette services.

When performing our audit fieldwork, we requested the Provider's supporting documentation for the sampled RDOS and all potentially inappropriate service code combination claims identified by our exception analyses.

Our fieldwork was primarily performed between October 2007 and August 2008.

---

## **RESULTS**

We identified findings of \$9,783.42 for services in our exception testing. Additionally, we identified \$1,085.27 in actual findings from our samples. Together, our findings from our exception testing and samples total \$10,868.69, the bases of which are discussed below.

## Results of Exception Testing

We performed exception testing on the Provider's paid claims for the following issues: roundtrip mileage combined on single one-way mileage service, claims where both ambulance and ambulette services were billed for the same patient on the same day, claims reimbursed with one-way mileage greater than 50 miles, ambulance base codes and attendant codes billed for more than one unit, ambulance services billed to Medicaid that are potentially covered by Medicare for dually eligible recipients, duplicate claims for ambulance services paid for by both the Medicaid and Medicare programs as the primary insurer, and duplicate claims. The results of our review are as follows.

### Roundtrip Mileage Combined on Single One-way Mileage Service

Ohio Admin Code section 5101:3-15-04 states in pertinent part:

(A) Reimbursement for land ambulance services.

- (1) For the one-way land ambulance transport of one passenger, or the first passenger of a multiple passenger transport, the provider shall be reimbursed a base rate for the service and a loaded mileage rate of each mile the passenger was transported.

\*\*\*

(b) The amount of reimbursement for the loaded ambulance mileage shall be the lesser of the provider's billed charge or:

- (i) One dollar and thirty cents per mile for miles one through twenty; and
- (ii) One dollar and ninety cent per mile for each mile over twenty.

\*\*\*

(C) Reimbursement of ambulette services.

- (1) For the one-way transport of one passenger, or the first passenger of a multiple passenger transport, the provider shall be reimbursed a base rate for the service and a loaded mileage rate for each mile the passenger was transported.

\*\*\*

(b) The amount of reimbursement for the loaded ambulette mileage shall be the lesser of the provider's billed charge or:

- (i) Sixty-eight cents per mile for the first twenty miles; and
- (ii) Ninety-two cents per mile for each mile over twenty.

\*\*\*

We identified 698 services, beyond those already identified within other exception tests and the samples, where the Provider combined roundtrip mileage onto a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service resulting in a higher rate of reimbursement. We sent a letter and a listing of these services to the Provider detailing the overpayments and requested any documentation that might justify the reimbursement. The Provider declined to supply any further documentation; therefore, findings totaling \$3,393.12 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for these services.

### **Same Day Services for Both Ambulance and Ambulette**

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

\*\*\*

(A) To...submit claims only for service actually performed...

\*\*\*

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-04(C)(1)(c) states:

For the total reimbursement, the provider must bill the appropriate code for ambulette base service and the code for the loaded mileage. Both codes must be modified by the appropriate Medicaid point of transport modifier.

We initially identified 288 services where the Provider billed for both an ambulance and an ambulette service for the same patient on the same day. Our analysis revealed that this potential duplicate service was due to an improper coding combination where the Provider billed an ambulette base code with an ambulance mileage service code on 167 transport services. Based on our review of records, we identified the following 43 additional errors that resulted in findings:

- 36 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- 3 services where the number of miles billed exceeded the amount supported in the Provider's documentation;
- 2 services where the Provider either did not supply a practitioner certification form (i.e., certificate of medical necessity or CMN, which certifies the basis for the necessity of the transport) or the CMN supplied did not cover the date of service; and
- 2 services where there was insufficient documentation to verify the services occurred.

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$3,291.72 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

## Transports Greater than 50 Miles

Ohio Admin Code section 5101:3-15-03 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

(H) Medical transportation providers, when providing a non-emergency ground ambulance, or ambulette service must document the reason for transport when the destination occurs outside of the patient's community, (a fifty mile radius from the patient's residence). Mileage greater than fifty miles will not be covered if the provider is unable to produce the documentation which gives the reason for the transport to be out of the patient's community.

\*\*\*

We identified 144 services for trips exceeding 50 one-way miles. Based on our review of records, we identified seven mileage services where the number of miles billed exceeded the amount supported in the Provider's documentation. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for mileage in excess of that documented. For the remaining services, we identified the following 50 errors that resulted in findings:

- 32 services where the roundtrip mileage was combined onto a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service resulting in a higher rate of reimbursement;
- 8 services where there was insufficient documentation to verify the services occurred;
- 7 services where the CMN received did not cover the date of service in question; and
- 3 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport.

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$1,332.47 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

## Ambulance Base Codes and Attendant Codes Billed for More Than One Unit

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

(A) To...submit claims only for services actually performed...

\*\*\*

(D) To maintain all records necessary and in such form so as to fully disclose the extent of services provided and significant business transactions. The provider will maintain such records for a period of six years from the date of

receipt of payment based upon those records or until any audit initiated within the six year period is completed.

\*\*\*

Further, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-01, Medical Transportation Services, Definitions, states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

(A)(5) "Attendant" is defined as an individual employed by the transportation provider separate from the basic crew of the ambulance or ambulette vehicle who...is present to aid in the transfer of Medicaid covered patients...

\*\*\*

We identified 99 services where the Provider billed more than one unit for a transportation base code or an attendant code where only one unit is normally billed. Our analysis determined that the Provider had improperly billed 40 roundtrip ambulance services, by combining ambulance base codes for two separate transports onto a single one-way service line; and combining the corresponding mileage for each transport. While the combination of base codes did not result in an overpayment since the reimbursement is a fixed amount per unit, we found 20 instances where the corresponding mileage for the roundtrips resulted in an overpayment. This overpayment occurred because mileage reimbursement increases for transports over 20 miles. For the remaining services, we identified the following 16 errors that resulted in findings:

- 6 services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- 6 services where the attending practitioner did not state a medical condition to support the medical necessity of the transport on the CMN;
- 2 services where there was insufficient documentation to verify the services occurred; and
- 2 services where the number of miles billed exceeded the amount supported in the Provider's documentation.

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$983.47 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

### **Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare**

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-05 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

- (C) When the medicaid consumer is covered by other third party payers, in addition to medicare, medicaid is the payer of last resort. Whether or not medicare is the primary payer, providers must bill all other third party payers prior to submitting a crossover claim to ODJFS in accordance with rule 5101:3-1-08 of the Administrative Code.

\*\*\*

We initially identified 14 ambulance transports that were provided to dually eligible recipients (persons who are eligible to receive benefits through Medicaid and are also eligible to receive benefits through Medicare Part B for ambulance transportation services). We removed the services rendered to the dually eligible patients from the remaining ambulance exception reports, the ambulance samples, and the ambulance sample populations to avoid double impact. We sent the Provider a letter and an exception report detailing those services potentially covered by Medicare that were still within 17 months of their date of service. The letter notified the Provider of our potential findings, and requested supporting documentation showing proper billing to and reimbursement by Medicaid.

Based on our review of records and the Provider's response, we identified six services where the Provider did not supply supporting documentation explaining why Medicaid should have been billed in place of Medicare. Findings totaling \$637.97 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

### **Duplicate Claims for Ambulance Services Paid for by Both Medicaid and Medicare**

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-05 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

(A) Definitions.

- (1) "Medicare" is a federally financed program of hospital insurance (part A) and supplemental medical insurance (also called SMI and/or part B) for aged and disabled persons.

\*\*\*

- (6) "Dual Eligibles or Dually Eligible Consumers" are individuals who are entitled to medicare hospital insurance and/or SMI and are eligible for medicaid to pay some form of medicare cost sharing...

- (7) "Medicare Crossover Claim" means any claim that has been submitted to the Ohio department of job and family services (ODJFS) for medicare cost

sharing payments after the claim has been adjudicated and paid by the medicare central processor, medicare carrier/intermediary or the medicare managed care plan and the medicare central processor or medicare carrier/intermediary has determined the deductible, coinsurance and/or co-payment amounts. Claims denied by the medicare carrier/intermediary or the medicare managed care plan are not considered medicare crossover claims...

\*\*\*

(B) Medicare crossover process.

- (1) The medicare program determines the portion of medicare cost sharing, if any, due to the provider based on medicare's business rules...

\*\*\*

- (3) For medicare crossover claims, the total sum of the payments made by ODJFS, medicare and/or all other third party payers is considered payment in full...

- (b) If payment (other than the cost sharing amounts) is inadvertently received from both medicare and medicaid for the same service, the ODJFS claims adjustment unit must be notified in accordance with the provisions set forth in rule 5101:3-1-19.8 of the Administrative Code.

\*\*\*

Furthermore, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

\*\*\*

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

\*\*\*

Finally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03(A)(2)(j) states,

Ambulance services to all eligible medicare patient are to be billed to medicare. If the patient has medicare coverage, the department will reimburse only part-B co-insurance and deductible amounts.

Our exception test identified four services where the Provider billed both Medicaid and Medicare as the primary payer for the same patient and service. We identified these services by matching

claims where Medicaid paid the Medicare co-insurance and deductible amounts with those where Medicaid was billed directly and paid as primary insurer. The matching was done by recipient, date of service, procedure code and procedure code modifier. Therefore, Medicaid made two payments for the same service resulting in an overpayment. Because Medicaid is considered “the payer of last resort,” it paid for services already covered by Medicare. Findings totaling \$84.81 were made on the amount paid by the Medicaid program as primary payer for the identified duplicate covered services.

### **Duplicate Claims**

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 states in pertinent part that by signing an agreement to provide Medicaid services, a provider certifies and agrees to:

\*\*\*

(A) To ... submit claims only for services actually performed...

\*\*\*

We identified 97 services where the Provider appeared to have billed for more than one transport for the same recipient on the same date of service. Based on our review of records, we identified the following eight errors that resulted in findings:

- Three services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport;
- Three services where there was insufficient documentation to verify the services occurred which could indicate services not rendered or potentially duplicate billed services; and
- Two services where the roundtrip mileage was combined onto a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service resulting in a higher rate of reimbursement.

There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. Findings totaling \$59.86 were made on the amount reimbursed to the Provider for the errors listed above.

### **Summary of Exception Testing**

Total combined findings of \$9,783.42 resulted from our exception tests, which included roundtrip mileage combined on single one-way mileage service, claims where both ambulance and ambulette services were billed for the same patient on the same day, claims reimbursed with one-way mileage greater than 50 miles, ambulance base codes and attendant codes billed for more than one unit, ambulance services billed to Medicaid that are potentially covered by Medicare for dually eligible recipients, duplicate claims for ambulance services paid for by both the Medicaid and Medicare programs as the primary insurer, and duplicate claims.



Some of the more common errors denoted during our exception testing included roundtrip mileage combined onto a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service resulting in a higher rate of reimbursement; improper coding combination where the Provider billed an ambulance base code with an ambulance mileage service code; attending practitioners not certifying the patient met the conditions for a covered transport; insufficient documentation to verify the services occurred; missing, incomplete or invalid CMNs.

## Results of Statistical Samples

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-27 (B)(1) states:

“Audit” means a formal postpayment examination, made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, of a medicaid provider’s records and documentation to determine program compliance, the extent and validity of services paid for under the medicaid program and to identify any inappropriate payments. The department shall have the authority to use statistical methods to conduct audits and to determine the amount of overpayment. An audit may result in a final adjudication order by the department.

We selected two statistically random samples that were stratified based on the amount paid for services. One sample was for ambulance services and the other was for ambulance services. Our samples were chosen from the remaining population of services after removing all claims associated with our exception testing.

## Ambulance Services Sample – Detailed Results

Our stratified random sample of 116 ambulance RDOS (involving 306 services) identified 4 RDOS (8 services) with a combination of 16 errors resulting in an actual overpayment of \$343.33. There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. The bases for these errors are presented below.

### Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

#### (E) Documentation requirements

- (1) Providers of air ambulance, ambulance and ambulance services must maintain records which fully describe the extent of services provided. Services are not eligible for reimbursement if documentation specified is not maintained prior to billing the department and maintained in accordance with paragraphs (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule.

\*\*\*

(2) Records which must be maintained include...

\*\*\*

(b) The original “practitioner certification form”, completed by the attending practitioner documenting the medical necessity of the transport, in accordance with this rule; and...

\*\*\*

(4) Practitioner certification form

\*\*\*

(c) Medical condition

The practitioner certification form must state the specific medical conditions related to the ambulatory status of the patient which contraindicate transportation by any other means on the date of the transport, and use the correct form which specifies the mode of medical transportation (ambulance or ambulette) the patient will require. The attending practitioner must clearly specify the condition of the patient which renders transport by ambulance or ambulette medically necessary.

\*\*\*

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03 states in pertinent part:

(A) (1) Covered land ambulance services:

\*\*\*

(2) Criteria for coverage

The criteria listed in this paragraph must be met for a land ambulance service to be covered.

(a) The land ambulance service must be medically necessary as specified in this paragraph.

(i) The patient’s condition at the time of the transport is the determining factor in whether medical necessity is met, or not.

\*\*\*

(iii) For non-emergency transports, ambulance services are medically necessary when the patient needs either prescheduled transportation or unscheduled transportation for which an immediate response is not required; and the patient's medical condition meets one of the descriptions in paragraphs (A)(2)(a)(iii)(a) to (A)(2)(a)(iii)(c) of this rule.

- (a) An individual is nonambulatory and unable to use an ambulette because the individual is unable to get up from bed without assistance; the patient is unable to sit in a chair or wheelchair; and can only be moved only by a stretcher and/or needs to be restrained; or
- (b) An individual is not in a life-threatening situation, but requires continuous medical supervision or treatment during the transport; or
- (c) An individual does not meet the criteria in paragraph (A)(2)(a)(iii)(a) or paragraph (A)(2)(a)(iii)(b) of this rule, but requires oxygen administration during the transport, and the patient is unable to self-administer or self-regulate the oxygen or the patient requiring oxygen administration has been discharged from a hospital to a nursing facility.

\*\*\*

We identified four ambulance services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport, or state a medical condition to support the medical necessity of the transport; and the CMN did not cover the date of service in question. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and made a finding for \$206.02.

### **Transportation Services Lacking Supporting Documentation**

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (E)(2)(a) states:

A record or set of records for all transports on that date of service which documents time of scheduled pick up and drop off, full name(s) of attendant(s), full name(s) of patient(s), Medicaid patient number, full name of driver, vehicle identification, full name of the Medicaid covered service provider which is one of the Medicaid covered point(s) of transport, pick-up and drop-off times, complete Medicaid covered point(s) of transport addresses, the type of transport provided, and mileage; and

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-17.2 (D) states:

To maintain all records necessary and in such form so as to fully disclose the extent of services provided and significant business transactions. The provider will maintain such records for a period of six years from the date of receipt of payment based upon those records or until any audit initiated within the six year period is completed.

We identified two ambulance services that lacked documentation (e.g., trip log) to support the services billed had actually been rendered. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and made a finding for \$125.31.

### **Roundtrip Mileage Combined on Single One-way Mileage Service**

Ohio Admin Code section 5101:3-15-04 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

(A) Reimbursement for land ambulance services.

- (1) For the one-way land ambulance transport of one passenger, or the first passenger of a multiple passenger transport, the provider shall be reimbursed a base rate for the service and a loaded mileage rate of each mile the passenger was transported.

\*\*\*

- (b) The amount of reimbursement for the loaded ambulance mileage shall be the lesser of the provider's billed charge or:
  - (i) One dollar and thirty cents per mile for miles one through twenty; and
  - (ii) One dollar and ninety cent per mile for each mile over twenty.

\*\*\*

We identified two ambulance services where the Provider combined roundtrip mileage onto a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service resulting in a higher rate of reimbursement. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and made a finding for \$12.00.

### **Summary of Ambulance Sample Findings**

The overpayments identified for 4 of 116 RDOS (involving 8 of 306 services) from our stratified random sample of ambulance transportation services were not projected to the population of ambulance services. No projection was made because both the error rate and overpayments identified fell below our criteria for projecting results of a sample. Therefore, the findings for the services in our ambulance sample were limited to the actual identified overpayment of \$343.33.

## Ambulette Services Sample – Detailed Results

Our stratified random sample of 155 ambulette RDOS (involving 514 services) identified 28 RDOS (42 services) with a combination of 56 errors resulting in an actual overpayment of \$741.94. There were services that had more than one error; however, only one finding was made per service. The bases for these errors are presented below.

### Patient Not Certified as Meeting Conditions for Covered Transport

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03(B)(2) states in pertinent part:

#### Covered ambulette transports

Except as provided elsewhere in this chapter, ambulette services are covered only when all the requirements are met.

- (a) The ambulette services must be medically necessary as specified below:
  - (i) The individual has been determined and certified by the attending practitioner to be nonambulatory at the time of transport as defined in paragraph (A)(20) of rule 5101:3-15-01 of the Administrative Code; and
  - (ii) The attending practitioner has certified that the individual does not require ambulance services: the individual does not use passenger vehicles as defined in paragraph (A)(20) of rule 5101:3-15-01 of the Administrative Code as transport to non-Medicaid services.; and the individual is physically able to be safely transported in a wheelchair.

\*\*\*

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-01 states in pertinent part:

- (A) The following definitions are applicable to this chapter

\*\*\*

- (20)“Nonambulatory”...is defined as those permanently or temporarily disabling conditions which preclude transportation in motor vehicle(s) or motor carriers as defined in section 4919.75 of the Revised Code that are not modified or created for transporting a person with a disabling condition. . . .

\*\*\*

We identified 14 ambulette services where the attending practitioner did not certify that the patient met the conditions for a covered transport on the CMN (e.g., did not certify the patient was non-ambulatory or that the patient needed a wheelchair). Other errors associated with these services included the following:

- Two services where the roundtrip mileage was combined onto a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service resulting in a higher rate of reimbursement;
- Two services where the attending practitioner did not state a medical condition to support the medical necessity of the transport on the CMN;
- One service where the number of miles billed exceeded the amount supported in the Provider's documentation; and
- One service where the CMN received did not cover the date of service in question.

We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and made a finding for \$500.48.

### **Issues with Certificates of Medical Necessity**

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03 (B)(2), Covered ambulette transports states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

- (a) The ambulette services must be medically necessary...

\*\*\*

Additionally, Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-02 (E), Documentation requirements states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

- (2) Records which must be maintained include, but are not limited to, the records listed in paragraph (E)(2)(a) to (E)(2)(d) of this rule...

\*\*\*

- (a) A record or set of records for all transports on that date of service which documents time of scheduled pick up and drop off, full name(s) of attendant(s), full name(s) of patient(s), medicaid patient number, full name of driver, vehicle identification, full name of the medicaid covered service provider which is one of the medicaid covered point(s) of transport, pick-up and drop-off times, complete medicaid covered point(s) of transport addresses, the type of transport provided, and mileage; and

- (b) The original “practitioner certification form”, completed by the attending practitioner, documenting the medical necessity of the transport, in accordance with this rule; and

\*\*\*

(4) Practitioner certification form

- (a) The attending practitioner, or a hospital discharge planner or a registered nurse acting under the orders of the attending practitioner in accordance with paragraph (E)(4)(b) of this rule, must complete a “Practitioner Certification Form” for all medical transportation services...
- (b)...a registered nurse, with an order from the attending practitioner, may write the practitioner’s name on the ordering line of the practitioner certification form, sign his or her own full name and the professional letters “R.N.” after the practitioner’s name on the signature line and enter the date of the signature...

A hospital discharge planner with a written order from the attending practitioner, may write the practitioner’s name on the ordering line of the practitioner certification form, sign his or her own full name on the signature line and enter the date of signature...

\*\*\*

We identified four ambulance services where the CMN was not dated by the attending practitioner; and therefore, we could not determine if it covered the date of the sampled services. For two of these services the transport also exceeded 50 miles (one-way). However, the Provider lacked documentation to justify the transport to be out of the patient’s community. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and made a finding for \$162.74

**Roundtrip Mileage Combined on Single One-way Mileage Service**

Ohio Admin Code section 5101:3-15-04 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

(C) Reimbursement of ambulance services.

- (1) For the one-way transport of one passenger, or the first passenger of a multiple passenger transport, the provider shall be reimbursed a base rate for the service and a loaded mileage rate for each mile the passenger was transported.

\*\*\*

- (b) The amount of reimbursement for the loaded ambulette mileage shall be the lesser of the provider's billed charge or:
  - (i) Sixty-eight cents per mile for the first twenty miles; and
  - (ii) Ninety-two cents per mile for each mile over twenty.

\*\*\*

We identified 24 ambulette services where the Provider combined roundtrip mileage onto a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service resulting in a higher rate of reimbursement. There were 6 additional ambulette services where the roundtrip mileage was combined on a single, loaded (one-way) mileage service; however, because the total mileage was less than 20 miles, no overpayment resulted. We therefore disallowed the reimbursement for these services and made a finding for \$78.72

### **Summary of Ambulette Sample Findings**

The overpayments identified for 28 of 155 RDOS (involving 42 of 514 services) from our stratified random sample of ambulette transportation services were projected to the population of ambulette services. However, because of the large degree of sampling error and skewness obtained, the results did not meet our criteria for use. Therefore, the findings for the services in our ambulette sample were limited to the actual identified overpayment of \$741.94.

### **Summary of Findings**

A total of \$10,868.69 in findings was identified. These findings result from the combination of our exception testing (\$9,783.42) and the actual overpayments identified in our statistical samples of ambulance services (\$343.33) and ambulette services (\$741.94). For those services selected in our exception testing and samples, we reviewed all corresponding records presented in their entirety (i.e., 100 percent review).

### **Matters for Attention**

Although the following matters did not result in monetary findings during the current audit, we are bringing them to the attention of the Provider as areas of non-compliance as they could result in future findings. We are also bringing these issues to the attention of ODJFS as the state single agency responsible for the Medicaid program in Ohio, ODJFS is well positioned to educate providers and improve system controls to curtail these issues.



## Incomplete Patient Certification on Ambulette CMNs

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-15-03(B)(2) states in pertinent part:

### Covered ambulette transports

Except as provided elsewhere in this chapter, ambulette services are covered only when all the requirements are met.

- (b) The ambulette services must be medically necessary as specified below:
  - (iii) The individual has been determined and certified by the attending practitioner to be nonambulatory at the time of transport as defined in paragraph (A)(20) of rule 5101:3-15-01 of the Administrative Code; and
  - (iv) The attending practitioner has certified that the individual does not require ambulance services: the individual does not use passenger vehicles as defined in paragraph (A)(20) of rule 5101:3-15-01 of the Administrative Code as transport to non-Medicaid services.; and the individual is physically able to be safely transported in a wheelchair.

\*\*\*

During the course of our audit, we identified 37 services in our exception tests and 12 services in our ambulette sample where the attending practitioner did not certify that an ambulance was not required on the ambulette CMN supplied by the Provider, per the Ohio Admin.Code. All of these services occurred in conjunction with other errors, including those related to the CMN.

In order to avoid potential future findings in this area, we recommend that the Provider review its procedures to ensure that ambulette CMNs used to support services billed are completed in their entirety.

## Ambulance Services Billed to Medicaid Potentially Covered by Medicare

Ohio Admin.Code 5101:3-1-05 states in pertinent part:

\*\*\*

- (C) When the medicaid consumer is covered by other third party payers, in addition to medicare, medicaid is the payer of last resort. Whether or not medicare is the primary payer, providers must bill all other third party payers prior to submitting a crossover claim to ODJFS in accordance with rule 5101:3-1-08 of the Administrative Code.

\*\*\*

Based on our testing, in addition to the 14 ambulance services identified in our exception test that were provided to dually eligible recipients, we found 322 services paid for by Medicaid that were potentially covered by Medicare Part B. With Medicare Part B reimbursement, Medicaid would have been the secondary payor, and would have only reimbursed the Provider for the Medicare coinsurance and deductible amounts. However, since these services were beyond the time period in which they could have been re-billed to Medicare, no final determination could be made or finding collected. Medicaid paid \$14,042.50 for these services.

In order to avoid future findings in this area, we recommend that the Provider review its procedures to ensure that recipient eligibility is fully determined and that Medicare and other insurance carriers are billed prior to Medicaid, as it is the payor of last resort.

---

***PROVIDER'S RESPONSE***

---

A draft report along with detailed listings of services for which we took findings was mailed to the Provider on March 10, 2009. The Provider was afforded 10 business days from the receipt of the draft report to furnish additional documentation to substantiate the services for which we took findings or otherwise respond in writing. We contacted the owner of the Provider on March 26, 2009, who indicated there would be no formal response to the audit report.



**Mary Taylor, CPA**  
Auditor of State

**MID-OHIO AMBULANCE SERVICE**

**FAIRFIELD COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
MARCH 31, 2009**